

W_1 stability estimate and rate of propagation of chaos for the HS Boltzmann model

S. Mischler

(CEREMADE, U. Paris Dauphine-PSL)

Joint work with C. Mouhot and J. Norris

Recent advances in kinetic equations and applications
Rome, November 11-15, 2019

Outlines of the talk

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The W_1 stability estimate
- 3 Short discussion about chaos
- 4 Uniform in time propagation of chaos

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The W_1 stability estimate
- 3 Short discussion about chaos
- 4 Uniform in time propagation of chaos

- Establish a W_1 estimate between two solutions of the nonlinear space homogeneous Boltzmann equation for Hard Spheres
 - ▷ follow Di Blasio argument, but on a dual problem
 - ▷ improve Mouhot-Fournier PDE argument
 - ▷ generalize Tanaka, Fournier, Fournier-Perthame coupling argument
 - ▷ simplify Norris-Heydecker martingale argument
- **Motivation:** Deduce a (uniform in time) rate of propagation of chaos for the Kac-Boltzmann Hard Spheres N -particle system
 - ▷ recover the same result by Norris, Heydecker (by martingale argument)
 - ▷ improve a similar result by M.-Mouhot (by semigroup argument)

The nonlinear HS Boltzmann equation

The nonlinear HS Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}} [f' f'_* - f f_*] B \, dv_* d\sigma, \quad f(0, \cdot) = f_0,$$

gives the evolution of the velocities statistical distribution $f = f(t, v) \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ under Hard Spheres interactions, so that

$$B = |v - v_*|,$$

where

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v_* - v|}{2} \sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v_* - v|}{2} \sigma,$$

and we use the shorthands

$$f(t, v) = f, \quad f(t, v_*) = f_*, \quad f(t, v') = f', \quad f(t, v'_*) = f'_*.$$

Observe that momentum and **energy** are conserved

$$v' + v'_* = v + v_*, \quad |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2 = |v|^2 + |v_*|^2,$$

so that

$$\int f_t(1, v, |v|^2) \, dv = (1, 0, d), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Constructive W_1 stability estimate

We introduce the notations: the weighted Lebesgue space

$$L_k^1 := \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d); |v|^k f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

and the Monge-Kantorovitch-Wasserstein (MKW) distance

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(f, g) &= \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} 1 \wedge |v - w| \pi(dv, dw), \pi_1 = f, \pi_2 = g \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f - g) \varphi dv, \|\varphi\|_{W^{1, \infty}} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that for any $f_0, g_0 \in L_3^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the associated solutions $f, g \in C([0, \infty); L_2^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to the nonlinear HS Boltzmann equation satisfies

$$\widetilde{W}_1(f_t, g_t) \lesssim e^{\kappa t} \widetilde{W}_1(f_0, g_0), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

- ▷ biblio for L_2^1 : Arkeryd (1971), DiBlasio (1974), M.-Wennberg (1999), Lu (1999)
- ▷ biblio: W_1 : Tanaka (1978/79), Fournier-Mouhot (2009), Norris (2016), Heydecker (2019), Fournier-Perthame (arXiv)

Constructive uniform in time W_1 stability estimate

We remind the exponential rate of convergence to the normalized gaussian equilibrium $\gamma := (2\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-|v|^2/2}$

Theorem

There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for any $f_0 \in L^1_3(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the associated solutions $f \in C([0, \infty); L^1_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to the nonlinear HS Boltzmann equation satisfies

$$W_1(f_t, \gamma) \lesssim e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

▷ biblio : Arkeryd (1988), Carlen-Carvalho (1994), Abrahamsson (1999), Toscani-Villani (1999), Villani (2003), Baranger-Mouhot (2005), Mouhot (2006).

Writing

$$\widetilde{W}_1(f_t, g_t) \lesssim \sup_{s \geq 0} \min(e^{\kappa s} \widetilde{W}_1(f_0, g_0), e^{-\lambda s}),$$

we deduce

Corollary

$$\widetilde{W}_1(f_t, g_t) \lesssim \widetilde{W}_1(f_0, g_0)^{\frac{\lambda}{\kappa + \lambda}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Kac: Foundations of kinetic theory (1956)

- Go **rigorously** from a **microscopic** description to a **statistical** description :
 - ▷ Justify the nonlinear Boltzmann equation at the **mesoscopic** level
 - ▷ Simplify the huge number of particles microscopic description
- Mean field limit in the sense that each particle interacts with all the other particles with an intensity of order $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$
⇒ statistical description = *law of large numbers limit* of a N -particle system
- at the formal level the identification of the limit is quite clear when one assumes the molecular chaos for the limit model
- main difficulty : propagation of chaos
 - ▷ chaos for ∞ particles = Boltzmann's molecular chaos (stochastic independence)
 - ▷ chaos for $N \rightarrow \infty$ particles = Kac's chaos (asymptotic stochastic independence)
 - ▷ propagation of chaos: holds at time $t = 0$ implies holds for any $t > 0$
 - ▷ propagation of chaos is necessary in order to identify the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$

Boltzmann-Kac N -particle system

Consider a system of N indistinguishable (exchangeable) particles, each particle being described by its velocity $\mathcal{V}_1^N, \dots, \mathcal{V}_N^N \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which undergoes random Boltzmann jumps (collisions): defined step by step as follows:

(i) draw randomly the collision times $T_{i',j'} \sim \text{Exp}(B(|\mathcal{V}_{i'} - \mathcal{V}_{j'}|))$ for any (i', j') ; then select the pre-collisional velocity $(\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{V}_j)$ such that

$$T_{i,j} = \min_{(i',j')} T_{i',j'}.$$

(ii) pick randomly $\sigma \in S^2$ according to the uniform density law and define the post-collisional velocities $(\mathcal{V}_i^*, \mathcal{V}_j^*)$ thanks to

$$\mathcal{V}_i^* = \frac{\mathcal{V}_i + \mathcal{V}_j}{2} + \frac{|\mathcal{V}_j - \mathcal{V}_i|}{2} \sigma, \quad \mathcal{V}_j^* = \frac{\mathcal{V}_i + \mathcal{V}_j}{2} - \frac{|\mathcal{V}_j - \mathcal{V}_i|}{2} \sigma.$$

Observe that **momentum** and **energy** are conserved

$$\mathcal{V}_i^* + \mathcal{V}_j^* = \mathcal{V}_i + \mathcal{V}_j, \quad |\mathcal{V}_i^*|^2 + |\mathcal{V}_j^*|^2 = |\mathcal{V}_i|^2 + |\mathcal{V}_j|^2$$

for **each** collision, so that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathcal{V}_i(t) = \text{cst} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_i |\mathcal{V}_i(t)|^2 = \text{cst} = d.$$

Alternative formulations

The N -particle random system $\mathbf{v}^N = (v_1^N, \dots, v_N^N) \in E^N$, $E := \mathbb{R}^d$, evolves according to

$$d\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{S^{d-1}} (\mathbf{v}'_{ij} - \mathbf{v}) |v_i - v_j| d\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(d\sigma)$$

where \mathcal{N} Poisson measure, $\mathbf{v}'_{ij} = (v_1, \dots, v'_i, \dots, v'_j, \dots, v_N)$ represents the system after collision of the pair (v_i, v_j) .

In particular, the law $F^N(t) := \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}_t^N)$ satisfies the Master (Liouville or backward Kolmogorov) equation

$$\partial_t F^N = \Lambda^N F^N,$$

where the generator Λ^N writes

$$(\Lambda^N F^N)(V) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} [F^N(V'_{ij}) - F^N(V)] |v_i - v_j| d\sigma,$$

for any $V = (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in E^N$.

On the measure of chaos

- the **normalized** MKW distance on $\mathbb{P}(E^j)$ by

$$W_1(F, G) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(F, G)} \int_{E^j \times E^j} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j |x_i - y_i| \wedge 1 \right) \pi(dX, dY).$$

and a sequence (F^N) is f -Kac's chaotic if

$$W_1(F^N, f^{\otimes N}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- a sequence (F^N) is f -entropy chaotic if furthermore

$$H(F^N | \gamma^N) \rightarrow H(f | \gamma), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$H(F^N | \gamma^N) := \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathcal{S}^N} F^N \log \frac{dF^N}{d\gamma^N}, \quad H(f | \gamma) := \int_E f \log \frac{f}{\gamma},$$

with $\gamma^N =$ uniform probability measure on the Boltzmann sphere \mathcal{S}^N

Uniform in time propagation of chaos for the hard spheres Boltzmann-Kac model and time relaxation to the equilibrium uniformly in the number of particles

With W_1 the MKW distance on E^N , γ^N the uniform probability measure on the Kac-Boltzmann sphere and γ the normalized gaussian

Theorem (M., Mouhot, Norris, a possible answer to Kac's problems)

For any $f_0 \in \mathbb{P}(E)$ + conditions, there exists a sequence $\mathcal{V}^N(0)$ of initial conditions for the Boltzmann-Kac process for hard spheres such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} W_1(F^N(t), f(t)^{\otimes N}) \leq \frac{C}{N^\bullet}$$

$$H(F^N(t) | \gamma^N) \rightarrow H(f(t) | \gamma)$$

$$\sup_{N \geq 1} W_1(F^N(t), \gamma^N) \leq \frac{C}{t^\bullet}$$

▷ biblio: Kac (1956), McKean (1967), Grünbaum (1971), Sznitman (1984), Fontbona-Guérin-Méléard (2009), Fournier (2009), M.-Mouhot (2013), Carrapatoso (2016), Fournier-Hauray (2016), Fournier-M. (2016), Norris (2016), Fournier-Guillin (2017), Cortez-Fontbona (2018), Heydecker (2019), M.-Mouhot-Norris (xxxx)

- Same W_1 estimate between two solutions to the nonlinear Boltzmann equation associated to true (without Grad's angular cut-off) hard potential ?
- Similar W_1 estimate between two solutions to the Boltzmann-Kac N -particle system?

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The W_1 stability estimate
- 3 Short discussion about chaos
- 4 Uniform in time propagation of chaos

Previous W_1 stability results for MM and HS

Theorem (Tanaka, Rousset, Fournier-Perthame)

For two solutions to the Boltzmann equation associated to **Maxwell molecules**

$$\begin{aligned}W_2(f_t, g_t) &\leq W_2(f_0, g_0), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\W_1(f_t, g_t) &\leq e^{\kappa t} W_1(f_0, g_0), \quad \forall t \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Corollary (Fournier-Mouhot)

There exists $\theta > 0$ such that for two solutions to the Boltzmann equation associated to **Hard Spheres**

$$W_1(f_t, g_t) \leq W_1(f_0, g_0) e^{-\theta t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall f_0, g_0 \in L_{\text{exp}}^1.$$

The idea is to use the splitting

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \varphi D_t = \iint \dots |v - v_*| \wedge R + \iint \dots (|v - v_*| - R)_+,$$

to roughly estimate the first term as in the Maxwell molecules case and to use exponential weight L^1 estimate for the second case

$$\frac{d}{dt} W_1 \lesssim R W_1 + e^{-R} \leq W_1 (1 + |\log W_1|).$$

Theorem (Di Blasio, Wennberg, M.-Wennberg, Lu)

For two solutions to the Boltzmann equation for HS

$$\|f_t - g_t\|_{L^1_2} \lesssim e^{\kappa t} \|f_0 - g_0\|_{L^1_2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall f_0, g_0 \in L^1_{2 \log}.$$

Idea of the proof. We define $D := f - g$, $S = f + g$, and we write

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int D\varphi = \iint DS_*[\varphi'_* + \varphi' - \varphi_* - \varphi] |v - v_*| d\sigma dv_* dv,$$

with $\varphi := \text{sign}(D) \langle v \rangle^2$, $\langle v \rangle^2 := 1 + |v|^2$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int |D| \langle v \rangle^2 &\leq \iint |D| S_* [\langle v_*' \rangle^2 + \langle v' \rangle^2 + \langle v_* \rangle^2 - \langle v \rangle^2] |v - v_*| d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \iint |D| S_* [1 + \langle v_* \rangle^2] |v - v_*| d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \int S_* \langle v_* \rangle^3 dv_* \int |D| \langle v \rangle^2 dv, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude thanks to the Gronwall lemma.

A word about Povzner convexity trick

For an initial datum $f_0 \in L^1_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int f(1 + |v|^3) &= \iiint f f_* [|v'_*|^3 + |v'|^3 - |v_*|^3 - |v|^3] |v - v_*| d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \iint f f_* [|v_*|^2 |v| - |v_*|^3] |v - v_*| dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \int f_0(1 + |v|^2) dv \int f(1 + |v|^3) dv - \int f_0 dv \int f(1 + |v|^4) dv \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \int f_t(1 + |v|^3) dv \lesssim \int f_0(1 + |v|^3) dv.$$

For an initial datum $f_0 \in L^1_{2 \log}$, we may prove

$$\int_0^T \int f_t(1 + |v|^3) dv dt \lesssim (1 + T) \int f_0(1 + |v|^2 (\log |v|^2)_+) dv.$$

Proof of W_1 stability for HS - 1st step : weighted duality formulation

We write again

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \int D_t \varphi dv &= \int D_t [\mathcal{L}_{S_t} \varphi] dv \\ \mathcal{L}_{S_t} \varphi &:= \iint S_{t*} [\varphi'_* + \varphi' - \varphi_* - \varphi] |v - v_*| d\sigma dv_*.\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the solution φ_s to the backward linear evolution equation

$$\partial_t \varphi_s = \mathcal{L}_{S_{t-s}} \varphi_s, \quad \varphi|_{s=t} = \varphi,$$

we have

$$\int \varphi D_t dv = \int \varphi_t D_0 dv,$$

because

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int \varphi_{t-s} D_s = - \int (\mathcal{L}_{S_s} \varphi_{t-s}) D_s + \int \varphi_{t-s} \frac{d}{ds} D_s = 0.$$

As a consequence, this duality trick implies

$$\int \varphi D_t(dv) \leq \widetilde{W}_1(f_0, g_0) \left\| \frac{\varphi_t(v)}{1 + |v|^2} \right\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$$

We rather consider the evolution equation

$$\partial_t \phi = \iint \left[\phi'_* \omega'_* + \phi' \omega' - \phi_* \omega_* - \phi \omega \right] \frac{|u|}{\omega} \tilde{S}_{t*} d\sigma dv_*,$$

after performing the change of unknown $\phi := \varphi/\omega$ and using the shorthands $u := v - v_*$, $\tilde{S}_t := S_{T-t}$, for fixed final time $T > 0$.

At the formal level, we may compute (dual from DiBlasio)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} \iint \left[\omega'_* + \omega' + \omega_* - \omega \right] \frac{|u|}{\omega} \tilde{S}_t d\sigma dv_* \\ &\lesssim \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} \int \left[1 + \omega_* \right] \frac{|u|}{\omega} \tilde{S}_t dv_* \\ &\lesssim k_t \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty}, \quad k_t := \int S_{t*} (1 + |v_*|^3) dv_* \end{aligned}$$

Gronwall lemma implies

$$(1) \quad \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\phi_0\|_{L^\infty} e^{K_t}, \quad K_t := \int_0^t k_s ds \leq \kappa(t+1).$$

Rigorous proof of L^∞ estimate (G. Toscani has already used a similar trick)

We rather consider truncated evolution equation

$$\partial_t \phi = \iint \left[\phi'_* \omega'_* + \phi' \omega' - \phi_* \omega_* - \phi \omega \right] \frac{B_n}{\omega} \tilde{S}_{t*} d\sigma dv_*,$$

with $B_n := |u| \wedge n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \phi_t(v) &\leq k_t \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} + \lambda_{nt}(v) [\|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} - \phi_t(v)] \\ &\leq k_t \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} + \bar{\lambda}_{nt} [\|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} - \phi_t(v)], \end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$\lambda_{nt}(v) := \int B_n \tilde{S}_{t*} d\sigma dv_*, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{nt} := \|\lambda_{nt}\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \bar{\Lambda}_{nt} := \int_0^t \bar{\lambda}_{ns} ds.$$

Using one time the Gronwall lemma implies

$$u_t \leq u_0 + \int_0^t (k_s + \bar{\lambda}_{ns}) u_s ds, \quad u_t := \|\phi_t\|_{L^\infty} e^{\bar{\Lambda}_{nt}}.$$

Using a second time the Gronwall lemma implies

$$u_t \leq u_0 e^{K_t + \bar{\Lambda}_{nt}},$$

which is nothing but **(1)** which holds independently of the truncation parameter

Proof of W_1 stability for HS - 2nd step : *Lip* estimate on dual problem

We introduce $\psi_t := \nabla \phi_t$ and consider its evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi &= \iint \left[\psi'_* \omega'_* + \psi' \omega' - \psi_* \omega_* - \psi \omega \right] \frac{|u|}{\omega} \tilde{S}_{t*} d\sigma dv_* \\ &\quad + \iint \left[\phi'_* \xi'_* + \phi' \xi' - \phi_* \xi_* - \phi \xi \right] \tilde{S}_{t*} d\sigma dv_*, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi(w) := \nabla_v \left[\omega(w) \frac{|v - v_*|}{\omega(v)} \right].$$

We compute

$$\xi = \hat{u}, \quad \xi_* = \omega_* \left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\omega} + 2 \frac{|u|v}{\omega^2} \right), \quad \xi' = (v' + (v' \cdot \hat{u})\sigma) \frac{|u|}{\omega} + \omega' \left(\frac{\hat{u}}{\omega} + 2 \frac{|u|v}{\omega^2} \right),$$

and similarly ξ'_* , so that the 4 terms are bounded by $C\langle v_* \rangle$. Formally, we thus obtain

$$\partial_t \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim k_t \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}.$$

All together, we have established

$$\|\phi_t\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \lesssim e^{k_t} \|\phi_0\|_{W^{1,\infty}}.$$

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The W_1 stability estimate
- 3 Short discussion about chaos**
- 4 Uniform in time propagation of chaos

- for an **infinite system** of indistinguishable particles: Boltzmann's (molecular) chaos means

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{V}_j) = f \otimes f$$

That is the **stochastic independence** (for a sequence of **exchangeable** random variables)

- for a **system of N** indistinguishable particles with $N \rightarrow \infty$: Kac's chaos means

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_i^N, \mathcal{V}_j^N) \rightarrow f \otimes f \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

That is an **asymptotically stochastic independence** (of the coordinates of a sequence of random vectors with **exchangeable** coordinates)

Difficulty

- For N fixed particles the states $\mathcal{Z}_1(t), \dots, \mathcal{Z}_N(t)$ are **never independent** for positive time $t > 0$ even if the initial states $\mathcal{Z}_1(0), \dots, \mathcal{Z}_N(0)$ are assumed to be independent : that is an inherent consequence of the fact that **particles do interact!**
- Equations are written in spaces with increasing dimension $N \rightarrow \infty$. To overcome that difficulty we **will** work in **fixed spaces** using: empirical probability measure

$$X \in E^N \mapsto \mu_X^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i} \in \mathbb{P}(E)$$

and/or marginal densities

$$F^N \in \mathbb{P}_{sym}(E^N) \mapsto F_j^N := \int_{E^{N-j}} F^N dz_{j+1} \dots dz_N \in \mathbb{P}_{sym}(E^j)$$

- The nonlinear PDE can be obtained as a “*law of large numbers*” for a **not independent array of exchangeable random variables** in the mean-field limit.
- That is more demanding than the usual LLN. We need to **propagate** some asymptotic independence = Kac’s stochastic chaos.

Kac's contribution and Kac's program

- Kac (1956) defined the notion of chaos for sequences of random vectors. He proved the propagation of chaos for the “Kac's caricature” of Boltzmann model. He showed that the stochastic dynamic leaves invariant the Kac's sphere

$$\mathcal{KS}^N := \{V \in \mathbb{R}^N; |v_1|^2 + \dots + |v_N|^2 = N\},$$

and, for any fixed $N \geq 2$, convergence to the equilibrium (stationary measure)

$$F_t^N = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_{1t}^N, \dots, \mathcal{V}_{Nt}^N) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \gamma^N = \text{uniform measure on } \mathcal{KS}^N.$$

Kac's Program:

- (Pb1) Establish propagation of chaos for more realistic (**singular**) models
- (Pb2) Establish the convergence to the equilibrium as $t \rightarrow \infty$ with a **uniform chaos** rate with respect to the number N of particles
- (Pb2') Establish **quantitative chaos** estimate (rate) for Kac's chaos
- (Pb3) Establish Boltzmann's H-theorem from a microscopic description (seems to be Kac's motivation)

Definition of chaos

Chaos is the **asymptotic independence as $N \rightarrow \infty$** for a sequence (Z^N) of exchangeable random variables with values in E^N

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^N = (Z_1^N, \dots, Z_N^N) \in E^N & \rightarrow & F^N := \mathcal{L}(Z^N) \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^N) \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \mu_{Z^N}^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{Z_i^N} \in \mathbb{P}(E) & \rightarrow & \hat{F}^N := \mathcal{L}(\mu_{Z^N}^N) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(E)) \end{array}$$

For a random variable \mathcal{Y} taking values in E with law $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y}) = f \in \mathbb{P}(E)$ we say that (Z^N) is \mathcal{Y} -Kac's chaotic if

- $\mathcal{L}(Z_1^N, \dots, Z_j^N) \rightarrow f^{\otimes j}$ weakly in $\mathbb{P}(E^j)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$;
- $\mu_{Z^N}^N \Rightarrow f$ in law as $N \rightarrow \infty$,
meaning $\mathcal{L}(\mu_{Z^N}^N) \rightarrow \delta_f$ in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(E))$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$;
- $\mathbb{E}(|\mathcal{X}^N - \mathcal{Y}^N|) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for a sequence \mathcal{Y}^N of i.i.d.r.v with law f

Exchangeable means: $\mathcal{L}(Z_{\sigma(1)}^N, \dots, Z_{\sigma(N)}^N) = \mathcal{L}(Z_1^N, \dots, Z_N^N)$ for any permutation σ of the coordinates

Definition of chaos = not about random variables but their laws !

For a given sequence (F^N) in $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^N)$ we define

- the marginals $F_j^N \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^j)$ by

$$F_j^N = \int_{E^{N-j}} F^N dz_{j+1} \dots dz_N$$

- the projection $\hat{F}^N \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(E))$ by

$$\langle \hat{F}^N, \Phi \rangle = \int_{E^N} \Phi(\mu_X^N) F^N(dX) \quad \forall \Phi \in C_b(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

- the **normalized** MKW distance on $\mathbb{P}(E^j)$ by

$$W_1(F, G) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(F, G)} \int_{E^j \times E^j} \left(\frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j |x_i - y_i| \wedge 1 \right) \pi(dX, dY).$$

- the MKW distance \mathcal{W}_1 on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(E))$ by

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\alpha, \beta) := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\alpha, \beta)} \int_{\mathbb{P}(E) \times \mathbb{P}(E)} W_1(\rho, \eta) \pi(d\rho, d\eta).$$

Quantitative comparison of the several Definitions of chaos

For a given sequence (F^N) in $\mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^N)$ we define

- the marginals $F_j^N \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^j)$,
- the projection $\hat{F}^N \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(E))$,
- the normalized MKW distance W_1 on $\mathbb{P}(E^j)$,
- the MKW distance \mathcal{W}_1 on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(E))$,

and for $f \in \mathbb{P}(E)$ we say that (F^N) is f -Kac's chaotic if (equivalently)

- $\mathcal{D}_j(F^N; f) := W_1(F_j^N, f^{\otimes j}) = \mathbb{E}(|(\mathcal{X}_1^N, \dots, \mathcal{X}_j^N) - (\mathcal{X}_1^N, \dots, \mathcal{X}_j^N)|) \rightarrow 0$
- $\mathcal{D}_\infty(F^N; f) := \mathcal{W}_1(\hat{F}^N, \delta_f) = \mathbb{E}(W_1(\mu_{\mathbb{Z}^N}^N, f)) \rightarrow 0$

More precisely, for $E = \mathbb{R}^d$

Lemma (Hauray, M.)

For given M and $k > 1$ there exist some constants $\alpha_j, C > 0$ such that
 $\forall f \in \mathbb{P}(E), \forall F^N \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^N)$ with $M_k(F_1^N), M_k(f) \leq M$

$$\forall j, \ell \in \{1, \dots, N, \infty\}, \ell \neq 1 \quad \mathcal{D}_j(F^N; f) \leq C (\mathcal{D}_\ell(F^N; f))^{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{N^{\alpha_2}}.$$

Stronger chaos: entropy and Fisher's chaos

For $F^N \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^N)$, $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the **normalized** functionals

$$H(F^N) := \frac{1}{N} \int_{E^N} F^N \log F^N, \quad I(F^N) := \frac{1}{N} \int_{E^N} \frac{|\nabla F^N|^2}{F^N}.$$

Definition

Consider a sequence $F^N \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{sym}}(E^N)$ and $f \in \mathbb{P}(E)$

(F^N) is f -entropy chaotic if $F_1^N \rightharpoonup f$ weakly in $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and $H(F^N) \rightarrow H(f)$

(F^N) is f -Fisher's chaotic if $F_1^N \rightharpoonup f$ weakly in $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and $I(F^N) \rightarrow I(f)$

Theorem (Hauray, M.)

In the list below, each assertion implies the one which follows

- (i) (F^N) is Fisher's chaotic;
- (ii) (F^N) is Kac's chaotic and $I(F^N)$ is bounded;
- (iii) (F^N) is entropy chaotic;
- (iv) (F_j^N) converges in L^1 for any $j \geq 1$;
- (v) (F^N) is Kac's chaotic.

Extensions by Carrapatoso, Fournier, Guillin, Hauray, M.

- Kac's chaos, entropic chaos and Fisher's chaos on Kac's spheres and on Boltzmann's spheres
- For a mixture of probability measures = without chaos hypothesis
- Optimal rate of convergence of $\mathcal{D}_\infty(f^{\otimes N}, f) \sim N^{1/d}$ for $f \in \mathbb{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 2$

Based on many previous works from Funct Analysis, Proba, Stat, Geo, ...

- Mixture: de Finetti (1937), Hewitt-Savage (1955), Robinson-Ruelle (1967)
 - Functional and quantified LLN (Glivenko-Cantelli ... Rachev-Rüschendorf ... Barthe-Bordenave)
 - local central limit theorem of Berry-Esseen
 - HWI inequality of Otto and Villani
 - Entropy inequalities: Carlen-Lieb-Loss (2004), Arstein-Ball-Barthe-Naor (2004)
 - previous comparison, quantitative and qualitative results on chaos
- Kac: Foundations of kinetic theory. (1956)
- Sznitman: Topics in propagation of chaos. Saint-Flour -1989 (1991)
- Carlen, Carvalho, Le Roux, Loss, Villani: Entropy and chaos ... (2010)

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The W_1 stability estimate
- 3 Short discussion about chaos
- 4 Uniform in time propagation of chaos

Theorem (Quantified chaos estimate via semigroup method)

For any $f_0 \in \mathbb{P}(E)$ + conditions,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|F_k^N - f^{\otimes k}\|_{W^{-2, \infty}} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-\bullet}} + \mathcal{D}_\infty(F_0^N, f_0) \sim \frac{1}{N^{1/d}}$$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|F_k^N - f^{\otimes k}\|_{W^{-2, \infty}} \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{1-\bullet}} + \mathcal{D}_\infty(F_0^N, f_0)^\bullet \sim \frac{1}{N^\bullet}$$

▷ biblio: Kac (1956), McKean (1967), Grünbaum (1971), Sznitman (1984), Fontbona-Guérin-Méléard (2009), Fournier (2009), M.-Mouhot (2013), Carrapatoso (2016), Fournier-Hauray (2016), Fournier-M. (2016), Norris (2016), Fournier-Guillin (2017), Cortez-Fontbona (2018), Heydecker (2019), M.-Mouhot-Norris (xxxx)

Remark. The functional LLN

$$\mathcal{D}_\infty(F_0^N, f_0) \sim \frac{1}{N^{1/d}}$$

is due to Fournier-Guillin (2015).

We split

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle F_{kt}^N - f_t^{\otimes k}, \varphi \rangle &= \langle F_t^N - f_t^{\otimes N}, \varphi \otimes 1^{\otimes N-k} \rangle = \\
 &= \langle F_t^N, \varphi \otimes 1^{\otimes N-k} - R_\varphi(\mu_V^N) \rangle \quad (= T_1) \\
 &\quad + \langle F_t^N, R_\varphi(\mu_V^N) \rangle - \langle F_0^N, R_\varphi(S_t^{NL} \mu_V^N) \rangle \quad (= T_2) \\
 &\quad + \langle F_0^N, R_\varphi(S_t^{NL} \mu_V^N) \rangle - \langle f_t^{\otimes k}, \varphi \rangle \quad (= T_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

where R_φ is the “polynomial function” on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ defined by

$$R_\varphi(\rho) = \int_{E^k} \varphi \rho(dv_1) \dots \rho(dv_k)$$

and S_t^{NL} is the nonlinear semigroup associated to the nonlinear mean-field limit equation by $g_0 \mapsto S_t^{NL} g_0 := g_t$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_1| &= \left| \left\langle F_t^N, \varphi \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(N-k)}(V) - R_\varphi(\mu_V^N) \right\rangle \right| \\
 &= \left| \left\langle F_t^N, \varphi \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(N-k)}}(V) - R_\varphi(\mu_V^N) \right\rangle \right| \\
 &\leq \left\langle F_t^N, \frac{2k^2}{N} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(E^k)} \right\rangle = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use that F^N is symmetric and a probability and we introduce the symmetrization function associated to $\varphi \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(N-k)}$ by

$$\varphi \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(N-k)}}(V) = \frac{1}{\#\mathfrak{S}_N} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \varphi \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes(N-k)}(V_\sigma).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_3| &= |\langle F_0^N, R_\varphi(S_t^{NL} \mu_V^N) - R_\varphi(S_t^{NL} f_0) \rangle| \\
&\leq [R_\varphi]_{C^{0,1}} \langle F_0^N, W_1(S_t^{NL} \mu_V^N, S_t^{NL} f_0) \rangle \\
&\leq k \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty(E^k)} e^{\kappa t} \langle F_0^N, W_1(\mu_V^N, f_0) \rangle \\
&\lesssim e^{\kappa t} \mathcal{D}_\infty(F_0^N, f_0) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{D}_\infty(F_0^N, f_0)^{\frac{\lambda}{\kappa+\lambda}}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$[R_\varphi]_{C^{0,1}} := \sup_{W_1(\rho, \eta) \leq 1} |R_\varphi(\eta) - R_\varphi(\rho)| = k \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty}$$

because we have established / we may prove that the nonlinear flow satisfies

$$(A5) \quad W_1(f_t, g_t) \lesssim e^{\kappa t} W_1(f_0, g_0)$$

$$(A5') \quad W_1(f_t, g_t) \leq W_1(f_0, g_0)^{\frac{\lambda}{\kappa+\lambda}}$$

T_2 : We write

$$T_2 = \langle F_t^N, R_\varphi(\mu_V^N) \rangle - \langle F_0^N, R_\varphi(S_t^{NL} \mu_V^N) \rangle$$

T_2 : We write

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \langle F_t^N, R_\varphi(\mu_V^N) \rangle - \langle F_0^N, R_\varphi(S_t^{NL} \mu_V^N) \rangle \\ &= \langle F_0^N, T_t^N(R_\varphi \circ \mu_V^N) - (T_t^\infty R_\varphi)(\mu_V^N) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with

- T_t^N = dual semigroup (acting on $C_b(E^N)$) of the N-particle flow $F_0^N \mapsto F_t^N$;
- T_t^∞ = pushforward semigroup (acting on $C_b(\mathbb{P}(E))$) of the nonlinear semigroup S_t^{NL} defined by $(T^\infty \Phi)(\rho) := \Phi(S_t^{NL} \rho)$;

T_2 : We write

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_2 &= \langle F_t^N, R_\varphi(\mu_V^N) \rangle - \langle F_0^N, R_\varphi(S_t^{NL} \mu_V^N) \rangle \\
 &= \langle F_0^N, T_t^N(R_\varphi \circ \mu_V^N) - (T_t^\infty R_\varphi)(\mu_V^N) \rangle \\
 &= \langle F_0^N, (T_t^N \pi_N - \pi_N T_t^\infty) R_\varphi \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

with

- T_t^N = dual semigroup (acting on $C_b(E^N)$) of the N-particle flow $F_0^N \mapsto F_t^N$;
- T_t^∞ = pushforward semigroup (acting on $C_b(\mathbb{P}(E))$) of the nonlinear semigroup S_t^{NL} defined by $(T_t^\infty \Phi)(\rho) := \Phi(S_t^{NL} \rho)$;
- π_N = projection $C(\mathbb{P}(E)) \rightarrow C(E^N)$ defined by $(\pi_N \Phi)(V) = \Phi(\mu_V^N)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_2 &= \langle F_0^N, (T_t^N \pi_N - \pi_N T_t^\infty) R_\varphi \rangle \\
 &= \left\langle F_0^N, \int_0^T T_{t-s}^N (\Lambda^N \pi_N - \pi_N \Lambda^\infty) T_s^\infty ds R_\varphi \right\rangle \\
 &= \int_0^T \langle F_{t-s}^N, (\Lambda^N \pi_N - \pi_N \Lambda^\infty) (T_s^\infty R_\varphi) \rangle ds = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1-\bullet}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

- Λ^N is the generator associated to T_t^N and Λ^∞ is the generator associated to T_t^∞ .

Now we have to make some assumptions

- (A1) F_t^N has enough bounded moments;
- (A2) $\Lambda^\infty \Phi(\rho) = \langle Q(\rho), D\Phi(\rho) \rangle$;
- (A3) $(\Lambda^N \pi^N \Phi)(V) = \langle Q(\mu_V^N), D\Phi(\mu_V^N) \rangle + \mathcal{O}([\Phi]_{C^{1,a}}/N)$
- (A4) $S_t^{NL} \in C^{1,a}(\mathbb{P}(E); \mathbb{P}(E))$ “uniformly” in time $t \in [0, T]$