# LECTURE 3 - PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

We present (the existence part of) the theory of variational solutions for uniformly elliptic parabolic equations. We next discuss the several approaches for dealing with the well-posedness issue of linear evolution equations.

### Contents

- Topic 9. Introduction to the parabolic equations framework
   Topic 10. First proof an implicit Euler scheme approach
   Topic 11. Second proof of the existence part a variational approach
   Topic 12. Generalities about evolution PDEs
- Topic 9. Introduction to the parabolic equations framework Content: Parabolic equations, a priori estimates, weak solutions and main result
- Topic 10. Existence of solutions an implicit Euler scheme approach Content: The implicit Euler scheme and its convergence
- Topic 11. Existence of solutions the variational approach of J.-L. Lions Content: A variant of the Lax-Milgram theorem, an alternative proof in the time independent case, a time dependent variant
- Topic 12. Generalities about evolution equations and semigroups Content: Evolution equation and semigroup, explicit semigroup, the spectral analysis approach, perturbation of semigroup, the variational approach, the Hille-Yosida approach
- 1. TOPIC 9. INTRODUCTION TO THE PARABOLIC EQUATIONS FRAMEWORK In this lecture we will mainly focus on the parabolic equation

(1.1) 
$$\partial_t f = \mathcal{L} f \quad \text{on} \quad (0, \infty) \times \Omega,$$

on the function  $f = f(t, x), t \ge 0, x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the elliptic operator

(1.2) 
$$\mathcal{L}f := \operatorname{div}(A\nabla f) + \operatorname{div}(af) + b \cdot \nabla f + cf$$

that we complement with an initial condition

$$(1.3) f(0,x) = f_0(x) in \Omega.$$

In order to develop the variational approach for the equation (1.1)-(1.2), we assume that

$$f_0 \in L^2(\Omega) =: H$$
, which is an Hilbert space,

and we typically assume that the coefficients satisfy

$$(1.4) A, a, b, c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad A \ge \nu I, \ \nu > 0.$$

We observe that for any nice function f = f(x), any  $\alpha \in (0, \nu)$  and any  $\beta > 0$ , we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\operatorname{div}(A\nabla f) + \operatorname{div}(af) + b \cdot \nabla f + c f) f$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} A\nabla f \cdot \nabla f + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(b - a) \cdot \nabla f + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c f^2$$

$$\leq -(\nu - \beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (c + \frac{|b - a|^2}{4\beta}) f^2$$

$$\leq -\alpha \|f\|_{H^1}^2 + \kappa \|f\|_{L^2}^2,$$

with

$$\kappa := \operatorname{ess\,sup} \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{4(\nu - \alpha)} |b - a|^2 + c \right),$$

where we have used the Green-Ostrogradski divergence formula for the two first terms in the second line, the Young inequality  $uv \leq \beta u^2/2 + v^2/(2\beta)$ ,  $\forall u, v \geq 0$ , in the third line and we have particularized  $\beta := \nu - \alpha$  is the last line. Now, for a (nice) solution f = f(t,x) to the parabolic equation (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(1.4), we compute

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|f(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}(\partial_{t}f)f = \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle \leq -\alpha\|f(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \kappa \|f(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2},$$

and, thanks to the Gronwall lemma, we deduce

(1.5) 
$$||f(T)||_{L^2}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^T ||f(s)||_{H^1}^2 ds \le e^{2\kappa T} ||f_0||_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall T.$$

In other words, we have established

$$(1.6) f \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1).$$

It is worth emphasizing at this point that for two (nice) functions f = f(x) and g = g(x), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{L}f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} (\operatorname{div}(A\nabla f) + \operatorname{div}(af) + b \cdot \nabla f + c f) g$$

so that we may compute

$$(1.7) \qquad \langle \mathcal{L}f, g \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{D}^d} A \nabla f \cdot \nabla g - \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} f(a \cdot \nabla g) + \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} (b \cdot \nabla f) g + \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} c f g,$$

thanks to the Green-Ostrogradski divergence formula. Coming back to a nice solution f = f(t, x) to the parabolic equation (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3), we may multiply (1.1) by a test function  $\varphi \in C_c^1([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and integrating by part, we have

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0 \varphi(0) - \int_{\mathscr{U}} f \partial_t \varphi = \int_{\mathscr{U}} \varphi \, \partial_t f = \int_{\mathscr{U}} \varphi \, \mathcal{L} f$$
$$= -\int_{\mathscr{U}} (A \nabla f + f a) \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\mathscr{U}} (b \cdot \nabla f + c f) \varphi.$$

That formulation gives a first meaningful (distributional) sense to a solution to the equation under the sole assumption  $f \in L^2(0,T;H^1)$ . Equivalently (by a density  $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  argument), we may write

$$(1.8) -(f_0, \varphi(0)) - \int_0^T (f, \varphi') dt = \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{L}f, \varphi \rangle dt,$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,T);H^1)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** For any given  $f_0 \in L^2$ , T > 0, we say that

$$f = f(t) \in L^2(0, T; H^1)$$

is a **weak solution** to the Cauchy problem associated to the parabolic equation (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) on the time interval [0,T) if it satisfies the weak formulation (1.8) for any  $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,T);H^1)$ . We say that f is a global weak solution if it is a weak solution on [0,T) for any T>0.

**Theorem 1.2.** With the above definition and assumptions, for any  $f_0 \in L^2$ , there exists at least one global weak solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(1.4).

## 2. Topic 10. First proof - an implicit Euler scheme approach

In this section, we use the shorthands

$$(L^2, \|\cdot\|_{L^2}) = (H, |\cdot|), \quad (H^1, \|\cdot\|_{H^1}) = (V, \|\cdot\|).$$

We do emphasize that in formulation (1.7) the RHS makes sense for  $f,g\in V$  and more precisely

$$|\langle \mathcal{L}f, g \rangle| \leq M ||f||_V ||g||_V$$

for a constant M>0, thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and because of the hypothesis (1.4) on the coefficients. A possible choice is  $M:=\|A\|_{L^\infty}+\|a\|_{L^\infty}+\|b\|_{L^\infty}+\|c\|_{L^\infty}$ . In other words, taking (1.7) as a definition of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}: V \to V', \quad V' := H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

is a linear and bounded operator with

(2.1) 
$$\forall f \in V, \quad \|\mathcal{L}f\|_{V'} = \sup_{g \in B_V} \langle \mathcal{L}f, g \rangle \le M \|f\|_V.$$

Introducing an approximation scheme and next using a weak compactness argument in the Hilbert space  $L^2(0,T;V)$ , we will establish that there exists a function  $f \in L^2(0,T;V)$  satisfying the weak formulation (1.8).

Step 1. For a given  $f_0 \in H$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we seek  $f_1 \in V$  such that

$$(2.2) f_1 - \varepsilon \mathcal{L} f_1 = f_0.$$

We introduce the bilinear form  $\mathfrak{a}: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}(u,v) := (u,v) - \varepsilon \langle \mathcal{L}u, v \rangle.$$

Thanks to the assumptions made on  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have

$$|\mathfrak{a}(u,v)| \le |u| |v| + \varepsilon M ||u|| ||v||,$$

and

(2.3) 
$$\mathfrak{a}(u,u) \ge |u|^2 + \varepsilon \alpha \|u\|^2 - \varepsilon \kappa |u|^2 \ge \varepsilon \alpha \|u\|^2,$$

whenever  $\varepsilon \kappa < 1$ , what we assume from now on. On the other hand, the mapping  $v \in V \mapsto (f_0, v)$  is a linear and continuous form. We may thus apply the Lax-Milgram theorem which implies

$$\exists ! f_1 \in V, \qquad (f_1, v) - \varepsilon \langle \mathcal{L}f_1, v \rangle = (f_0, v), \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Step 2. We fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon \kappa < 1/2$  and we build by induction the sequence  $(f_k)$  in  $V \subset H$  defined by the family of equations (implicit Euler scheme)

(2.4) 
$$\frac{f_{k+1} - f_k}{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{L} f_{k+1}, \qquad \forall k \ge 0.$$

From the identity

$$(f_{k+1}, f_{k+1}) - \varepsilon \langle \mathcal{L}f_{k+1}, f_{k+1} \rangle = (f_k, f_{k+1}),$$

and (2.3) again, we deduce

$$|f_{k+1}|^2 + \varepsilon \alpha ||f_{k+1}||^2 - \varepsilon \kappa |f_{k+1}|^2 \le |f_k| |f_{k+1}| \le \frac{1}{2} |f_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |f_{k+1}|^2,$$

and then

$$|f_{k+1}|^2 + 2\varepsilon\alpha ||f_{k+1}||^2 \le (1 - 2\varepsilon\kappa)^{-1} |f_k|^2, \quad \forall k \ge 0.$$

Thanks to the discrete version of the Gronwall lemma, we get

$$|f_n|^2 + 2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon ||f_k||^2 \le (1 - 2\varepsilon\kappa)^{-n} |f_0| \le e^{2\kappa\varepsilon n} |f_0|, \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$

We now fix T > 0,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and we define

$$\varepsilon := T/n, \quad t_k = k \, \varepsilon, \quad f^{\varepsilon}(t) := f_{k+1} \text{ on } [t_k, t_{k+1}).$$

The last estimate writes then

(2.5) 
$$2\alpha \int_{0}^{T} \|f^{\varepsilon}\|^{2} dt \leq e^{2\kappa T} |f_{0}|^{2}.$$

Step 3. Consider a test function  $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,T);V)$  and define  $\varphi_k := \varphi(t_k)$ , so that  $\varphi_n = \varphi(T) = 0$ . Multiplying the equation (2.4) by  $\varphi_k$  and summing up from k = 0 to k = n - 1, we get

$$-(\varphi_0, f_0) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\varphi_{k+1} - \varphi_k, f_{k+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon \langle \mathcal{L} f_{k+1}, \varphi_k \rangle.$$

Introducing the two functions  $\varphi^{\varepsilon}, \varphi_{\varepsilon} : [0,T) \to V$  defined by

$$\varphi^{\varepsilon}(t) := \varphi_k \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t) := \frac{t_{k+1} - t}{\varepsilon} \varphi_k + \frac{t - t_k}{\varepsilon} \varphi_{k+1} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}),$$

in such a way that

$$\varphi'_{\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{\varphi_{k+1} - \varphi_k}{\varepsilon}$$
 for  $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$ ,

the above equation also writes

(2.6) 
$$-(\varphi(0), f_0) - \int_0^T (\varphi_{\varepsilon}', f^{\varepsilon}) dt = \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{L} f^{\varepsilon}, \varphi^{\varepsilon} \rangle dt.$$

On the one hand, from (2.5) and the fact that  $L^2(0,T;V)$  is a Hilbert space, we know that up to the extraction of a subsequence, there exists  $f \in L^2(0,T;V)$  such that  $f^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup f$  weakly in  $L^2(0,T;V)$  and thus  $\mathcal{L}f^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{L}f$  weakly in  $L^2(0,T;V')$ . On

the other hand, from the above construction, we have  $\varphi'_{\varepsilon} \to \varphi'$  and  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \to \varphi$  both uniformly in  $L^{\infty}(0,T;V)$  (using that  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  belong to C([0,T];V) and thus are uniformly continuous). We may then pass to the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  in (2.6) and we get (1.8). More concretely, we are just saying that

$$f^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup f$$
,  $\nabla f^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \nabla f$  weakly in  $L^{2}(\mathcal{U})$ ,  
 $\varphi'_{\varepsilon} \to \varphi'$ ,  $\varphi^{\varepsilon} \to \varphi$   $\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \nabla \varphi$  strongly in  $L^{2}(\mathcal{U})$ ,

and we may pass to the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$  in both integrals

$$\int_0^T (\varphi_{\varepsilon}', f^{\varepsilon}) dt = \int_{\mathscr{U}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}' f^{\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\int_0^T \langle \mathcal{L} f^{\varepsilon}, \varphi^{\varepsilon} \rangle \, dt = - \int_{\mathcal{U}} \nabla f^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\mathcal{U}} (b \cdot \nabla f^{\varepsilon} + c f^{\varepsilon}) \varphi_{\varepsilon}.$$

Exercise 2.1. Establish the same existence result under the assumptions

$$a, b \in L^d(\Omega), \quad c \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega), \quad c_+ \in L^{d/2}(\Omega).$$

# 3. Topic 11. Second proof of the existence part - a variational approach

3.1. A variant of the Lax-Milgram theorem. We consider a Hilbert space  $\mathscr{H}$  endowed with a scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the associated norm  $|\cdot|$ . We consider next a subspace  $\Phi \subset \mathscr{H}$  endowed with a pre-Hilbertian scalar product  $((\cdot, \cdot))$  and the associated norm  $||\cdot||$  such that

$$(3.1) |\varphi| < C||\varphi||, \quad \forall \varphi \in \Phi.$$

We finally consider a bilinear form  $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{H} \times \Phi \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

(3.2) 
$$\forall \varphi \in \Phi, \ \exists C_{\varphi} \ge 0, \quad |\mathcal{E}(f,\varphi)| \le C_{\varphi}|f|, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$(3.3) \exists \alpha > 0, \mathcal{E}(\varphi, \varphi) \ge \alpha \|\varphi\|^2, \ \forall \varphi \in \Phi.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** For any linear and continuous form  $\ell: \Phi \to \mathbb{R}$ , meaning that

$$(3.4) |\ell(\varphi)| < C||\varphi||, \quad \forall \varphi \in \Phi,$$

there exists at least one  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

(3.5) 
$$\mathcal{E}(f,\varphi) = \ell(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \Phi.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. For a fixed  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , the mapping  $f \mapsto \mathcal{E}(f,\varphi)$  is a linear and continuous form on  $\mathcal{H}$ , so that, from the Riesz-Fréchet representation theorem in  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $\mathcal{A}\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

(3.6) 
$$\mathcal{E}(f,\varphi) = (f,\mathcal{A}\varphi), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}, \ \varphi \in \Phi,$$

and  $\mathcal{A}: \Phi \to \mathscr{H}$  is a linear mapping. Because of (3.3),  $\mathcal{A}$  is one-to-one (injection). On the linear subspace  $\mathscr{G}:=\mathcal{A}\Phi\subset \mathscr{H}$ , we may then define the inverse linear mapping  $\mathcal{B}:=\mathcal{A}^{-1}:\mathscr{G}\to\Phi$ . Using (3.6), (3.3) and (3.1), for any  $g\in\mathscr{G}$ , we have

$$\alpha \|\mathcal{B}g\|^2 \le \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{B}g, \mathcal{B}g) = (\mathcal{B}g, g) \le |\mathcal{B}g||g| \le C\|\mathcal{B}g\||g|,$$

from what we immediately deduce that  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded with norm  $\|\mathcal{B}\| \leq C/\alpha$ . Defining  $\overline{\mathscr{G}}$  the closure of  $\mathscr{G}$  in  $\mathscr{H}$  (for the norm  $|\cdot|$ ) and  $\hat{\Phi}$  the completion of  $\Phi$  for the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , we may uniquely extend  $\mathcal{B}$  as  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}: \bar{\mathscr{G}} \to \hat{\Phi}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|\mathscr{G}} = B$ . We may

also uniquely extend  $\ell$  as a linear and continuous form  $\bar{\ell}$  on  $\hat{\Phi}$ . The equation (3.5) becomes

$$(f, \mathcal{A}\varphi) = \bar{\ell}(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \Phi,$$

or equivalently

$$(3.7) (f,\psi) = \bar{\ell}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}\psi), \quad \forall \, \psi \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}$$

From the Riesz-Fréchet representation theorem in  $\bar{\mathscr{G}}$  and because  $\bar{\ell} \circ \bar{\mathscr{B}}$  is a linear and continuous mapping on  $\bar{\mathscr{G}}$ , there exists a unique  $f \in \bar{\mathscr{G}}$  solution to (3.7), and this one provides a solution to (3.5). When  $\bar{\mathscr{G}} \neq \mathscr{H}$ , the problem (3.5) has a family of solutions given by  $\{f\} + \bar{\mathscr{G}}^{\perp}$ .

3.2. An alternative proof of Theorem 1.2. We consider the parabolic equation (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(1.4) with same notations, with A := I and a := 0 for simplicity and we additionally assume

(3.8) 
$$\sup c + \frac{1}{2}|b|^2 \le -\frac{1}{2}.$$

This additional assumption will be removed in the next section. We define the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  endowed with its usual norm and the pre-Hilbert space  $\Phi := C_c^1([0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  defined by

$$\|\varphi\|^2 := \int_0^T \|\varphi(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 dt + \|\varphi(0,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

We also define the bilinear form

$$\mathcal{E}(f,\varphi) := \int_{\mathscr{U}} (\nabla f \cdot \nabla \varphi - (b \cdot \nabla f + cf)\varphi - f\partial_t \varphi) \, dx dt,$$

with always  $\mathscr{U} := (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , and the linear form

$$\ell(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(0, \cdot) f_0 \, dx.$$

We observe that

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi,\varphi) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\nabla \varphi|^2 - \nabla \varphi \cdot b \, \varphi - c \varphi^2) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(0,x)^2 dx \ge \frac{1}{2} ||\varphi||^2,$$

where we have used the Young inequality and the condition (3.8) in order to get the last inequality, that  $\mathcal{E}$  also satisfies (3.2) and that  $\ell$  satisfies (3.4). From Theorem 3.1, we know that there exists  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfying (3.5), or in other words

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} (\nabla f \cdot \nabla \varphi - (b \cdot \nabla f + cf)\varphi - f\partial_t \varphi) \, dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(0, \cdot) f_0 \, dx,$$

for any  $\varphi \in C^1_c([0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Because  $C^1_c([0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d) \subset C^1_c([0,T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  with dense embedding, we deduce that f is in fact a weak-solution in the sense of Definition 1.1.

3.3. A time dependent variant of Theorem 1.2. We consider the parabolic equation

(3.9) 
$$\partial_t f = \mathcal{L}f := \operatorname{div}(A \nabla f) + \operatorname{div}(af) + b \cdot \nabla f + cf + \mathfrak{F},$$

where  $A_{ij}$ ,  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  and c are possible time dependent coefficients and where  $A_{ij}$  is uniformly elliptic in the sense that

$$(3.10) \qquad \forall t \in (0,T), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad A_{ij}(t,x) \, \xi_i \xi_j \ge \nu \, |\xi|^2, \quad \nu > 0.$$

Theorem 3.2 (J.-L. Lions). Assume that

$$(3.11) A, a, b, c \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and that A satisfies the uniformly elliptic condition (3.10). For any  $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathfrak{F} := F_0 + \operatorname{div} F$ ,  $F_i \in L^2(\mathscr{U})$ , there exists at least a weak solution  $f \in L^2(0,T;H^1)$  to the Cauchy problem associated to (3.9) in the sense that

(3.12) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t)\varphi(t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0\varphi(0) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathfrak{F}\varphi + f\partial_t\varphi) dx ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{(b \cdot \nabla f + cf) \varphi - (A\nabla f + af) \cdot \nabla \varphi\} dx ds,$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1([0$ 

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Step 1. We proceed similarly as in the alternative proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.2 and in particular we define  $\mathscr{H}$  and  $\Phi$  in the same way. We now define the bilinear form on  $\mathscr{H} \times \Phi$  by

$$\mathcal{E}(f,\varphi) := \int_{\mathscr{U}} ((A\nabla f + af) \cdot \nabla \varphi - (b \cdot \nabla f + cf)\varphi - f\partial_t \varphi) \, dx dt$$

and the linear form on  $\Phi$  by

$$\ell(\varphi) := \int_{\mathscr{U}} (F_0 \varphi - F \cdot \nabla \varphi) \, dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(0, \cdot) f_0 \, dx.$$

We additionally first assume that

(3.13) 
$$\sup c \le -\min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}) - \frac{1}{2\nu} \|a - b\|_{L^{\infty}}^2.$$

In that case, we may observe that

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi,\varphi) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} (A\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla\varphi + \nabla\varphi \cdot (a-b)\varphi - c\varphi^2) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(0,x)^2 dx$$
$$\geq \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2}) \|\varphi\|^2,$$

that  $\mathcal{E}$  also satisfies (3.2) and that  $\ell$  satisfies (3.4). Exactly as in Section 3.2, we deduce the existence of a weak solution  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  to the parabolic equation (3.9) with the help of Theorem 3.1.

Step 2. We do not assume anymore (3.13). We define  $c_{\lambda} := c - \lambda$ , with  $\lambda > 0$  large enough in such a way that  $c_{\lambda}$  satisfies the additional condition (3.13), and we set  $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda} := e^{-\lambda t}\mathfrak{F}$ . We may apply the first step with the choice of functions A, a, b,  $c_{\lambda}$ ,  $f_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_{\lambda}$ , and we thus obtain the existence of a variational solution  $g \in \mathscr{H}$  to the modified equation

(3.14) 
$$\partial_t g + \lambda g = \operatorname{div}(A \nabla g) + \operatorname{div}(ag) + b \cdot \nabla g + cg + e^{-\lambda t} \mathfrak{F} \text{ in } \mathscr{U},$$

with initial condition  $g(0,\cdot) = f_0$ . For any  $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , choosing  $\phi := e^{\lambda t} \varphi \in C_c^1([0,T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  as a test function in the variational formulation of (3.14), we immediately deduce that  $f := e^{\lambda t} g \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfies (3.12).

Exercise 3.3. Consider the transport equation

$$\partial_t f = \operatorname{div}(af) + b \cdot \nabla f + cf, \quad f(0) = f_0,$$

with

$$a, b, c \in L^{\infty}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and prove the existence of a weak solution  $f \in L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  thanks to the variational method.

### 4. Topic 12. Generalities about evolution PDEs

## • From well-posed evolution equation to semigroup.

We consider an evolution equation

(4.1) 
$$\partial_t f = \mathcal{L}f, \quad f(0) = f_0.$$

For two Banach spaces X and  $\mathscr{X} \subset C(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ , we assume that for any  $f_0 \in X$ , there exists a unique function  $f \in \mathscr{X}$  which is a solution to the evolution equation (possibly in a weak sense) and that for any T, R > 0 there exists  $C_{T,R}$  such that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} ||f(t)||_X \le C_{T,R} \quad \text{if} \quad ||f_0|| \le R.$$

Then, there exists a semigroup S on X such that the above solution is given by  $f = S_t f_0$ . We recall the definition of a semigroup:

We say that  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous semigroup of linear and bounded operators on a Banach space X, or we just say that  $S_t$  is  $C_0$ -semigroup (or a semigroup) on X, if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) one parameter family of operators:  $\forall t \geq 0, f \mapsto S_t f$  is linear and continuous on X;
- (ii) continuity of trajectories:  $\forall f \in X, t \mapsto S_t f \in C([0,\infty),X)$ ;
- (iii) semigroup property:  $S_0 = I$ ;  $\forall s, t \geq 0, S_{t+s} = S_t S_s$ ;
- (iv) growth estimate:  $\exists \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \exists M \geq 1$ ,

$$(4.2) ||S_t||_{\mathscr{B}(X)} \le M e^{\kappa t} \quad \forall t \ge 0.$$

We say that S is a semigroup of contractions if (4.2) holds with M=1 and  $\kappa=0$ .

 $\bullet$  From semigroup to evolution equation. On the other way round, for a given semigroup S, we may associate its generator in the following way. We define the domain

$$D(\mathcal{L}) := \{ f \in X; \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{S_t f - f}{t} \text{ exists in } X \},$$

and next the generator

$$\mathcal{L} f := \lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{S_t f - f}{t}$$
 for any  $f \in D(\mathcal{L})$ .

It turns out that for any  $f_0 \in D(\mathcal{L})$  (resp.  $f_0 \in X$ ) the flow  $f := S_t f_0$  provides a strong (resp. weak) solution to the evolution equation (4.1) associated to its generator  $\mathcal{L}$ .

- Explicit semigroup. They are some (few) evolution PDEs for which we may build explicitly the solutions through a representation formula (among them are the heat equation and the transport equation). That provides in the same time the solution and the associated semigroup.
- Spectral analysis and evolution equation. They are some evolution PDEs associated to an integro-differential operator  $\mathcal{L}$  acting in some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  for which we may establish the existence of spectral basis. That means that there exists a sequence  $(\phi_k, \lambda_k)$  of  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}$  such that the space generated by  $(\phi_k)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  and

$$(\phi_k, \phi_\ell) = \delta_{k\ell}, \quad \mathcal{L}\phi_k = \lambda \phi_k, \quad \forall k, \ell \ge 1.$$

For any  $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ , the evolution equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$f'_k = \lambda_k f_k, \quad f_k(0) = (f_0, \phi_k)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

We thus obtain that the function

$$f(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_k t} (f_0, \phi_k)_{\mathcal{H}} \phi_k$$

is a solution to (4.1).

• Perturbation / Duhamel formula. Consider a semigroup  $S_{\mathcal{B}}$  with generator  $\mathcal{B}$  and an operator  $\mathcal{A}$  which is bounded by  $\mathcal{B}$  (in a sense to specify). We may then build a (mild) solution to the evolution equation associated to the operator  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}$  through one of the two Duhamel formulas

$$S_{\mathcal{L}} = S_{\mathcal{B}} + S_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A} * S_{\mathcal{L}} = S_{\mathcal{B}} + S_{\mathcal{L}} * \mathcal{A}S_{\mathcal{B}},$$

that we establish to be true using the Banach-Picard point Theorem exactly as we have done for perturbing the heat equation (in the first lecture) and the free transport equation (in the second lecture).

- The variational approach. In a Hilbert space framework, the variational approach of J.-L. Lions provides an efficient tools for proving the existence of solutions for a large class of evolution PDE, including parabolic equations and transport equations.
- The Hille-Yosida theory. Any semigroup is a semigroup of contractions in a convenient equivalent Banach space. Thanks to the Hille-Yosida-Lumer-Phillips theorem, we may characterize the class of operators which are the generator of semigroups of contractions: they are the operator with dense domain, closed graph and which are maximal dissipative. In a Hilbert space, we say that an operator  $\mathcal L$  is maximal dissipative if

$$\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall x \ge x_0, \ R(x - \mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{L}), \ (\mathcal{L}f, f)_{\mathcal{H}} \le 0$$

and it has closed graph if  $\{(f, \mathcal{L}f); f \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ . We may then build a solution to the evolution equation associated to  $\mathcal{L}$  by just using the Euler implicit scheme (2.4).