

Régimes de connectivité faible et forte dans un modèle de réseau de neurones

S. Mischler

(Paris-Dauphine)

27ème Journée Interne du
Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions

- Voigt, *A perturbation theorem for the essential spectral radius of strongly continuous semigroups*, Monatsh. Math. (1980)
- Pakdaman, Perthame, Salort, *Dynamics of a structured neuron population*, Nonlinearity (2010)
- Pakdaman, Perthame, Salort, *Relaxation and self-sustained oscillations in the time elapsed neuron network model*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. (2013)
- M., Weng, *Relaxation in time elapsed neuron network models in the weak connectivity regime*, arXiv 2015
- M., Scher, *Spectral analysis of semigroups and growth-fragmentation equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire (2016)
- Weng, *General time elapsed neuron network model: well posedness and strong connectivity regime*, arXiv 2016
- M., Quiñinao, Weng, *Weak and strong connectivity regimes for a general time elapsed neuron network model*, Weng's PhD thesis and in progress

Outline of the talk

1 Introduction

- Model
- Assumptions
- Main results

2 Linear and nonlinear stability

- An auxiliary linear equation (without delay)
- Coming back to the nonlinear equation
- The case with delay

Outline of the talk

1 Introduction

- Model
- Assumptions
- Main results

2 Linear and nonlinear stability

- An auxiliary linear equation (without delay)
- Coming back to the nonlinear equation
- The case with delay

Relaxation in time elapsed neuron network models

- State of a neuron: local time (or internal clock) $x \geq 0$ corresponding to the elapsed time since the last discharge;
- Dynamic of the neuron network: (age structured) evolution equation

$$\partial_t f = -\partial_x f - a(x, \lambda m(t))f =: \mathcal{L}_{\lambda m(t)} f, \quad f(t, 0) = p(t)$$

on the density number of neurons $f = f(t, x) \geq 0$.

- $a(x, \lambda \mu) \geq 0$: firing rate of a neuron in the state x for a network activity $\mu \geq 0$ and a network connectivity parameter $\lambda \geq 0$.
- $p(t)$: total density of neurons undergoing a discharge at time t given by

$$p(t) := \mathcal{P}_\lambda[f(t); m(t)], \quad \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g, \mu] := \int_0^\infty a(x, \lambda \mu) g(x) dx.$$

- $m(t)$: network activity at time $t \geq 0$ resulting from earlier discharges given by

$$m(t) := \int_0^\infty p(t-y)b(dy),$$

b delay distribution taking into account the persistence of electric activity

- *Case without delay*, when $b = \delta_0$ and then $m(t) = p(t)$.
- *Case with delay*, when b is a smooth function.

Hypothesis

- Monotony and smoothness of the firing rate

$$\partial_x a \geq 0, \quad a' = \partial_\mu a \geq 0,$$

$$0 < a_0 := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a(x, 0) \leq \lim_{x, \mu \rightarrow \infty} a(x, \mu) =: a_1 < \infty,$$

$$a \in \text{Lip}_\mu L_x^1 :$$

$$\forall \xi > 0 \text{ small}, \forall \mu_0 > 0, \forall \mu_\infty > 0, \exists \lambda_0 > 0, \exists \lambda_\infty > 0$$

$$\int_0^\infty |a(\lambda \mu_2) - a(\lambda \mu_1)| dx \leq \xi |\mu_2 - \mu_1|, \quad \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, \mu_0), \forall \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$$

$$\int_0^\infty |a(\lambda \mu_2) - a(\lambda \mu_1)| dx \leq \xi |\mu_2 - \mu_1|, \quad \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in (\mu_\infty, \infty), \forall \lambda \in (\lambda_\infty, \infty)$$

- Without delay or smooth delay

$$b = \delta_0 \quad \text{or} \quad \exists \delta > 0, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{\delta y} (b(y) + |b'(y)|) dy < \infty.$$

- Bounded and positive initial datum

$$f_0 \in L_q^1 \cap L^\infty, \quad q > 0, \quad \kappa_0 := \int_0^\infty a(x, 0) f_0(x) dx > 0.$$

Example

$$a(x, \mu) = \mathbf{1}_{x > \sigma(\mu)}, \quad \sigma' \leq 0,$$

with

$$\sigma_+ := \sigma(0), \quad \sigma_- := \sigma(\infty), \quad \sigma_- < \sigma_+ < 1,$$

and σ satisfies the regularity condition

$$\sigma, \sigma^{-1} \in W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

together with

$$s\sigma'(s) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1. There exists a weak solution $f \in C([0, \infty); L^1) \cap L^\infty(0, \infty; L^\infty)$

- in the delay case;
- in the case without delay in the strong/weak connectivity regime.

The solution is unique in the strong/weak connectivity regime.

Theorem 2. There exists (at least) one normalized and positive stationary solution F_λ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda M_\lambda} F_\lambda = -\partial_x F_\lambda - a(x, \lambda M_\lambda) F_\lambda = 0, \quad F_\lambda(0) = M_\lambda,$$

$$M_\lambda = \mathcal{P}_\lambda[F_\lambda; M_\lambda] = \int_0^\infty a(x, \lambda M_\lambda) F_\lambda(x) dx.$$

Theorem 3. The stationary solution F_λ is unique and exponentially stable in the in the strong/weak connectivity regime:

$$\exists a < 0, \quad \|f(t) - F_\lambda\|_{L^1} \leq C_{f_0} e^{at}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

when $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0) \cup (\lambda_\infty, \infty)$.

Outline of the talk

1 Introduction

- Model
- Assumptions
- Main results

2 Linear and nonlinear stability

- An auxiliary linear equation (without delay)
- Coming back to the nonlinear equation
- The case with delay

Auxiliary linear evolution equation

We introduce an auxiliary linear evolution equation

$$\partial_t g + \partial_x g + a_\lambda g = 0, \quad g(t, 0) = \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g(t, \cdot)],$$

with

$$a_\lambda := a(x, \lambda M_\lambda), \quad \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g] := \int_0^\infty a_\lambda g \, dx.$$

The equation also writes

$$\frac{d}{dt} g = \mathcal{L}g := -\partial_x g - a_\lambda g + \delta_0 \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g].$$

Theorem 4 (Krein-Rutman). $\forall \lambda \geq 0, \exists \alpha < 0, \exists C \geq 1$ such that $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}) \cap \Delta_\alpha = \{0\}$ and

$$\|S_{\mathcal{L}}(t)g_0\|_X \leq C e^{\alpha t} \|g_0\|_X, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

for any $g_0 \in X := L^1_q, q > 0$.

Lemma 1 (positivity). $g_0 \geq 0 \implies S_{\mathcal{L}}(t)g_0 \geq 0.$

Lemma 2 (strong maximum principle).

$$g \in D(\mathcal{L}), g \in X_+ \setminus \{0\}, (\eta - \mathcal{L})g \geq 0 \implies g > 0.$$

Corollary $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}) \cap \bar{\Delta}_0 = \{0\}$ and $N(\mathcal{L}) = \text{span}(F_\lambda).$

We split \mathcal{L} as

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}, \quad \mathcal{A}g := \delta_0 \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g], \quad \mathcal{B}g := -\partial_x g - a_\lambda g.$$

We have for $a < 0$:

- (1) $(S_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A})^{(*\ell)} * S_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{O}(e^{at});$
- (2) $(R_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A})^2 = \mathcal{O}(1/|z|), R_{\mathcal{B}}(z) := (\mathcal{B} - z)^{-1} = (\widehat{S}_{\mathcal{B}})(z);$
- (3) $(R_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A})^2 \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y), Y := D(\mathcal{L}) \cap L_{q+1}^1 \subset\subset X.$

Theorem 5. The conclusions of Weyl's theorem and the spectral mapping theorem apply to \mathcal{L} . Roughly $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}) \cap \Delta_a \subset \Sigma_d(\mathcal{L})$ the discrete spectrum and $\Sigma(S_{\mathcal{L}}(t)) = e^{t\Sigma(\mathcal{L})}.$

We compute

$$(S_B \mathcal{A})^{(*2)}(t)g = \varphi_t \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g],$$

with

$$\varphi_t(x) = \psi(t-x) \exp(-A_\lambda(x)), \quad \psi(u) := \mathbf{1}_{u \geq 0} a_\lambda(u) e^{-A_\lambda(u)}, \quad A'_\lambda = a_\lambda.$$

Since $\psi \in BV$, we deduce

$$\|(R_B \mathcal{A})^2(z)g\|_X = \|e^{-zx - A_\lambda(x)}\|_X |\hat{\psi}(z)| |\mathcal{P}_\lambda[g]| \lesssim \frac{1}{|z|} \|g\|_X,$$

which is nothing but (2).

For proving Weyl's theorem and the spectral mapping theorem, we use the itareted Duhamel formula and its resolvent contrepарт

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{R}_B - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} (\mathcal{R}_B \mathcal{A})^{n-1} \mathcal{R}_B + (-1)^n (\mathcal{R}_B \mathcal{A})^n \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{L} \\ S_\mathcal{L} &= S_B + \dots + (S_B \mathcal{A})^{*(n-1)} S_B + \frac{i(-1)^n}{2\pi} \int_{\uparrow a} (\mathcal{R}_B \mathcal{A})^n \mathcal{R}_\mathcal{L} e^{zt} dz \end{aligned}$$

Coming back to the nonlinear equation

In the weak/strong connectivity regime, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f &= -\partial_x f - a(\lambda\varphi[f])f + \delta_0\varphi[f], \\ 0 &= -\partial_x F + a(\lambda\varphi[F])F + \delta_0\varphi[F],\end{aligned}$$

with $g \mapsto \varphi[g]$ is the unique solution to the constraint equation

$$m = \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g, m] = \int_0^\infty a_\lambda(x, \lambda m)g \, dx.$$

Setting $g := f - F$, we deduce

$$\partial_t g = \mathcal{L}g + Z[g],$$

with the nonlinear term

$$Z[g] := -Q[g] + \delta_0\langle Q[g] \rangle, \quad Q[g] := (a_\lambda(\varphi[f]) - a_\lambda(\varphi[F]))f.$$

We conclude by observing $\|Q[g]\|_X \leq \varepsilon(\lambda)\|g\|_X$ with $\varepsilon(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ or $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

The case with delay

We introduce an auxiliary unknown $u = u(t, y)$ and we rewrite the problem as an autonomous system

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f &= -\partial_x f - a_\lambda(\mathcal{D}[u])f + \delta_0 p \\ \partial_t u &= -\partial_y u + \delta_0 p,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$p := \mathcal{P}(f, \mathcal{D}[u]), \quad \mathcal{D}[w] := \int_0^\infty w(y) b(dy).$$

Similarly, we rewrite the stationary equation as

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= -\partial_x F - k_\lambda(M)F + \delta_0 M \\ 0 &= -\partial_y U + \delta_0 M,\end{aligned}$$

with $M = \mathcal{P}(F, M)$, $M = \mathcal{D}[U]$.

The variations $g := f - F$, $v := u - U$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t g &= -\partial_x g - a_\lambda(M)g + \delta_0 \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g, M] + Z_1[g, v] \\ \partial_t v &= -\partial_y v + \delta_0 \mathcal{P}_\lambda[g, M] + Z_2[g, v],\end{aligned}$$

and we proceed similarly as in the case without delay.