# A PROOF OF THE INVARIANT TORUS THEOREM OF KOLMOGOROV 

JACQUES FÉJOZ

Abstract. A variant of Kolmogorov's initial proof is given, in terms of a group of symplectic transformations and of an elementary fixed point theorem.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the space of Hamiltonians which are real analytic in neighborhoods of $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{n}:=\mathbb{T}^{n} \times\{0\}$ in $\mathbb{T}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}=\{(\theta, r)\}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$. The vector field associated with $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is

$$
\dot{\theta}=\partial_{r} H, \quad \dot{r}=-\partial_{\theta} H
$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $\mathcal{K}^{\alpha}$ be the subspace of Hamiltonians $K \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left.K\right|_{\mathrm{T}_{0}^{n}}$ is constant (i.e. $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{n}$ is invariant) and $\left.\vec{K}\right|_{\mathrm{T}_{0}^{n}}=\alpha$ :

$$
\mathcal{K}^{\alpha}=\left\{K \in \mathcal{H}, \exists c \in \mathbb{R}, K(\theta, r)=c+\alpha \cdot r+O\left(r^{2}\right)\right\}, \quad \alpha \cdot r=\alpha_{1} r_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} r_{n},
$$

where $O\left(r^{2}\right)$ are terms of the second order in $r$, which depend on $\theta$.
Let also $\mathcal{G}$ be the space of symplectic transformations $G$ real analytic in neighborhoods of $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{n}$ in $\mathbb{T}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, of the following form:

$$
G(\theta, r)=\left(\varphi(\theta),(r+\rho(\theta)) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}(\theta)^{-1}\right)
$$

where $\varphi$ is an analytic transformation of $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ fixing the origin (meant to straighten the flow on an invariant torus), and $\rho$ is a closed 1 -form on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ (or an irrotational vector field, meant to straighten an invariant torus). To be more precise, $\rho(\theta) d \theta$ is a closed 1 -form on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$.

We fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ Diophantine $(0<\gamma \ll 1$ and $\tau>n-1$; see [6]):

$$
|k \cdot \alpha| \geq \gamma|k|^{-\tau} \quad\left(\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right), \quad|k|=\left|k_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|k_{n}\right|
$$

and

$$
K^{o}(\theta, r)=c^{o}+\alpha \cdot r+Q^{o}(\theta) \cdot r^{2}+O\left(r^{3}\right) \in \mathcal{K}^{\alpha}
$$

such that the average of the quadratic form valued function $Q^{o}$ is non-degenerate:

$$
\operatorname{det} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} Q^{o}(\theta) d \theta \neq 0
$$

Theorem 1 (Kolmogorov [1, 4, 5]). For every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ close to $K^{o}$, there exists $(K, G) \in \mathcal{K}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{G}$ close to $\left(K^{o}, i d\right)$ such that $H=K \circ G$ in some neighborhood of $G^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{0}^{n}\right)$.

See $[3,6,9]$ and references therein for background. Here we present Kolmogorov's initial proof in its simplest form, but in the concise functional language of [2]. A beautiful paper claims to give the "shortest complete KAM proof for perturbations of integrable vector fields available so far" [7]. In fact, the only significant difference of this paper with Kolmogorov's induction is that at each step of the induction, Rüssmann [8] and Pöschel [7] optimize the error by taking a well chosen polynomial approximation of the right hand side of the cohomological equation; the convergence is slower and the range of convergence probably larger, but, as for the length of the proof, readers will judge by themselves.
Define complex extensions $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $T_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}=\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and neighborhoods $(0<s<1)$

$$
\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}, \max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|\operatorname{Im} \theta_{j}\right| \leq s\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{T}_{s}^{n}=\left\{(\theta, r) \in \mathrm{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}, \max _{1 \leq j \leq n} \max \left(\left|\operatorname{Im} \theta_{j}\right|,\left|r_{j}\right|\right) \leq s\right\}
$$

For $U=\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{s}^{n}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{A}(U)$ the space of real holomorphic maps from the interior of $U$ to $\mathbb{C}$ which extend continuously on $U$, endowed with the supremum norm $|\cdot|_{s}$.

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{s}=\mathcal{A}\left(\mathrm{T}_{s}^{n}\right)$, such that $\mathcal{H}=\bigcup_{s} \mathcal{H}_{s}$.

There exist $s_{0}<s$ and $\epsilon_{0}>0$ such that $K^{o} \in \mathcal{H}_{s}$ and, for all $H \in \mathcal{H}_{s_{0}}$ with $\left|H-K^{o}\right|_{s_{0}} \leq \epsilon_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{det} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r^{2}}(\theta, 0) d \theta\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{det} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \frac{\partial^{2} K^{o}}{\partial r^{2}}(\theta, 0) d \theta\right| \neq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereafter we assume that $s$ is always $\geq s_{0}$. Let

$$
\mathcal{K}_{s}^{\alpha}=\left\{K \in \mathcal{H}_{s} \cap \mathcal{K}^{\alpha},\left|K-K^{o}\right|_{s_{0}} \leq \epsilon_{0}\right\}
$$

the vector space $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}}_{s}$ directing $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{\alpha}$ identifies with $\mathbb{R} \times O\left(r^{2}\right)$.

- Let $\mathcal{D}_{s}$ be the space of real holomorphic invertible transformations $\varphi: \mathbb{T}_{s}^{n} \rightarrow \varphi\left(\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ with $\varphi(0)=0$, and $\mathcal{Z}_{s}$ be the space of real holomorphic closed 1-forms on $\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}$ (seen as maps $\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Elements of $\mathcal{G}_{s}=\mathcal{Z}_{s} \times \mathcal{D}_{s}$ define symplectic transformations of the phase space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G: \mathrm{T}_{s}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}, \quad(\theta, r) \mapsto\left(\varphi(\theta),(\rho(\theta)+r) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}(\theta)^{-1}\right), \quad G=(\rho, \varphi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and of $\mathcal{H}_{s}, K \mapsto K \circ G$ (the latter Hamiltonian being defined on $\left.G^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{s}^{n}\right)\right)$.

- Let $\mathfrak{d}_{s}:=\left\{\dot{\varphi} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}\right)^{n}, \dot{\varphi}(0)=0\right\}$ with norm $|\dot{\varphi}|_{s}:=\max _{\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|\dot{\varphi}_{j}(\theta)\right|$, be the space of vector fields on $\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}$ which vanish at 0 . Similarly, let $|\dot{\rho}|_{s}=\max _{\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}} \max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|\dot{\rho}_{j}(\theta)\right|$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{s}$. An element $\dot{G}=(\dot{\rho}, \dot{\varphi})$ of the sum $\mathfrak{g}_{s}=\mathcal{Z}_{s} \oplus \mathfrak{d}_{s}\left(\right.$ with norm $\left.|(\dot{\rho}, \dot{\varphi})|_{s}=\max \left(|\dot{\rho}|_{s},|\dot{\varphi}|_{s}\right)\right)$ identifies with the locally Hamiltonian vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{G}: \mathrm{T}_{s}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 n}, \quad(\theta, r) \mapsto\left(\dot{\varphi}(\theta), \dot{\rho}(\theta)-r \cdot \dot{\varphi}^{\prime}(\theta)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Constants $\gamma_{i}, \tau_{i}, c_{i}, t_{i}$ below do not depend on $s$ or $\sigma$.
Lemma 0. If $\dot{G} \in \mathfrak{g}_{s+\sigma}$ and $|\dot{G}|_{s+\sigma} \leq \gamma_{0} \sigma^{2}$, then $\exp \dot{G} \in \mathcal{G}_{s}$ and $|\exp \dot{G}-i d|_{s} \leq c_{0} \sigma^{-1}|\dot{G}|_{s+\sigma}$.

Proof. Let $\chi_{s} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{s}^{n}\right)^{2 n}$, with norm $\|v\|_{s}=\max _{\theta \in \mathrm{T}_{s}^{n}} \max _{1 \leq j \leq 2 n}\left|v_{j}(\theta)\right|$. Let $\dot{G} \in \mathfrak{g}_{s+\sigma}$ with $|\dot{G}|_{s+\sigma} \leq \gamma_{0} \sigma^{2}, \gamma_{0}:=(36 n)^{-1}$. Using definition (3) and Cauchy's inequality, we see that if $\delta:=\sigma / 3$,

$$
\|\dot{G}\|_{s+2 \delta}=\max \left(|\dot{\varphi}|_{s+2 \delta},\left|\dot{\rho}+r \cdot \dot{\varphi}^{\prime}(\theta)\right|_{s+2 \delta}\right) \leq 2 n \delta^{-1}|\dot{G}|_{s+3 \delta} \leq \delta / 2
$$

Let $I_{s}=[0,1] \times i[-s, s]$ and $\mathcal{F}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}\left(I_{s} \times \mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}\right)^{2 n}, \forall(t, \theta) \in I_{s} \times \mathbb{T}_{s}^{n},|f(t, \theta)|_{s} \leq \delta\right\}$. By Cauchy's inequality, the Lipschitz constant of the Picard operator

$$
P: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, \quad f \mapsto P f, \quad(P f)(t, \theta)=\int_{0}^{t} \dot{G}(\theta+f(s, \theta)) d s
$$

is $\leq 1 / 2$. Hence, $P$ possesses a unique fixed point $f \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $f(1, \cdot)=\exp (\dot{G})$ - id and $|f(1, \cdot)|_{s} \leq\|\dot{G}\|_{s+\delta} \leq c_{0} \sigma^{-1}|\dot{G}|_{s+\sigma}, c_{0}=6 n$.
Also, $\exp \dot{G} \in \mathcal{G}_{s}$ because at all times the curve $\exp (t \dot{G})$ is tangent to $\mathcal{G}_{s}$ (another proof uses the method of the variation of constants).

Lemma 1 (Cohomological equation). For all $(K, \dot{H}) \in \mathcal{K}_{s+\sigma}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{H}_{s+\sigma}$, there exists a unique $(\dot{K}, \dot{G}) \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}}_{s} \times \mathfrak{g}_{s}$ such that

$$
\dot{K}+K^{\prime} \cdot \dot{G}=\dot{H} \quad \text { and } \quad \max \left(|\dot{K}|_{s},|\dot{G}|_{s}\right) \leq c_{1} \sigma^{-t_{1}}\left(1+|K|_{s+\sigma}\right)|\dot{H}|_{s+\sigma}
$$

Proof. We want to solve the linear (cohomological) equation $\dot{K}+K^{\prime} \cdot \dot{G}=\dot{H}$. Write

$$
\begin{cases}K(\theta, r)=c+\alpha \cdot r+Q(\theta) \cdot r^{2}+O\left(r^{3}\right) & \\ \dot{K}(\theta, r)=\dot{c}+\dot{K}_{2}(\theta, r), & \dot{c} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \dot{K}_{2} \in O\left(r^{2}\right) \\ \dot{G}(\theta, r)=\left(\dot{\varphi}(\theta), R+S^{\prime}(\theta)-r \cdot \dot{\varphi}^{\prime}(\theta)\right), & \dot{\varphi} \in \chi_{s}, \quad \dot{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \dot{S} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Expanding the equation in powers of $r$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\dot{c}+\left(\dot{R}+\dot{S}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \alpha\right)+r \cdot\left(-\dot{\varphi}^{\prime} \cdot \alpha+2 Q \cdot\left(\dot{R}+\dot{S}^{\prime}\right)\right)+\dot{K}_{2}=\dot{H}=: \dot{H}_{0}+\dot{H}_{1} \cdot r+O\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the term $O\left(r^{2}\right)$ on the right hand side does not depend on $\dot{K}_{2}$.
If $g \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{T}_{s+\sigma}^{n}\right)$ has zero average, there is a unique function $f \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{T}_{s}^{n}\right)$ of zero average such that $L_{\alpha} f:=f^{\prime} \cdot \alpha=g$, and $|f|_{s} \leq c \sigma^{-t}|g|_{s+\sigma}, c=c_{\gamma, \tau, n}$. Using the Diophantine condition and Cauchy's inequality to estimate Fourier coefficients, the unique formal solution indeed satisfies

$$
|f|_{s}=\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{g_{k}}{i k \cdot \alpha} e^{i k \cdot \theta}\right|_{s} \leq \frac{|g|_{s+\sigma}}{\gamma} \sum_{k}|k|^{\tau} e^{-|k| \sigma}
$$

and the wanted upper bound then follows from an elementary estimate [6].
Equation (4) is triangular in the unknowns and successively yields:

$$
\begin{cases}\dot{S} & =L_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(\dot{H}_{0}-\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \dot{H}_{0}(\theta) d \theta\right) \\ \dot{R} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} Q(\theta) d \theta\right)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}}\left(\dot{H}_{1}(\theta)-2 Q(\theta) \cdot \dot{S}^{\prime}(\theta)\right) d \theta \\ \dot{\varphi} & =\dot{\varphi}_{1}-\dot{\varphi}_{1}(0), \quad \dot{\varphi}_{1}=L_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(\dot{H}_{1}(\theta)-2 Q(\theta) \cdot\left(\dot{R}+\dot{S}^{\prime}(\theta)\right)\right) \\ \dot{c} & =\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} \dot{H}_{0}(\theta) d \theta-\dot{R} \cdot \alpha \\ \dot{K}_{2} & =O\left(r^{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

The wanted estimate follows from Cauchy's inequality.
Let us bound the discrepancy between the action of $\exp (-\dot{G})$ and the infinitesimal action of $-\dot{G}$.
Lemma 2 (Quadratic error). For all $(K, \dot{H}) \in \mathcal{K}_{s+\sigma}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{H}_{s+\sigma}$ such that $\left(1+|K|_{s+\sigma}\right)|\dot{H}|_{s+\sigma} \leq$ $\gamma_{2} \sigma^{\tau_{2}}$, if $(\dot{K}, \dot{G}) \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{s}$ solves the equation $\dot{K}+K^{\prime} \circ \dot{G}=\dot{H}$ (lemma 1), then $\exp \dot{G} \in \mathcal{G}_{s}$, $|\exp \dot{G}-i d|_{s} \leq \sigma$ and

$$
|(K+\dot{H}) \circ \exp (-\dot{G})-(K+\dot{K})|_{s} \leq c_{2} \sigma^{-t_{2}}\left(1+|K|_{s+\sigma}\right)^{2}|\dot{H}|_{s+\sigma}^{2}
$$

Proof. Set $\delta=\sigma / 2$. Lemmas 0 and 1 show that, under the hypotheses for some constant $\gamma_{2}$ and for $\tau_{2}=t_{1}+1$, we have $|\dot{G}|_{s+\delta} \leq \gamma_{0} \delta^{2}$ and $|\exp \dot{G}-\mathrm{id}|_{s} \leq \delta$.
Let $H=K+\dot{H}$. Taylor's formula says

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s} \ni H \circ \exp (-\dot{G})=H-H^{\prime} \cdot \dot{G}+\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-t) H^{\prime \prime} \circ \exp (-t \dot{G}) d t\right) \cdot \dot{G}^{2}
$$

or, using the fact that $H=K+\dot{K}+K^{\prime} \cdot \dot{G}$,

$$
H \circ \exp (-\dot{G})-(K+\dot{K})=-\left(\dot{K}+K^{\prime} \cdot \dot{G}\right)^{\prime} \cdot \dot{G}+\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-t) H^{\prime \prime} \circ \exp (-t \dot{G}) d t\right) \cdot \dot{G}^{2}
$$

The wanted estimate thus follows from the estimate of lemma 1 and Cauchy's inequality.
End of the proof of theorem 1. Let $B_{s, \sigma}=\left\{(K, \dot{H}) \in \mathcal{K}_{s+\alpha}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{H}_{s+\sigma},|K|_{s+\sigma} \leq \epsilon_{0},|\dot{H}|_{s+\sigma} \leq\right.$ $\left.\left(1+\epsilon_{0}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{2} \sigma^{\tau_{2}}\right\}($ recall (1)).

By lemmas 1 and 2, the map $\phi: B_{s, \sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{s}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{H}_{s}$,

$$
\phi(K, \dot{H})=(K+\dot{K},(K+\dot{H}) \circ \exp (-\dot{G})-(K+\dot{K}))
$$

satisfies, if $(\hat{K}, \widehat{\dot{H}})=\phi(K, \dot{H})$,

$$
|\hat{K}-K|_{s} \leq c_{3} \sigma^{-t_{3}}|\dot{H}|_{s+\sigma},|\hat{\dot{H}}|_{s} \leq c_{3} \sigma^{-t_{3}}|\dot{H}|_{s+\sigma}^{2}
$$

Proposition 3 in the appendix applies and shows that if $H-K^{o}$ is small enough in $\mathcal{H}_{s+\sigma}$, the sequence $\left(K_{j}, \dot{H}_{j}\right)=$ $\phi^{j}\left(K^{o}, H-K^{o}\right), j \geq 0$, converges towards some $(K, 0)$ in $\mathcal{K}_{s}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{H}_{s}$.


Let us keep track of the $\dot{G}_{j}$ 's solving with the $\dot{K}_{j}$ 's the successive linear equations $\dot{K}_{j}+K_{j}^{\prime} \cdot \dot{G}_{j}=$ $\dot{H}_{j}$ (lemma 1). At the limit,

$$
K:=K^{o}+\dot{K}_{0}+\dot{K}_{1}+\cdots=H \circ \exp \left(-\dot{G}_{0}\right) \circ \exp \left(-\dot{G}_{1}\right) \circ \cdots
$$

Moreover, lemma 1 shows that $\left|\dot{G}_{j}\right|_{s_{j+1}} \leq c_{4} \sigma_{j}^{-t_{4}}\left|\dot{H}_{j}\right|_{s_{j}}$, hence the transformations $\gamma_{j}:=\exp \left(-\dot{G}_{0}\right) \circ$ $\cdots \circ \exp \left(-\dot{G}_{j}\right)$, which satisfy

$$
\left|\gamma_{n}-\mathrm{id}\right|_{s_{n+1}} \leq\left|\dot{G}_{0}\right|_{s_{1}}+\cdots+\left|\dot{G}_{n}\right|_{s_{n+1}}
$$

form a Cauchy sequence and have a limit $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{s}$. At the expense of decreasing $\left|H-K^{o}\right|_{s+\sigma}$, by the inverse function theorem, $G:=\gamma^{-1}$ exists in $\mathcal{G}_{s-\delta}$ for some $0<\delta<s$, so that $H=K \circ G$.

Remark. The uniqueness property of lemma 1 and the estimate of lemma 2 show that if $\tilde{G}$ is in some small neighborhood of the identity in $\mathcal{G}$ and $K \circ \tilde{G} \in \mathcal{K}^{\alpha}$ then $\tilde{G}=$ id. The local uniqueness of the pair $(K, G)$ such that $H=K \circ G$ follows directly.

## Appendix. Quadratic convergence

Let $\left(E_{s},|\cdot|_{s}\right)_{0<s<1}$ and $\left(F_{s},\left.|\cdot|\right|_{s}\right)_{0<s<1}$ be two decreasing families of Banach spaces with increasing norms. On $E_{s} \times F_{s}$, set $|(x, y)|_{s}=\max \left(|x|_{s},|y|_{s}\right)$. Fix $C, \gamma, \tau, c, t>0$.

Let

$$
\phi: B_{s, \sigma}:=\left\{(x, y) \in E_{s+\sigma} \times F_{s+\sigma},|x|_{s+\sigma} \leq C,|y|_{s+\sigma} \leq \gamma \sigma^{\tau}\right\} \rightarrow E_{s} \times F_{s}
$$

be maps such that if $(X, Y)=\phi(x, y)$,

$$
|X-x|_{s} \leq c \sigma^{-t}|y|_{s+\sigma} \quad \text { and } \quad|Y|_{s} \leq c \sigma^{-t}|y|_{s+\sigma}^{2}
$$

In the proof of theorem $1, "|x|_{s+\sigma} \leq C$ " allows us to bound the determinant of $\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n}} Q(\theta) d \theta$ away from 0 , while " $|y|_{s+\sigma} \leq \gamma \sigma^{\tau}$ " ensures that $\exp \dot{G}$ is well defined.
Lemma 3. Given $s<s+\sigma$ and $(x, y) \in B_{s, \sigma}$ such that $|y|_{s+\sigma}$ is small, the sequence $\left(\phi^{j}(x, y)\right)_{j \geq 0}$ exists and converges towards a fixed point $(\xi, 0)$ in $B_{s, 0}$.

Proof. It is convenient to first assume that the sequence is defined and $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right):=F^{j}(x, y) \in$ $B_{s_{j}, \sigma_{j}}$, for $s_{j}:=s+2^{-j} \sigma$ and $\sigma_{j}:=s_{j}-s_{j+1}$. We may assume $c \geq 2^{-t}$, so that $d_{j}:=c \sigma_{j}^{-t} \geq 1$. By induction, and using the fact that $\sum 2^{-k}=\sum k 2^{-k}=2$,
$\left|y_{j}\right|_{s_{j}} \leq d_{j-1}\left|y_{j-1}\right|_{s_{j-1}}^{2} \leq \cdots \leq|y|_{s+\sigma}^{2^{j}} \prod_{0 \leq k \leq j-1} d_{k}^{2^{k+1}} \leq\left(|y|_{s+\sigma} \prod_{k \geq 0} d_{k}^{2^{-k-1}}\right)^{2^{j}}=\left(c(4 \sigma)^{-t}|y|_{s+\sigma}\right)^{2^{j}}$.
Given that $\sum_{n \geq 0} \mu^{2^{n}} \leq 2 \mu$ if $2 \mu \leq 1$, by induction we see that if $|y|_{s+\sigma}$ is small enough, $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ exists in $B_{s_{j}, \sigma_{j}}$ for all $j \geq 0, y_{j}$ converges to 0 in $F_{s}$ and the series $x_{j}=x_{0}+\sum_{0 \leq k \leq j-1}\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)$ converges absolutely towards some $\xi \in E_{s}$ with $|\xi|_{s} \leq C$.
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