

Examen Partiel 04/11/2014 - Durée 2h00 - Documents autorisés

Problem 1 (6 pts)

Define $N \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{R}$), $X = \exp(N)$ and a sample of size n (X_1, \dots, X_n) from X .

1) (2 pts) Show that the density of X is given by

$$f_X(x; \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\log(x) - \mu)^2 \right\} \mathbb{I}_{x>0}.$$

2) (2 pts) Compute $\mathbb{E}(X)$ (for instance via a change of variable $y = \log(x)$ and the identification of a relevant Gaussian density).

3) (2 pts) Set $T_n = \log(1/n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i) - 1/2$. Show that T_n converges in probability to μ .

Problem 2 (4pts)

Consider an exponential family with probability density

$$f_\theta(x) = h(x)e^{\theta T(x)} e^{-\psi(\theta)}, \quad \theta \in \Theta = \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}; \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x)e^{\theta T(x)} d\mu(x) < +\infty \right\} \quad (1)$$

with respect to the σ -finite measure ν on \mathbb{R} . We assume Θ is an open set of \mathbb{R} .

1) (1 pt) Establish that the Binomial $\mathcal{B}(m, \mu)$ distribution is an exponential family when the parameter is μ . Determine the natural (canonical) parameterisation, θ , and the natural parameter space Θ . Show that this exponential family is regular.

2) (1 pt) Establish that the Beta $\mathcal{B}e(\alpha\mu, \alpha(1 - \mu))$ distribution is an exponential family when the parameter is μ . Determine the natural (canonical) parameterisation, θ , and the natural parameter space Θ . Show that this exponential family is regular.

Hint The density of the Beta $\mathcal{B}e(\alpha\mu, \alpha(1 - \mu))$ distribution against the Lebesgue measure is equal to

$$f_{\alpha, \mu}(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(x) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha\mu)\Gamma(\alpha(1 - \mu))} x^{\alpha\mu-1} (1-x)^{\alpha(1-\mu)-1}$$

3) (2 pts) Let X_1, \dots, X_n n be independent random variables with identical distribution $\mathcal{B}e(\alpha\mu, (1 - \alpha)\mu)$. Derive the density (against the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n) of $X^{(n)} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and show that $X^{(n)}$ has a distribution that also belongs to an exponential family. Identify all terms in (1).

Problem 3 (12 pts)

Given two independent samples $X^{(n_1)} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n_1})$ and $Y^{(n_2)} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_2})$, with respective distributions F et G , set

$$\widehat{F}_{X^{(n_1)}}(x) = 1/n_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i \leq x\}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{G}_{Y^{(n_2)}}(x) = 1/n_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \mathbb{I}_{\{Y_i \leq x\}}$$

as their respective empirical cdfs.

A test of the potential equality $F = G$ is based on the decision rule : compute the distance

$$T_{n_1, n_2} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \widehat{F}_{X^{(n_1)}}(x) - \widehat{G}_{Y^{(n_2)}}(x) \right|$$

and reject the hypothesis that $F = G$ when T_{n_1, n_2} is too large.

1) (2 pts) Show that, if F also denotes the cdf of the random variable X and if we set

$$F^-(u) = \inf\{x; F(x) \geq u\}$$

then, when $U \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$, $F^-(U) \sim F$.

2) (1 pt) Check the above result when F is an exponential distribution $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ and when F is a Poisson distribution $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$.

3) (1 pt) Provide an example of *and* a counter-example to the statement that, when $X \sim F$, then $F(X) \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$.

4) (2 pts) Show that, when F is strictly increasing, T_{n_1, n_2} is distributed as

$$\tilde{T}_{n_1, n_2} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| 1/n_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \mathbb{I}_{\{U_i \leq F(x)\}} - 1/n_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \mathbb{I}_{\{V_i \leq G(x)\}} \right|,$$

where the U_i 's and the V_i 's are two independent uniform $\mathcal{U}[0, 1]$ samples.

5) (2 pts) Deduce that, when $F = G$, the distribution of T_{n_1, n_2} does not depend on F .

6) (2 pt) Propose a Monte Carlo technique to approximate the distribution of T_{n_1, n_2} .

7) (2 pts) Show that, if the X_i 's and the Y_i 's are jointly sorted into an increasing vector

$$Z^{(n_1+n_2)} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_1+n_2}) \quad \text{with} \quad Z_i \leq Z_{i+1} \quad i = 1, \dots, n_1 + n_2 - 1,$$

then, defining $S_k = 1/n_1$ when Z_k is an element of $X^{(n_1)}$ and $S_k = -1/n_2$ when Z_k is an element of $Y^{(n_2)}$, the following representation

$$T_{n_1, n_2} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n_1+n_2} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^k S_\ell \right|$$

holds.