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#### Abstract

We address the problem of finding a set of contour curves in an image. We consider the problem of perceptual grouping and contour completion, where the data is a set of points in the image. A new method to find complete curves from a set of contours or edge points is presented. Our approach is an extension of previous work on finding a set of contours as minimal paths between end points using the fast marching algorithm. Given a set of key points, we find the pairs of points that have to be linked and the paths that join them. We use the saddle points of the minimal action map. The paths are obtained by backpropagation from the saddle points to both points of each pair. We also propose an extension of this method for contour completion where the data is a set of connected components. We find the minimal paths between each of these components, until the complete set of these "regions" is connected. The paths are obtained using the same backpropagation from the saddle points to both components. Keywords:Perceptual grouping, salient curve detection, active contours, minimal paths, fast marching, level sets, weighted distance, reconstruction, energy minimization, medical imaging.


## 1 Introduction

Since their introduction, active contours [12] have been extensively used to find the contour of an object in an image through the minimization of an energy. In order to get a set of contours of different objects, we need many active contours to be initialized on the image. The level sets paradigm [15, 1] allows changes in topology. It enables to get multiple contours by starting with a single one. However, it does not give satisfying results when there are gaps in the data since the contour may propagate into a hole and then split into several curves where only one contour is desired. This is the problem encountered with perceptual grouping when we try to group a set of incomplete contours. For example, in a binary image of a shape with holes and spurious edge points like the ones in figure 1, human vision can easily recover the missing boundaries, remove the spurious ones and complete the curves. Perceptual grouping is an old issue in computer vision. It has been approached more recently with energy methods [17, 11, 18]. These methods make use of a criteria for saliency of a curve component or for each point of
the image. This saliency measure is based indirectly on a second order regularization snake-like energy ([12]) of a path containing the point. However, the final curves are generally obtained in a second step as ridge lines of the saliency criteria after thresholding. In [19] a similarity between snakes and stochastic completion field is reported. Motivated by this relationship between energy minimizing curves like snakes and completion contours, we worked upon finding a set of completion contours on an image as a set of energy minimizing curves.

In order to solve global minimization for snakes, the authors of [6] used the minimal paths, as introduced in $[14,13]$. The goal was to avoid local minima without relying too much on user initialization, which is a main drawback of classic snakes [4]. Only two end points were needed. The numerical method has the advantage of being consistent (see [6]) and efficient using the Fast Marching algorithm introduced in [16]. In this paper we propose a way to use this minimal path approach to find a set of curves extracted between points in the image. In order to find a set of most salient contour curves in the image, we draw the minimal paths between pairs of points.

We are also interested in finding a set of curves in an image between a set of connected components. This extension of our perceptual grouping technique finds its application in the completion of tube-like structures in images. The problem is here to complete a partially detected object, based on a number of connected components that belong to this object.

In our examples, the potential $P$ to be minimized along the curves is usually an image of edge points that represent simple incomplete shapes. These edge points are represented as a binary image with smallest potential value along the edges and highest value at the background. Such a potential can be obtained from real images by edge detection (see [5]). The potential could also be defined as edges weighted by the value of the gradient or as a function of an estimate of the gradient of the image itself, $P=$ $g(\|\nabla I\|)$, like in classic snakes. In these cases the chosen function has to be such that the potential is positive everywhere, and it has to be decreasing in order to have edge points as minima of the potential. The potential could also be a grey level image as in [6]. It can also be a more complicated function of the grey level, as in [7] or in section 4.4.

The problems we solve in this paper are presented as follows:

- Minimal path between two points: The solution proposed in [6] is reviewed in section 2.


Fig. 1. Examples of incomplete contours

- Minimal paths between a given set of pairs of points is a simple application of the previous one.
- Minimal paths between a given set of unstructured points: a way to find the pairs of linked neighbors and paths between them is proposed in section 3 .
- Minimal paths between a given set of connected components: we propose to find the set of minimal paths that link altogether this set of regions, and we show an example for a medical image in section 4.


## 2 Minimal Paths and weighted distance

### 2.1 Global minimum for Active Contours

We present in this section the basic ideas of the method introduced in [6] to find the global minimum of the active contour energy using minimal paths. The energy to minimize is similar to classical deformable models (see [12]) where it combines smoothing terms and image features attraction term (Potential $P$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(C)=\int_{\Omega}\left\{w_{1}\left\|C^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2}+w_{2}\left\|C^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2}+P(C(s))\right\} d s \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(s)$ represents a curve drawn on a 2D image and $\Omega$ is its domain of definition. The authors of [6] have related this problem to the recently introduced paradigm of the level-set formulation. In particular, its Euler equation is equivalent to the geodesic active contours [1]. The method introduced in [6] improves energy minimization because the problem is transformed in order to ensure global minimization.

### 2.2 Problem formulation

In [6], contrary to the classical snake model (but similarly to geodesic active contours), $s$ represents the arc-length parameter, which means that $\left\|C^{\prime}(s)\right\|=1$, leading to a geometric energy form. Considering a simplified energy model without the second derivative term leads to the expression $E(C)=\int\left\{w\left\|C^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+P(C)\right\} d s$. Assuming that $\left\|C^{\prime}(s)\right\|=1$ leads to the formulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(C)=\int_{\Omega=[0, L]}\{w+P(C(s))\} d s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regularization of this model is now achieved by the constant $w>0$ (see [6] for details).
We now have an expression in which the internal energy can be included in the external potential. Given a potential $P \geq 0$ that takes lower values near desired features, we are looking for paths along which the integral of $\tilde{P}=P+w$ is minimal. The surface of minimal action $\mathcal{U}$ is defined as the minimal energy integrated along a path between a starting point $p_{0}$ and any point $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}(p)=\inf _{\mathcal{A}_{p_{0}, p}} E(C)=\inf _{\mathcal{A}_{p_{0}, p}}\left\{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{P}(C(s)) d s\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2. Finding a minimal path between two points. On the left, the potential is minimal on the ellipse. In the middle, the minimal action or weighted distance to the marked point. On the right, minimal path using backpropagation from the second point.
where $\mathcal{A}_{p_{0}, p}$ is the set of all paths between $p_{0}$ and $p$. The minimal path between $p_{0}$ and any point $p_{1}$ in the image can be easily deduced from this action map. Assuming that potential $P \neq 0$ (this is always the case for $\tilde{P}$ ), the action map has only one local minimum which is the starting point $p_{0}$. The minimal path is found by a simple backpropagation, that is a gradient descent on the minimal action map $\mathcal{U}$ starting from $p_{1}$ until $p_{0}$ is reached. Thus, contour initialization is reduced to the selection of the two extremities of the path. We explain in the next section how to compute efficiently the action $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{U}$.

### 2.3 Fast Marching Resolution

In order to compute the minimal action $\mathcal{U}$, a front-propagation equation related to Equation (3) is solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\tilde{P}} \vec{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It evolves a front starting from an infinitesimal circle shape around $p_{0}$ until each point inside the image domain is assigned a value for $\mathcal{U}$. The value of $\mathcal{U}(p)$ is the time $t$ at which the front reaches the point $p$.

Fast Marching technique, introduced in [16], was used in [6] noticing that the map $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies the Eikonal equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathcal{U}\|=\tilde{P} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{U}\left(p_{0}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Classic finite difference schemes for this equation tend to overshoot and are unstable. An up-wind scheme was proposed by [16]. It relies on a one-sided derivative that looks in the up-wind direction of the moving front, and thereby avoids the over-shooting associated with finite differences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\max \left\{u-\mathcal{U}_{i-1, j}, u-\mathcal{U}_{i+1, j}, 0\right\}\right)^{2}+ \\
& \left(\max \left\{u-\mathcal{U}_{i, j-1}, u-\mathcal{U}_{i, j+1}, 0\right\}\right)^{2}=\tilde{P}_{i, j}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

giving the correct viscosity-solution $u$ for $\mathcal{U}_{i, j}$. The improvement made by Fast Marching is to introduce an order in the selection of the grid points. This order is based on the fact that information is propagating outward, because the action can only grow due to the quadratic Equation (6).

## Algorithm for 2D Fast Marching

- Definitions:
- Alive set: all grid points where the action value $\mathcal{U}$ has been reached and will not be changed;
- Trial set: next grid points (4-connexity neighbors) to be examined. An estimate $U$ of $\mathcal{U}$ has been computed using Equation (6) from alive points only (i.e. from $\mathcal{U}$ );
- Far set: all other grid points, where there is no estimate for $U$ yet;
- Initialization:
- Alive set: reduced to the starting point $p_{0}$, with $U\left(p_{0}\right)=\mathcal{U}\left(p_{0}\right)=0$;
- Trial set: reduced to the four neighbors $p$ of $p_{0}$ with initial value $U(p)=\tilde{P}(p)$ $(\mathcal{U}(p)=\infty)$;
- Far set: all other grid points, with $\mathcal{U}=U=\infty$;
- Loop:
- Let $p=\left(i_{m i n}, j_{m i n}\right)$ be the Trial point with the smallest action $U$;
- Move it from the Trial to the Alive set (i.e. $\mathcal{U}(p)=U_{i_{\text {min }}, j_{m i n}}$ is frozen);
- For each neighbor $(i, j)$ (4-connexity in 2D) of $\left(i_{\text {min }}, j_{\text {min }}\right)$ :
* If $(i, j)$ is Far, add it to the Trial set and compute $U_{i, j}$ using Eqn. 6;
* If $(i, j)$ is Trial, update the action $U_{i, j}$ using Eqn. 6.

Table 1. Fast Marching algorithm

This technique of considering at each step only the necessary set of grid points was originally introduced for the construction of minimum length paths in a graph between two given nodes in [8].

The algorithm is detailed in Table 1. An example is shown in Figure 2. Fast Marching technique selects at each iteration the Trial point with minimum action value. In order to compute this value, Equation (7) has to be solved for each trial point, as detailed in table 2.

### 2.4 Algorithm for 2D Up-Wind Scheme

Notice that for solving Equation (6), only alive points are considered. This means that calculation is made using current values of $\mathcal{U}$ for neighbors and not estimate $U$ of other trial points. Considering the neighbors of grid point $(i, j)$ in 4-connexity, we note $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ the two couples of opposite neighbors such that we get the ordering $\mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right) \leq \mathcal{U}\left(A_{2}\right), \mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right) \leq \mathcal{U}\left(B_{2}\right)$, and $\mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right) \leq \mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)$. Considering that we have $u \geq \mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right) \geq \mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right)$, the equation derived is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u-\mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(u-\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)\right)^{2}=\tilde{P}_{i, j}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing the discriminant $\Delta$ of Equation (7) we have the steps described in table 2.
Thus it needs only one pass over the image. To perform efficiently these operations in minimum time, the Trial points are stored in a min-heap data structure (see details in [16]). Since the complexity of the operation of changing the value of one element of the heap is bounded by a worst-case bottom-to-top proceeding of the tree in $O\left(\log _{2} P\right)$, the total work is bounded $O\left(P \log _{2} P\right)$ for Fast Marching on a grid with $P$ nodes.

1.     - If $\Delta \geq 0, u$ should be the largest solution of Equation (7);

- If the hypothesis $u>\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)$ is wrong, go to 2 ;
- If this value is larger than $\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)$, this is the solution;
- If $\Delta<0, B_{1}$ has an action too large to influence the solution. It means that $u>\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)$ is false. Go to 2 ;
Simple calculus can replace case 1 by the test:
If $\tilde{P}_{i, j}>\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)-\mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right), u=\frac{\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)+\mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right)+\sqrt{2 \tilde{P}_{i, j}^{2}-\left(\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)-\mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right)\right)^{2}}}{2}$ is the largest solution of Equation (7)
else go to 2 ;

2. Considering that we have $u<\mathcal{U}\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $u \geq \mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right)$, we finally have $u=$ $\mathcal{U}\left(A_{1}\right)+\tilde{P}_{i, j}$.

Table 2. Solving locally the upwind scheme

## 3 Finding multiple contours from a set of key points $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$

The method of [6], detailed in the previous section allows to find a minimal path between two endpoints. We are now interested in finding many or all contours in an image. A first step for multiple contours finding in an image is to assume we have a set of points $p_{k}$ given on the image and then find contours passing through these points. We assume the points are either given by a preprocessing or by the user. We propose to find the contours as a set of minimal paths that link pairs of points among the $p_{k}$ 's. If we also know which pairs of points have to be linked together, finding the whole set of contours is a trivial application of the previous section. This would be similar to the method in [10] which used a dynamic programming approach to find the paths between successive points given by the user. The problem we are interested in here is also to find out which pairs of points have to be connected by a contour. Since the set of points $p_{k}$ 's is assumed to be given unstructured, we do not know in advance how the points connect. This is the key problem that is solved here using a minimal action map.

### 3.1 Main ideas of the approach

Our approach is similar to computing the distance map to a set of points and their Voronoi diagram. However, we use here a weighted distance defined through potential $P$. This distance is obtained as the minimal action with respect to $P$ with zero value at all points $p_{k}$. Instead of computing a minimal action map for each pair of points, as in Section 2, we only need to compute one minimal action map in order to find all paths. At the same time the action map is computed we determine the pairs of points that have to be linked together. This is based on finding meeting points of the propagation fronts. These are saddle points of the minimal action $\mathcal{U}$. In Section 2, we said that calculation of the minimal action can be seen as the propagation of a front through equation 4. Although the minimal action is computed using Fast marching, the level sets of $\mathcal{U}$ give the evolution of the front. During Fast marching algorithm, the boundary of the set of alive points also gives the position of the front. In the previous section, we had only one front evolving from the starting point $p_{0}$. Since all points $p_{k}$ are set with $\mathcal{U}\left(p_{k}\right)=0$, we
now have one front evolving from each of the starting points $p_{k}$. In what follows when we talk about front meeting, we mean either the geometric point where the two fronts coming from different $p_{k}$ 's meet, or in the discrete algorithm the first alive point which connects two components from different $p_{k}$ 's (see Figures 3 and 4).
Our problem is related to the approach presented at the end of [6] in order to find a closed contour. Given only one end point, the second end point was found as a saddle point. This point is where the two fronts propagating both ways meet. Here we use the fact that given two end points $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, the saddle point $S$ where the two fronts starting from each point meet can be used to find the minimal path between $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. Indeed, the minimal path between the two points has to pass by the meeting point $S$. This point is the point half way (in energy) on the minimal path between $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. Backpropagating from $S$ to $p_{1}$ and then from $S$ to $p_{2}$ gives the two halves of the path. This is in fact an approximation, due to some discretization error in finding the meeting point $S$. If high precision is needed, a subpixel location of saddle points can be made based on the final energy map. In order to get the precise minimal path between the two points, we could also backpropagate from the second point to the first as in Section 2, but computation time would be then much increased.

### 3.2 Some definitions

Here are some definitions that will be used in what follows.

- For a point $p$ in the image, we note $\mathcal{U}_{p}$ the minimal action obtained by Fast Marching with potential $\tilde{P}$ and starting point $p$.
- $X$ being a set of points in the image, $\mathcal{U}_{X}$ is the minimal action obtained by Fast Marching with potential $\tilde{P}$ and starting points $\{p, p \in X\}$. This means that all points of $X$ are initialized as alive points with value 0 and all their 4-connexity neighbors are Trial points. This is easy to see that $\mathcal{U}_{X}=\min _{p \in X} \mathcal{U}_{p}$.
- The region $R_{k}$ associated with a point $p_{k}$ is the set of points $p$ of the image closer in energy to $p_{k}$ than to other points $p_{j}$. This means that minimal action $\mathcal{U}_{p_{k}} \leq$ $\mathcal{U}_{p_{j}}, \forall j \neq k$. Thus, if $X=\left\{p_{j}, 0 \leq j \leq N\right\}$, we have $\mathcal{U}_{X}=\mathcal{U}_{p_{k}}$ on $R_{k}$ and the computation of $\mathcal{U}_{X}$ is the same as the simultaneous computation of each $\mathcal{U}_{p_{k}}$ on each region $R_{k}$. These are the simultaneous fronts starting from each $p_{k}$.
- The region index $r$ is $r(p)=k, \forall p \in R_{k}$. (Voronoi Diagram for weighted distance).
- A saddle point $S\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)$ between $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ is the first point where the front starting from $p_{i}$ to compute $\mathcal{U}_{p_{i}}$ meets the front starting from $p_{j}$ to compute $\mathcal{U}_{p_{j}}$; At this point, $\mathcal{U}_{p_{i}}=\mathcal{U}_{p_{j}}$ are equal for the first time during the propagation. According to the order introduced in Fast Marching algorithm, this is the smallest value for which they are equal.
- Two points among the $p_{k}$ 's will be called linked neighbors if they are selected to be linked together. The way we choose to link two points is to select some saddle points. Thus points $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ are linked neighbors if their saddle point is among the selected ones.


### 3.3 Saddle points and Reconstruction of the set of curves

The main goal of our method is to obtain all significant paths joining the given points. However, each point should not be connected to all other points, but only to those that


Fig. 3. Ellipse example with four points. On the left the incomplete ellipse as potential and four given points; on the right the minimal action map (random LUT to show the level sets) from these points.
are closer to them in the energy sense. In order to form closed curves, each point $p_{k}$ should not have more than two linked neighbors. The criteria for two points $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ to be connected is that their fronts meet before other fronts. It means that their saddle point $S\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)$ has lower action $\mathcal{U}$ than the saddle points between these points and other points $p_{k}$. The fact that we limit each $p_{k}$ to have no more than two connections makes it possible that some points will have only one or no connection. This helps removing some isolated spurious points or getting different closed curves not being connected together. We illustrate this in the example of Figure 6 where one of the $p_{k}$ is not linked to any other point since all the other points already have two linked neighbors. In case we also need to have T-junctions, the algorithm can be used with a higher number of linked neighbors allowed for each endpoint. A non symmetric relation may also be used to link each point to the closest or the two closest ones, regardless of whether these have already two or more neighbors. In the exemple of Figure 6, such an approach would link the spurious points with the circles. Postprocessing would be needed to remove undesired links, based on high energy for example.

Once a saddle point $S\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)$ is found and selected, backpropagation relatively to final energy $\mathcal{U}$ should be done both ways to $p_{i}$ and to $p_{j}$ to find the two halves of the path between them. We see in Figure 5 this backpropagation at each of the four saddle points. At a saddle point, the gradient is zero, but the direction of descent towards each point are opposite. For each backpropagation, the direction of descent is the one relative to each region. This means that in order to estimate the gradient direction toward $p_{i}$, all points in a region different from $R_{i}$ have their energy put artificially to $\infty$. This allows finding the good direction for the gradient descent towards $p_{i}$. However, as mentioned earlier, these backpropagations have to be done only for selected saddle points. In Fast


Fig. 4. Zoom on a saddle point between two key points.
marching algorithm we have a simple way to find saddle points and update the linked neighbors.

As defined above, the region $R_{k}$ associated with a point $p_{k}$ is the set of points $p$ of the image such that minimal energy $\mathcal{U}_{p_{k}}(p)$ to $p_{k}$ is smaller than all the $\mathcal{U}_{p_{j}}(p)$ to other points $p_{j}$. The set of such regions $R_{k}$ covers the whole image, and forms the Voronoi diagram of the image (see figure 5). All saddle points are at a boundary between two regions. For a point $p$ on the boundary between $R_{j}$ and $R_{k}$, we have $\mathcal{U}_{p_{k}}(p)=\mathcal{U}_{p_{j}}(p)$. The saddle point $S\left(p_{k}, p_{j}\right)$ is a point on this boundary with minimal value of $\mathcal{U}_{p_{k}}(p)=$ $\mathcal{U}_{p_{j}}(p)$. This gives us a rule to find the saddle points during Fast marching algorithm.

Each time two fronts coming from $p_{k}$ and $p_{j}$ meet for the first time, we define the meeting point as $S\left(p_{k}, p_{j}\right)$. This means that we need to know for each point of the image from where it comes. This is easy to keep track of its origin by generating an index map updated each time a point is set as alive in the algorithm. Each point $p_{k}$ starts with index $k$. Each time a point is set as alive, it gets the same index as the points it was computed from in formula (6). In that formula, the computation of $U_{i, j}$ depends only on at most two of the four pixels involved. These two pixels, said $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$, have to be from the same region, except if $(i, j)$ is on the boundary between two regions. If $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are both alive and with different indexes $k$ and $j$, this means that regions $R_{k}$ and $R_{j}$ meet there. If this happens for the first time, the current point is set as the saddle point $S\left(p_{k}, p_{j}\right)$ between these regions. A point on the boundary between $R_{k}$ and $R_{j}$ is given the index of the neighbor point with smaller action $A_{1}$. At the boundary between two regions there can be a slight error on indexing. This error of at most one pixel is not important in our context and could be refined if necessary.

### 3.4 Algorithm and results

The algorithm for this section is described in Table 3 and illustrated in figures 3 and 5. When there is a large number of $p_{k}$ 's, this does not change much the computation time of the minimal action map, but this makes more complex dealing with the list of linked neighbors and saddle points. This may generate more conflicting neighbor points, and


Fig. 5. Ellipse example with four points. On the left the saddle points are found, and backpropagation is made from them to each of the two points from where the front comes; on the right, the minimal paths and the Voronoi diagram obtained.
due to the constraint of having at most two linked neighbors, some gaps may remain between contours. The method can be applied to a whole set of edge points or points obtained through a preprocessing. This was actually our first step in this work. However, choosing few key points simplifies the computation of saddle points and linked neighbors and the geometry of the paths. When there are few key points, they are not too close to each other. Finding all paths from a given set of points is interesting in the case of a binary potential defined, like in Figure 3, for perceptual grouping. It can be used as well when a special preprocessing is possible, either on the image itself to extract characteristic points or on the geometry of the initial set of points to choose more relevant points. In [2] we give a way to find automatically a set of key points.

We show in figure 6 the results of the approach for the data given in figure 1 . We show in figure 7 an application of our approach combined with the saliency map of [11]. In such an example, the given dots are too few to enable finding the circle as a minimal path. Indeed, taking two opposite points on the ellipse, the minimal path between them will not be along the ellipse but rather along a straight line. By passing through low potential points (in black) along the circle, the path will also pass through more points with high potential (background in white). Thus applying the method of [11] gives a saliency map that is much more dense than the original image. Taking the saliency map as potential, our approach allows finding the whole circle as a set of minimal paths between points determined automatically. The set of points to be linked here can be either the initial set of points of the original image or the set of points obtained by a threshold on the saliency map. We can also find the ellipse by looking for ridge curves on the saliency map but there are many spurious ridge curves obtained.

## Algorithm with previously defined $p_{k}$

- Initialization:
- $p_{k}$ 's are given
- $\forall k, V\left(p_{k}\right)=0 ; r\left(p_{k}\right)=k ; \quad p_{k}$ Alive.
- $\forall p \notin\left\{p_{k}\right\}, V\left(p_{k}\right)=\infty ; r(p)=-1 ; \quad p$ is Far except 4-connexity neighbors of $p_{k}$ 's that are Trial with estimate $U$ using Eqn. 6.
- Loop for computing $V=\mathcal{U}_{\left\{p_{k}, 0 \leq k \leq N\right\}}$ :
- Let $p=\left(i_{m i n}, j_{\min }\right)$ be the Trial point with the smallest action $U$;
- Move it from the Trial to the Alive set with $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p})$;
- Update $r(p)$ with the same index as point $A_{1}$ in formula (6). If $r\left(A_{1}\right) \neq$ $r\left(B_{1}\right)$ and we are in case 1 of table 2 where both points are used and if this is the first time regions $r\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $r\left(B_{1}\right)$ meet, $S\left(p_{r\left(A_{1}\right)}, p_{r\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)=p$ is set as a saddle point between $p_{r\left(A_{1}\right)}$ and $p_{r\left(B_{1}\right)}$. If these points have not yet two linked neighbors, they are put as linked neighbors and $S\left(p_{r\left(A_{1}\right)}, p_{r\left(B_{1}\right)}\right)=p$ is selected,
For each neighbor $(i, j)$ (4-connexity) of $\left(i_{\min }, j_{\min }\right)$ :
* If $(i, j)$ is Far, add it to the Trial set and compute $U$ using Eqn. 6;
* If $(i, j)$ is Trial, recompute the action $U_{i, j}$, and update it.
- Obtain all paths between selected linked neighbors by backpropagation each way from their saddle point (see Section 3.3).

Table 3. Algorithm of Section 3


Fig. 6. Final paths obtained for images of Figure 1

## 4 Extension to Finding multiple contours from a set of connected components

### 4.1 Minimal Path between two Regions

The method of [6], detailed in the previous section allows to find a minimal path between two endpoints. From there, a straightforward extension allows to define a minimal path between two regions of the image. Given two connected regions of the image $R_{0}$ and $R_{1}$, we consider $R_{0}$ as the starting region and $R_{1}$ as a set of end points. The problem is then finding a path minimizing energy among all paths with start point in $R_{0}$ and end point in $R_{1}$. The minimal action is now defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}(p)=\inf _{\mathcal{A}_{R_{0}, p}} E(C)=\inf _{p_{0} \in R_{0}} \inf _{\mathcal{A}_{p_{0}, p}} E(C) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{R_{0}, p}$ is the set of all paths starting at a point of $R_{0}$ and ending at $p$. This minimal action can be computed the same way as before in table 1 , with the alive set initialized


Fig. 7. Finding a set of minimal path using a saliency map as potential. From left to right, original data, saliency map, ridge lines and minimal paths.
as the whole set of points of $R_{0}$, with $\mathcal{U}=0$ and trial points being the set of 4-connexity neighbors of points of $R_{0}$ that are not in $R_{0}$. Backpropagation by gradient descent on $\mathcal{U}$ from any point $p$ in the image will give the minimal path that join this point with region $R_{0}$.

In order to find a minimal path between region $R_{1}$ and region $R_{0}$, we determine a point $p_{1} \in R_{1}$ such that $\mathcal{U}\left(p_{1}\right)=\min _{p \in R_{1}} \mathcal{U}(p)$. We then backpropagate from $p_{1}$ to $R_{0}$ to find the minimal path between $p_{1}$ and $R_{0}$, which is also a minimal path between $R_{1}$ and $R_{0}$.

### 4.2 Minimal Paths from a Set of Connected Components

Our approach is to compute the distance map to a set of unstructured set of points where connected points are considered as regions, using a weighted distance defined through the potential $P$. The set of paths is obtained with the minimal action with respect to $P$ with zero value at all regions $R_{k}$. This method has the same possibilities as the one presented in section 3, as we only need to compute one minimal action map in order to find all paths. In the same way, we find the saddle points between each pair of propagating fronts from two regions $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. And we compute the minimal paths between two regions $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ by back-propagating from each selected saddle-point until we meet a pixel belonging respectively to regions $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. The notion of linked neighbors is extended to linked regions. More details can be found in [3].

### 4.3 Connecting all regions with minimal paths

The goal here is to connect all regions, but only to those that are closer to them in the energy sense. In section 3, we were looking for closed contours from a set of points, and had constraints on the maximal number of linked neighbors. In the application we show below, we are interested in connecting all initial given points through a path. In this case we also need to have T-junctions, for reconstructing tree-like structures, therefore the algorithm can be used with a higher number of linked regions allowed for each region, as said for the end points in subsection3.3. The constraint we use now is to avoid creating a closed contour when connecting two regions. This is obtained through the definition of cycles and cycle tests.

A cycle is a sequence of different regions $R_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq K$, such that for $1 \leq k \leq$ $K-1, R_{k}$ and $R_{k+1}$ are linked regions and $R_{K}$ and $R_{1}$ are also linked regions. A cycle
test can be easily implemented using a recursive algorithm. When two regions $R_{i}$ and $R_{j}$ are willing to be connected - ie that their fronts meet - a table storing the connectivity between each region enables to detect if a connection already exists between those regions. Having $N$ different regions, we fill a matrix $M(N, N)$ with zeros, and each time two regions $R_{i}$ and $R_{j}$ meet for the first time, we set $M(i, j)=M(j, i)=1$. Thus, when two regions meet, we apply the algorithm detailed in table 4.

If two regions are already connected, the algorithm returns TRUE, the pixel where their fronts meet is not considered as a valuable candidate for back-propagation, and $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ and $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i})$ are set to an arbitrary value $c>1$.

The algorithm stops automatically when all regions are connected.
Once a saddle point between any region $R_{i}$ and $R_{j}$ is found and selected, backpropagation relatively to final energy $\mathcal{U}$ should be done both ways to $p_{i}$ and to $p_{j}$, the first points belonging to respectively $R_{i}$ and $R_{j}$, during the back-propagation algorithm.

```
Algorithm for Cycle detection when a region \(R_{i}\) meets a region \(R_{j}\) :
Test \((i, j, M, i) ;\) with
Test \((i, j, M, l)\);
    - if \(M(l, j)=1\), return 1 ;
    - else
        - count=0;
        - for \(k \in[1, N]\) with \(k \neq i, k \neq j, k \neq l\) : count \(+=\operatorname{Test}(k, j, M, l)\);
    - return count;
```

Table 4. Cycle detection

### 4.4 Medical Application

The method can be applied to connected components from a whole set of edge points or points obtained through a preprocessing. Finding all paths from a given set of points is interesting in the case of a binary potential defined, like in figure 3, for perceptual grouping. It can be used as well when a special preprocessing is possible, either on the image itself to extract characteristic points or on the geometry of the initial set of points to choose more relevant points. We show in figure 8 an example of application to a medical image of the hip where the features of interest are vessels. Potential $P$ is defined using ideas from [9] on vesselness filter.

For this, we propose to extract valuable information from this dataset, computing a multiscale vessel enhancement measure, based on the work of [9] on ridge filters. Having extracted the two eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix computed at scale $\sigma$, ordered $\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leq\left|\lambda_{2}\right|$, we define at each pixel a vesselness function

$$
\nu(\sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0, \text { if } \lambda_{2} \geq 0 \\
\exp \frac{-R_{B}^{2}}{2 \beta^{2}}\left(1-\exp \frac{-S^{2}}{2 c^{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$



Fig. 8. Medical Image. First line: original image and vesselness potential; Second line: from the set of regions obtained from thresholding of potential image, our method finds links between these regions as minimal paths with respect to the potential.
where $R_{B}=\frac{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|}$, and $S=\sqrt{\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}+\lambda_{2}{ }^{2}}$. See [9] for a detailed explanation of the settings of each parameter in this measure. Using this information computed at several scales, we can take as new image the maximum of the response of the filter across all scales. In figure 8-top right you can observe the response of the filter, based on the Hessian information.

And we can easily give a severe threshold of this image, that will yield sets of unstructured pixels that surely belong to the anatomical object of interest, as shown in figure 8 -bottom left. figure 8 -bottom right shows the set of completion paths obtained that link all given connected components together.

## 5 Conclusion

We presented a new method that finds a set of contour curves in an image. It was applied to perceptual grouping to get complete curves from a set of noisy contours or edge points with gaps. The technique is based on previous work of finding minimal paths between two end points [6]. However, in our approach, start and end points are not required as initialization. In a first method, given a set of key points, the pairs of key points that had to be linked by minimal paths are automatically found. Once saddle points between pairs of points are found, paths are drawn on the image from the selected saddle points to both points of each pair. This gives the minimal paths between selected pairs of points. The whole set of paths completes the initial set of contours and allows to close these contours. In a second method, the technique to extract the whole set of paths between points is extended to unstructured regions in the image.
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