# $L^2$ hypocoercivity, inequalities and applications

#### Jean Dolbeault

 $http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/{\sim}dolbeaul$ 

Ceremade, Université Paris-Dauphine

November 14, 2019

INDAM workshop on Recent advances in kinetic equations and applications Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica "F. Severi" Rome, 11-15 November 2019

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 三臣

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates,\ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2\ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

# Outline

#### • Diffusion rates and functional inequalities

- $\triangleright$  Poincaré inequality
- $\triangleright$  Nash inequality

 $\rhd$  Inequality for the intermediate range: weighted Nash and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities

#### • L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity

- $\triangleright$  Abstract setting
- $\triangleright$  The diffusion limit
- $\rhd$  The non-compact case

• Decay and convergence rates for kinetic equations

- $\rhd$  The global picture
- $\triangleright$  Without confinement: Nash inequality
- $\triangleright$  With very weak confinement
- $\rhd$  Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equilibria

・ロト ・ 日本・ ・ 日本・

Diffusions, rates and inequalities L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity Kinetic equations: decay and convergence rates

# Diffusion, rates and functional inequalities

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Diffusions, rates and inequalities L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity Kinetic equations: decay and convergence rates

#### Diffusion (Fokker-Planck) equations

If  $\rho > 0$  is a solution of the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \Delta \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \, \nabla V) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^d$$

with initial datum  $\rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (of mass 1), if  $\mu = e^{-V}$  is the density of a probability measure such that the *Poincaré inequality* 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u - \bar{u}|^2 \, d\mu \le \mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu \quad \forall \, u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu)$$

then  $u = \rho/\mu$  solves the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \Delta u - \nabla u \cdot \nabla V \\ \text{and } \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d},d\mu)} = \|\rho(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)} = \|\rho_{0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)} = \bar{u}, \\ \frac{d}{dt}\|u(t,\cdot)-\bar{u}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)}^{2} &= -2 \,\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)}^{2} \leq -\frac{2}{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}}} \,\|u(t,\cdot)-\bar{u}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)}^{2} \\ \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |u(t,\cdot)-\bar{u}|^{2} \,d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |u_{0}-\bar{u}|^{2} \,d\mu \,e^{-2t/\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}}} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

#### The decay rate of the heat equation

If  $\rho$  is a solution of the *heat equation* 

$$rac{\partial 
ho}{\partial t} = \Delta 
ho \quad ext{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^d$$

with initial datum  $\rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then

$$\|\rho(t,\cdot)\|_{{\rm L}^1({\mathbb R}^d,dx)}=\|\rho_0\|_{{\rm L}^1({\mathbb R}^d,dx)}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\rho(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)}^{2} = -2 \|\nabla\rho(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)}^{2} \leq -\mathfrak{C} \|\rho(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},dx)}^{2+\frac{4}{d}}$$

by Nash's inequality

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2+\frac{4}{d}} \leq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Nash}} \|u\|_{1}^{\frac{4}{d}} \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}$$

and so

$$\|\rho(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d,dx)} \le \mathbb{C} \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d,dx)} (1+t)^{-d/2}$$

L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

#### Diffusion (Fokker-Planck) equations

Potential	V = 0	$V(x) = \gamma \log  x $ $\gamma < d$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \in (0, 1)$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \ge 1$
Inequality	Nash	Caffarelli-Kohn -Nirenberg	Weak Poincaré or Weighted Poincaré	Poincaré
Asymptotic behavior	$t^{-d/2}$ decay	$t^{-(d-\gamma)/2}$ decay	$t^{-\mu}$ or $t^{-\frac{k}{2(1-\alpha)}}$ convergence	$e^{-\lambda t}$ convergence

Table 1:  $\partial_t u = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (u \nabla V)$ 

J. Dolbeault

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

## • Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta_x u + \nabla_x \cdot (\nabla_x V \, u) = \nabla_x \left( e^{-V} \, \nabla_x \left( e^V \, u \right) \right)$$

Here  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , and V is a potential such that  $e^{-V} \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  corresponding to a *very weak confinement* 

Two examples

 $V_1(x) = \gamma \log |x|$  and  $V_2(x) = \gamma \log \langle x \rangle$ 

with  $\gamma < d$  and  $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

In collaboration with Emeric Bouin and Christian Schmeiser

Potential	V = 0	$V(x) = \gamma \log  x $ $\gamma < d$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \in (0, 1)$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \ge 1$
Inequality	Nash	Caffarelli-Kohn -Nirenberg	Weak Poincaré or Weighted Poincaré	Poincaré
Asymptotic behavior	$t^{-d/2}$ decay	$t^{-(d-\gamma)/2}$ decay	$t^{-\mu}$ or $t^{-\frac{k}{2(1-\alpha)}}$ convergence	$e^{-\lambda t}$ convergence

Table 2:  $\partial_t u = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (u \nabla V)$ 

Actually, this is more complicated, because the rate depends on the functional space (and of the range of the parameters)...

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ ヨト

# A first decay result (1/3)

#### Theorem

Assume that  $d \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma < (d-2)/2$  and  $V = V_1$  or  $V = V_2$ F any solution u with initial datum  $u_0 \in L^1_+ \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{\|u_{0}\|_{2}^{2}}{(1+c\,t)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \quad with \quad c := \frac{4}{d} \min\left\{1, 1-\frac{2\,\gamma}{d-2}\right\} \, \mathcal{C}_{\operatorname{Nash}}^{-1} \, \frac{\|u_{0}\|_{2}^{4/d}}{\|u_{0}\|_{1}^{4/d}}$$

Here  $\mathcal{C}_{Nash}$  denotes the optimal constant in Nash's inequality

$$\|u\|_2^{2+\frac{4}{d}} \leq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Nash}} \|u\|_1^{\frac{4}{d}} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{L}^1 \cap \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

Extended range of exponents, with moments 
$$(2/3)$$

#### Theorem

Let 
$$d \ge 1$$
,  $0 < \gamma < d$ ,  $V = V_1$  or  $V = V_2$ , and  $u_0 \in L^1_+ \cap L^2(e^V)$   
with  $\||x|^k u_0\|_1 < \infty$  for some  $k \ge \max\{2, \gamma/2\}$ 

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(e^V dx)} \le \|u_0\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(e^V dx)} (1+ct)^{-\frac{d-\gamma}{2}}$$

for some c depending on d,  $\gamma$ , k,  $\|u_0\|_{L^2(e^V dx)}$ ,  $\|u_0\|_1$ , and  $\||x|^k u_0\|_1$ 

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

Extended range of exponents, self-similar variables (3/3)

$$u_{\star}(t,x) = \frac{c_{\star}}{(1+2t)^{\frac{d-\gamma}{2}}} |x|^{-\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2(1+2t)}\right)$$

Here the initial data need to have a sufficient decay...

 $c_{\star}$  is chosen such that  $||u_{\star}||_1 = ||u_0||_1$ 

#### Theorem

Let  $d \ge 1$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, d)$ ,  $V = V_1$  assume that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad 0 \le u_0(x) \le K \, u_\star(0, x)$$

for some constant K > 1

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \|u(t, \cdot) - u_{\star}(t, \cdot)\|_{p} \le K c_{\star}^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|u_{0}\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{e}{2|\gamma|}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2} \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} (1+2t)^{-\zeta_{p}}$$

for any  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , where  $\zeta_p := \frac{d}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{2p} \min\left\{2, \frac{d}{d-\gamma}\right\}$ 

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

# Proofs: basic case (1/3)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \, dx = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta V \, |u|^2 \, dx$$

with either  $V = V_1$  or  $V = V_2$  and

$$\Delta V_1(x) = \gamma \frac{d-2}{|x|^2}$$
 and  $\Delta V_2(x) = \gamma \frac{d-2}{1+|x|^2} + \frac{2\gamma}{(1+|x|^2)^2}$ 

For  $\gamma \leq 0$ : apply Nash's inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq -2 \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \leq -\frac{2}{\mathcal{C}_{\text{Nash}}} \|u_{0}\|_{1}^{-4/d} \|u\|_{2}^{2+4/d}$$

For  $0 < \gamma < (d-2)/2$ : Hardy-Nash inequalities

#### Lemma

Let 
$$d \ge 3$$
,  $\delta < (d-2)^2/4$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_{\delta} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{Nash}} / \left(1 - \frac{4\delta}{(d-2)^2}\right)$ 

$$\|u\|_2^{2+\frac{4}{d}} \leq \mathcal{C}_{\delta}\left(\|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \, dx\right) \, \|u\|_1^{\frac{4}{d}} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{L}^1 \cap \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

# Proofs: moments (2/3)

Growth of the moment

$$M_k(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^k u \, dx$$

From the equation

$$M'_{k} = k \left( d + k - 2 - \gamma \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} u \, |x|^{k-2} \, dx \le k \left( d + k - 2 - \gamma \right) M_{0}^{\frac{2}{k}} \, M_{k}^{1-\frac{2}{k}}$$

then use the *Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality* 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\gamma \, u^2 \, dx \leq \mathfrak{C} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\gamma} \, \left| \nabla \left( |x|^\gamma u \right) \right|^2 dx \right)^a \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^k \, |u| \, dx \right)^{2(1-a)}$$

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

### Proofs: self-similar solutions (3/3)

The proof relies on *uniform decay estimates* + *Poincaré inequality* in self-similar variables

Proposition

Let  $\gamma \in (0,d)$  and assume that

$$0 \le u(0,x) \le c_{\star} \left(\sigma + |x|^2\right)^{-\gamma/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

with  $\sigma = 0$  if  $V = V_1$  and  $\sigma = 1$  if  $V = V_2$ . Then

$$0 \le u(t,x) \le \frac{c_{\star}}{(1+2t)^{\frac{d-\gamma}{2}}} \left(\sigma + |x|^2\right)^{-\gamma/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2(1+2t)}\right)$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \ge 0$ 

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

#### • With sub-exponential equilibria

 $\triangleright$  We consider the *homogeneous Fokker-Planck equation* 

$$\partial_t g = \nabla_v \cdot \left( F \,\nabla_v \big( F^{-1} \, g \big) \right)$$

associated with sub-exponential equilibria

$$F(v) = C_{\alpha} e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha \in (0,1)$$

or the corresponding Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation for h = g/F– decay rates based on the weak Poincaré inequality (Kavian, Mischler)

– decay rates based on a weighted Poincaré / Hardy-Poincaré inequality

In collaboration with Emeric Bouin, Laurent Lafleche and Christian Schmeiser

Diffusions, rates and inequalities $L^2$ Hypocoercivity Kinetic equations: decay and convergence rates	Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria
--	--

Potential	V = 0	$V(x) = \gamma \log  x $ $\gamma < d$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \in (0, 1)$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \ge 1$
Inequality	Nash	Caffarelli-Kohn -Nirenberg	Weak Poincaré or Weighted Poincaré	Poincaré
Asymptotic behavior	$t^{-d/2}$ decay	$t^{-(d-\gamma)/2}$ decay	$t^{-\mu}$ or $t^{-\frac{k}{2(1-\alpha)}}$ convergence	$e^{-\lambda t}$ convergence

Table 3:  $\partial_t u = \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (u \nabla V)$ 

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

# Weak Poincaré inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi \le \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha,\tau} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla h|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right)^{\frac{\tau}{1+\tau}} \left\| h - \widetilde{h} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2}{1+\tau}}$$

for some explicit positive constant  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\tau}$ ,  $\widetilde{h} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \, d\xi$ . Using

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h(t, \cdot) - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_v h|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\xi$$

where h = g/F and  $d\xi = F dv + H\ddot{o}lder's$  inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi \le \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right)^{\frac{\tau}{\tau+1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| h - \widetilde{h} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \langle v \rangle^{\beta \tau} \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\tau}}$$

with  $(\tau + 1)/\tau = \beta/\eta$ , then for with  $\mathcal{M} = \sup_{s \in (0,t)} \left\| h(s, \cdot) - \tilde{h} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{2/\tau}$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h(t,\cdot) - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi \le \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h(0,\cdot) - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi \right)^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} + \frac{2\tau^{-1}}{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\tau}^{1+1/\tau} \mathcal{M}} t \right)^{-\tau}$$

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

э

#### Weighted Poincaré inequality

There exists a constant 
$$\mathcal{C} > 0$$
 such that  

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla h|^2 F \, \mathrm{d}v \ge \mathcal{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h - \tilde{h} \right|^2 \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} F \, \mathrm{d}v$$
with  $\beta = 2 (1 - \alpha), \, \tilde{h} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h F \, \mathrm{d}v$  and  $F(v) = C_\alpha \, e^{-\langle v \rangle^\alpha}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ 

Written in terms of g = h F, the inequality becomes

where 
$$\frac{\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|\nabla_v \left(F^{-1} g\right)\right|^2 F^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu \ge \mathfrak{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g - \overline{g}|^2 \, \langle v \rangle^{-2\,(1-\alpha)} \, \mathrm{d}\mu\right|}{\mathrm{d}\mu = F \, \mathrm{d}v \text{ and } \overline{g} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, \mathrm{d}v\right) F$$

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Confinement: Poincaré inequality No confinement: Nash inequality Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg With sub-exponential local equilibria

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(t,v)|^2 \langle v \rangle^k F \, \mathrm{d}v + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_v h|^2 \langle v \rangle^k F \, \mathrm{d}v \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_v (h^2) \cdot \left( \nabla_v \langle v \rangle^k \right) F \, \mathrm{d}v \end{aligned}$$

With  $\ell = 2 - \alpha$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \in (0, +\infty)$ 

$$\nabla_{v} \cdot \left( F \, \nabla_{v} \langle v \rangle^{k} \right) = \frac{k}{\langle v \rangle^{4}} \left( d + (k + d - 2) \, |v|^{2} - \alpha \, \langle v \rangle^{\alpha} \, |v|^{2} \right) \le a - b \, \langle v \rangle^{-\ell}$$

#### Proposition (Weighted $L^2$ norm)

There exists a constant  $\mathcal{K}_k > 0$  such that, if h solves the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation, then

$$\forall t \ge 0 \quad \|h(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\langle v \rangle^k \, \mathrm{d}\xi)} \le \mathfrak{K}_k \, \left\|h^{\mathrm{in}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\langle v \rangle^k \, \mathrm{d}\xi)}$$

J. Dolbeault

L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h(t, \cdot) - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_v h|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\xi \le -2 \, \mathfrak{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \, \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} \, \mathrm{d}\xi$$

+ Hölder

#### Theorem

Assume that  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . Let  $g^{\mathrm{in}} \in L^1_+(\mathrm{d}\mu) \cap L^2(\langle v \rangle^k \mathrm{d}\mu)$  for some k > 0and consider the solution g to the homogeneous Fokker-Planck equation with initial datum  $g^{\mathrm{in}}$ . If  $\overline{g} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, \mathrm{d}v) F$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(t,\cdot) - \overline{g}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mu \le \left( \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| g^{\mathrm{in}} - \overline{g} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \right)^{-\beta/k} + \frac{2\beta \,\mathcal{C}}{k \,\mathcal{K}^{\beta/k}} \, t \right)^{-k/\beta}$$
with  $\beta = 2 \,(1-\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_k^2 \, \left\| g^{\mathrm{in}} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\langle v \rangle^k \,\mathrm{d}\mu)}^2 + \Theta_k \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{\mathrm{in}} \,\mathrm{d}v \right)^2$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# $L^2$ Hypocoercivity

- $\triangleright$  Abstract statement
- $\triangleright$  Diffusion limit
- $\triangleright$  The extension to the non-compact case

Collaboration with C. Mouhot and C. Schmeiser + E. Bouin, S. Mischler

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates\ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2\ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

An abstract hypocoercivity result Diffusion limit The compact case

#### • An abstract evolution equation

Let us consider the equation

$$\frac{dF}{dt} + \mathsf{T}F = \mathsf{L}F$$

In the framework of kinetic equations,  $\mathsf{T}$  and  $\mathsf{L}$  are respectively the transport and the collision operators

We assume that T and L are respectively anti-Hermitian and Hermitian operators defined on the complex Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ 

$$\mathsf{A} := \left(1 + (\mathsf{T}\Pi)^* \mathsf{T}\Pi\right)^{-1} (\mathsf{T}\Pi)^*$$

 $^*$  denotes the adjoint with respect to  $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ 

 $\Pi$  is the orthogonal projection onto the null space of  $\mathsf{L}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2 \ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

An abstract hypocoercivity result Diffusion limit The compact case

#### The assumptions

 $\lambda_m$ ,  $\lambda_M$ , and  $C_M$  are positive constants such that, for any  $F \in \mathcal{H}$  $\triangleright$  microscopic coercivity:

$$-\langle \mathsf{L}F, F \rangle \ge \lambda_m \, \| (1 - \Pi)F \|^2 \tag{H1}$$

 $\triangleright$  macroscopic coercivity:

$$\|\mathsf{T}\Pi F\|^2 \ge \lambda_M \, \|\Pi F\|^2 \tag{H2}$$

 $\triangleright$  parabolic macroscopic dynamics:

$$\Pi \mathsf{T} \Pi F = 0 \tag{H3}$$

 $\triangleright$  bounded auxiliary operators:

$$\|\mathsf{AT}(1-\Pi)F\| + \|\mathsf{AL}F\| \le C_M \,\|(1-\Pi)F\| \tag{H4}$$

The estimate

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|F\|^2 = \langle \mathsf{L}F, F \rangle \le -\lambda_m \, \|(1 - \Pi)F\|^2$$

is not enough to conclude that  $||F(t, \cdot)||^2$  decays exponentially

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoerciv

L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and \ inequalities}\\ L^2 \ Hypocoercivity\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

An abstract hypocoercivity result Diffusion limit The compact case

#### Equivalence and entropy decay

For some  $\delta > 0$  to be determined later, the L<sup>2</sup> entropy / Lyapunov functional is defined by

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{H}[F] &:= \frac{1}{2} \, \|F\|^2 + \delta \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathsf{A}F, F \rangle \\ \text{so that } \langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}\Pi F, F \rangle \sim \|\Pi F\|^2 \text{ and} \\ &- \frac{d}{dt} \mathsf{H}[F] =: \mathsf{D}[F] \\ &= - \langle \mathsf{L}F, F \rangle + \delta \langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}\Pi F, F \rangle \\ &- \delta \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathsf{T}\mathsf{A}F, F \rangle + \delta \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}(1 - \Pi)F, F \rangle - \delta \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{L}F, F \rangle \end{split}$$

ightarrow entropy decay rate: for any  $\delta > 0$  small enough and  $\lambda = \lambda(\delta)$  $\lambda \operatorname{H}[F] \leq \operatorname{D}[F]$ 

 $\triangleright$  norm equivalence of  $\mathsf{H}[F]$  and  $||F||^2$ 

$$\frac{2-\delta}{4} \, \|F\|^2 \leq \mathsf{H}[F] \leq \frac{2+\delta}{4} \, \|F\|^2$$

J. Dolbeault L

L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and\ inequalities}\\ {L^2 \ Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

An abstract hypocoercivity result Diffusion limit The compact case

#### Exponential decay of the entropy

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_M}{3(1+\lambda_M)} \min\left\{1, \lambda_m, \frac{\lambda_m \lambda_M}{(1+\lambda_M) C_M^2}\right\}, \, \delta = \frac{1}{2} \min\left\{1, \lambda_m, \frac{\lambda_m \lambda_M}{(1+\lambda_M) C_M^2}\right\}$$
$$h_1(\delta, \lambda) := \left(\delta C_M\right)^2 - 4 \left(\lambda_m - \delta - \frac{2+\delta}{4}\lambda\right) \left(\frac{\delta \lambda_M}{1+\lambda_M} - \frac{2+\delta}{4}\lambda\right)$$

#### Theorem

Let L and T be closed linear operators (respectively Hermitian and anti-Hermitian) on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Under (H1)–(H4), for any  $t \geq 0$ 

$$\mathsf{H}[F(t,\cdot)] \le \mathsf{H}[F_0] e^{-\lambda_{\star} t}$$

where  $\lambda_{\star}$  is characterized by

$$\lambda_{\star} := \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \exists \delta > 0 \ s.t. \ h_1(\delta, \lambda) = 0, \ \lambda_m - \delta - \frac{1}{4} \left( 2 + \delta \right) \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

# Sketch of the proof

- Since  $\mathsf{ATII} = (1 + (\mathsf{TII})^*\mathsf{TII})^{-1} (\mathsf{TII})^*\mathsf{TII}$ , from (H1) and (H2)  $- \langle \mathsf{L}F, F \rangle + \delta \langle \mathsf{ATII}F, F \rangle \ge \lambda_m \| (1 - \Pi)F \|^2 + \frac{\delta \lambda_M}{1 + \lambda_M} \| \Pi F \|^2$
- By (H4), we know that  $|\operatorname{Re}\langle\operatorname{AL}(1-\Pi)F,F\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\langle\operatorname{AL}F,F\rangle| \leq C_M \|\Pi F\| \|(1-\Pi)F\|$
- The equation G = AF is equivalent to  $(T\Pi)^*F = G + (T\Pi)^*T\Pi G$   $\langle TAF, F \rangle = \langle G, (T\Pi)^*F \rangle = \|G\|^2 + \|T\Pi G\|^2 = \|AF\|^2 + \|TAF\|^2$   $\langle G, (T\Pi)^*F \rangle \leq \|TAF\| \| (1 - \Pi)F\| \leq \frac{1}{2\mu} \|TAF\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \| (1 - \Pi)F\|^2$   $\|AF\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| (1 - \Pi)F\|, \|TAF\| \leq \| (1 - \Pi)F\|, |\langle TAF, F \rangle| \leq \| (1 - \Pi)F\|^2$ • With  $X := \| (1 - \Pi)F\|$  and  $Y := \|\Pi F\|$  $D[F] - \lambda H[F] \geq (\lambda_m - \delta) X^2 + \frac{\delta \lambda_M}{1 + \lambda_M} Y^2 - \delta C_M X Y - \frac{2 + \delta}{4} \lambda (X^2 + Y^2)$

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2 \ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

An abstract hypocoercivity result Diffusion limit The compact case

# Hypocoercivity

#### Corollary

For any  $\delta \in (0,2)$ , if  $\lambda(\delta)$  is the largest positive root of  $h_1(\delta,\lambda) = 0$  for which  $\lambda_m - \delta - \frac{1}{4} (2+\delta) \lambda > 0$ , then for any solution F of the evolution equation

$$||F(t)||^2 \le \frac{2+\delta}{2-\delta} e^{-\lambda(\delta)t} ||F(0)||^2 \quad \forall t \ge 0$$

From the norm equivalence of H[F] and  $||F||^2$ 

$$\frac{2-\delta}{4} \, \|F\|^2 \leq \mathsf{H}[F] \leq \frac{2+\delta}{4} \, \|F\|^2$$

We use  $\frac{2-\delta}{4} \|F_0\|^2 \leq \mathsf{H}[F_0]$  so that  $\lambda_{\star} \geq \sup_{\delta \in (0,2)} \lambda(\delta)$ 

Diffusions, rates and inequalities L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity

## • Formal macroscopic (diffusion) limit

Scaled evolution equation

$$\varepsilon \, \frac{dF}{dt} + \mathsf{T}F = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \, \mathsf{L}F$$

on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $F_{\varepsilon} = F_0 + \varepsilon F_1 + \varepsilon^2 F_2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0_+$ 

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon^{-1} : & \mathsf{L} F_0 = 0 \,, \\ \varepsilon^0 : & \mathsf{T} F_0 = \mathsf{L} F_1 \,, \\ \varepsilon^1 : & \frac{dF_0}{dt} + \mathsf{T} F_1 = \mathsf{L} F_2 \end{split}$$

The first equation reads as  $u = F_0 = \prod F_0$ The second equation is simply solved by  $F_1 = -(\mathsf{T}\Pi) F_0$ After projection, the third equation is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\Pi F_{0}\right) - \Pi \mathsf{T}\left(\mathsf{T}\Pi\right)F_{0} = \Pi \mathsf{L}F_{2} = 0$$

#### $\partial_t u + (\mathsf{T}\Pi)^* (\mathsf{T}\Pi) u = 0$

is such that  $\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 = -2 \|(\mathsf{T}\Pi) u\|^2 \leq -2 \lambda_M \|u\|^2$ 

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and \ inequalities}\\ L^2 \ Hypocoercivity\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

An abstract hypocoercivity result Diffusion limit **The compact case** 

#### The compact case

(H1) Regularity & Normalization:  $V \in W^{2,\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d), \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-V} dx = 1$ (H2) Spectral gap / Poincaré inequality: for some  $\Lambda > 0$ ,  $\forall u \in H^1(e^{-V} dx)$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u e^{-V} dx = 0$  $\boxed{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 e^{-V} dx \le \Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 e^{-V} dx}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(H3)} & Pointwise \ conditions:} \\ & \text{there exists} \ c_0 > 0, \ c_1 > 0 \ \text{and} \ \theta \in (0,1) \ \text{s.t.} \\ \Delta V \leq \frac{\theta}{2} \left| \nabla_x V(x) \right|^2 + c_0 \ , \quad \left| \nabla_x^2 V(x) \right| \leq c_1 \left( 1 + \left| \nabla_x V(x) \right| \right) \ \forall \, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \text{(H4)} & \text{Growth condition:} \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x V|^2 \ e^{-V} dx < \infty \end{array}$ 

#### Theorem (D., Mouhot, Schmeiser)

Let L be either a Fokker-Planck operator or a linear relaxation operator with a local equilibrium  $F(v) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \exp(-|v|^2/2)$ . If f solves

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathsf{L} f$$

then

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \|f(t) - F\|^2 \le (1 + \eta) \|f_0 - F\|^2 e^{-\lambda t}$$

# Decay and convergence rates for kinetic equations

What can we do when at least one of the coercivity conditions is missing ? microscopic coercivity (H1) or macroscopic coercivity (H2)

In collaboration with Emeric Bouin, Stéphane Mischler, Clément Mouhot, Christian Schmeiser + Laurent Lafleche

# Some non-compact cases

- Q. The global picture▷ by what can we replace the Poincaré inequalities ?
- Nash's inequality and a decay rate when V = 0
- $\blacksquare$  Very weak confinement: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities and moments
- With sub-exponential equilibria: weighted Poincaré / Hardy-Poincaré

# Some references

• Some entries in the literature which will not be considered in this lecture

▷ Weak Poincaré inequality: (Röckner & Wang, 2001), (Kavian, Mischler), (Cao, PhD thesis), (Hu, Wang, 2019) + (Ben-Artzi, Einav) for recent spectral considerations

▷ Weighted Nash inequalities: (Bakry, Bolley, Gentil, Maheux, 2012), (Wang, 2000, 2002, 2010)

▷ Related topic: fractional diffusion (Cattiaux, Puel, Fournier, Tardif,...)

• Our strategy: rely on the estimates of the diffusion limits

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2\ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality With very weak confinement Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equili

## • The global picture

• Depending on the local equilibria and on the external potential (H1) and (H2) (which are Poincaré type inequalities) can be replaced by other functional inequalities:

 $\triangleright$  microscopic coercivity (H1)

$$-\langle \mathsf{L}F, F \rangle \ge \lambda_m \, \| (1 - \Pi)F \|^2$$

 $\implies$  weak Poincaré inequalities or Hardy-Poincaré inequalities

 $\triangleright$  macroscopic coercivity (H2)

 $\|\mathsf{T}\Pi F\|^2 \ge \lambda_M \, \|\Pi F\|^2$ 

 $\implies$  Nash inequality, weighted Nash or Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities

• This can be done at the level of the *diffusion equation* (homogeneous case) or at the level of the *kinetic equation* (non-homogeneous case)

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates,\ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2\ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality With very weak confinement Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equili

#### Kinetic Fokker-Planck equations

 $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{Bouin},\,\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{Lafleche},\,\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{Mouhot},\,\mathbf{MM}=\mathbf{Mischler},\,\mathbf{Mouhot}$ <br/> $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{Schmeiser}$ 

Potential	V = 0	$V(x) = \gamma \log  x $ $\gamma < d$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \in (0, 1)$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \ge 1, \text{ or } \mathbb{T}^d$ Macro Poincaré
Micro Poincaré $F(v)=e^{-\langle v\rangle^{\beta}},\beta\geq 1$	BDMMS: $t^{-d/2}$ decay	BDS: $t^{-(d-\gamma)/2}$ decay	Cao: $e^{-t^b}$ , $b < 1, \beta = 2$ convergence	DMS, Mischler- Mouhot $e^{-\lambda t}$ convergence
$F(v) = e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\beta}},$ $\beta \in (0, 1)$	BDLS: $t^{-\zeta}$ , $\zeta = \min\left\{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{k}{\beta}\right\}$ decay			
$F(v) = \langle v \rangle^{-d-\beta}$	BDLS, fractional in progress			

 $\text{Table 1: } \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = F \, \nabla_v \big( F^{-1} \, \nabla_v f \big). \text{ Notation: } \langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2} \, \triangleleft f \Rightarrow \, \square f \Rightarrow \,$ 

J. Dolbeault

L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

э

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates,\ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2\ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality With very weak confinement Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equil:

#### • A result based on Nash's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \mathsf{L}f \,, \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \right] \\ &\mathsf{D}[f] = -\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{H}[f] \ge \mathsf{a}\left( \|(1 - \mathsf{\Pi})f\|^2 + 2\left\langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}\mathsf{\Pi}f, f\right\rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{A}^* f &= \mathsf{T} \mathsf{\Pi} \left( 1 + (\mathsf{T} \mathsf{\Pi})^* \mathsf{T} \mathsf{\Pi} \right)^{-1} f \\ &= \mathsf{T} \left( 1 + (\mathsf{T} \mathsf{\Pi})^* \mathsf{T} \mathsf{\Pi} \right)^{-1} \mathsf{\Pi} f = M \mathsf{T} u_f = v \, M \cdot \nabla_x u_f \end{aligned}$$

if  $u_f$  is the solution in  $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of  $u_f - \Theta \Delta u_f = \rho_f$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_f(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(dx)} &= \|\rho_f(t,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(dx)} = \|f_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(dx\,dv)} \\ \|u_f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx)}^2 &\leq \|\rho_f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx)}^2 , \quad \|\nabla_x u_f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\Theta} \left\langle \mathsf{AT}\Pi f, f \right\rangle \\ \|\rho_f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx)}^2 &= \|\Pi f\|^2 \leq \|u_f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx)}^2 + 2 \left\langle \mathsf{AT}\Pi f, f \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

#### Nash's inequality

$$\begin{split} \|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dx)}^{2} &\leq \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Nash}} \|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}(dx)}^{\frac{4}{d+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dx)}^{\frac{2d}{d+2}} \quad \forall u \in \mathrm{L}^{1} \cap \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \\ \mathrm{Use} \ \|\Pi f\|^{2} &\leq \Phi^{-1} \left( 2 \left\langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}\Pi f, f \right\rangle \right) \text{ with } \Phi^{-1}(y) := y + \left( \frac{y}{\mathsf{c}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+2}} \text{ to get} \\ \|(1 - \Pi)f\|^{2} + 2 \left\langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}\Pi f, f \right\rangle \geq \Phi(\|f\|^{2}) \geq \Phi\left( \frac{2}{1+\delta} \operatorname{H}[f] \right) \\ \mathbb{D}[f(t, \cdot)] &= -\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{H}[f(t, \cdot)] \geq \mathsf{a} \Phi\left( \frac{2}{1+\delta} \operatorname{H}[f(t, \cdot)] \right) \\ \mathrm{As} \ s \to 0_{+}, \ \Phi(s) \sim s^{1+\frac{d}{2}} + \operatorname{Grönwall:} \ \operatorname{H}[f(t, \cdot)] \sim t^{-d/2} \text{ as } t \to +\infty \end{split}$$

æ

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2 \ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality With very weak confinement Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equili

## Algebraic decay rates in $\mathbb{R}^d$

V = 0: On the whole Euclidean space, we can define the entropy

$$\mathsf{H}[f] := \frac{1}{2} \, \|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx \, d\gamma)}^2 + \delta \, \langle \mathsf{A}f, f \rangle_{dx \, d\gamma}$$

Replacing the macroscopic coercivity condition by Nash's inequality

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(dx)}^{2} \leq \mathcal{C}_{\text{Nash}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}(dx)}^{\frac{4}{d+2}} \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(dx)}^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}$$

proves that  $\mathsf{H}[f] \le C \left(\mathsf{H}[f_0] + \|f_0\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(dx \, dv)}^2\right) (1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$ 

#### Theorem

There exists a constant C > 0 such that, for any  $t \ge 0$ 

$$\|f(t,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dx\,d\gamma)}^{2} \leq C\left(\|f_{0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dx\,d\gamma)}^{2} + \|f_{0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(d\gamma;\,\mathrm{L}^{1}(dx))}^{2}\right)(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$$

Factorization / enlargement of the space (Gualdani, Mischler, Mouhot) allows to consider weights with polynomial growth
 Zero moments of order larger than 1 and mode-by-mode analysis (Fourrier): faster decay rates (BDMMS)

(4月) (1日) (日)

Kinetic Fokker-Planck equation, very weak confinement

Let us consider the kinetic equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathsf{L} f$$

where Lf is one of the two following collision operators (a) a Fokker-Planck operator

$$\mathsf{L}f = \nabla_v \cdot \left( F \,\nabla_v \left( F^{-1} \, f \right) \right)$$

(b) a scattering collision operator

$$\mathsf{L}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(\cdot, v') \left( f(v') \, F(\cdot) - f(\cdot) \, F(v') \right) dv'$$

$$V(x) \sim \gamma \log |x| \,, \quad \gamma \in (0,d)$$

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Potential	V = 0	$V(x) = \gamma \log  x $ $\gamma < d$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \in (0, 1)$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \ge 1, \text{ or } \mathbb{T}^d$ Macro Poincaré
Micro Poincaré $F(v) = e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\beta}},  \beta \geq 1$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{BDMMS:} \\ t^{-d/2} \\ \text{decay} \end{array}$	BDS: $t^{-(d-\gamma)/2}$ decay	Cao: $e^{-t^b}$ , $b < 1, \beta = 2$ convergence	DMS, Mischler- Mouhot $e^{-\lambda t}$ convergence
$F(v) = e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\beta}},$ $\beta \in (0, 1)$	BDLS: $t^{-\zeta}$ , $\zeta = \min\left\{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{k}{\beta}\right\}$ decay			
$F(v) = \langle v \rangle^{-d-\beta}$	BDLS, fractional in progress			

Table 2:  $\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = F \nabla_v (F^{-1} \nabla_v f)$ . Notation:  $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2\ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality **With very weak confinement** Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equil:

#### Decay rates

$$\forall (x,v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \mathcal{F}(x,v) = M(v) e^{-V(x)}, \quad M(v) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |v|^2}$$

(H1) 
$$1 \le \sigma(v, v') \le \overline{\sigma}, \quad \forall v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ for some } \overline{\sigma} \ge 1$$
  
(H2)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\sigma(v, v') - \sigma(v', v)) M(v') dv' = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

+ Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities

#### Theorem

Let  $d \ge 1$ ,  $V = V_2$  with  $\gamma \in [0, d)$ ,  $k > \max \{2, \gamma/2\}$  and  $f_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{M}^{-1}dx \, dv)$  such that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle x \rangle^k f_0 \, dx \, dv + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^k f_0 \, dx \, dv < +\infty$$

If (H1)-(H2) hold, then there exists C > 0 such that

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \|f(t, \cdot, \cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{M}^{-1}dx \, dv)} \le C (1+t)^{-\frac{d-\gamma}{2}}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates,\ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2\ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality With very weak confinement Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equili

# • Kinetic Fokker-Planck, no confinement and sub-exponential local equilibria

• the *Fokker-Planck* operator

$$\mathsf{L}_1 f = \nabla_v \cdot \left( F \, \nabla_v \big( F^{-1} \, f \big) \right)$$

• the *scattering* collision operator

$$\mathsf{L}_2 f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(\cdot, v') \left( f(v') F(\cdot) - f(\cdot) F(v') \right) \mathrm{d}v'$$

under assumptions (H1)–(H2)  $V = 0 \quad F(v) = e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\beta}} \quad \beta \in (0, 1)$ 

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

Potential	V = 0	$V(x) = \gamma \log  x $ $\gamma < d$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \in (0, 1)$	$V(x) =  x ^{\alpha}$ $\alpha \ge 1, \text{ or } \mathbb{T}^d$ Macro Poincaré
Micro Poincaré $F(v)=e^{-\langle v\rangle^{\beta}},\beta\geq 1$	BDMMS: $t^{-d/2}$ decay	BDS: $t^{-(d-\gamma)/2}$ decay	Cao: $e^{-t^b}$ , $b < 1, \beta = 2$ convergence	DMS, Mischler- Mouhot $e^{-\lambda t}$ convergence
$F(v) = e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\beta}},$ $\beta \in (0, 1)$	BDLS: $t^{-\zeta}$ , $\zeta = \min\left\{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{k}{\beta}\right\}$ decay			
$F(v) = \langle v \rangle^{-d-\beta}$	BDLS, fractional in progress			

Table 3:  $\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = F \nabla_v (F^{-1} \nabla_v f)$ . Notation:  $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$ 

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

#### The decay rate with sub-exponential local equilibria

#### Theorem

Let  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , k > 0 and let  $F(v) = C_{\alpha} e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\alpha}}$ . Assume that either  $\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{L}_1$  and  $\beta = 2(1 - \alpha)$ , or  $\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{L}_2$  + Assumptions. There exists a numerical constant  $\mathfrak{C} > 0$  such that any solution f of

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \mathsf{L}f \,, \quad f(0,\cdot,\cdot) = f^{\mathrm{in}} \in \mathrm{L}^2(\langle v \rangle^k \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\mu) \cap \mathrm{L}^1_+(\mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}v)$$

satisfies

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \|f(t,\cdot,\cdot)\|^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left| f(t,x,v) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}\mu \le \mathfrak{C} \frac{\left\| f^{\mathrm{in}} \right\|^2}{(1+\kappa t)^{\zeta}}$$

with rate  $\zeta = \min \{d/2, k/\beta\}$ , for some positive  $\kappa$  which is an explicit function of the two quotients,  $\|f^{\text{in}}\| / \|f^{\text{in}}\|_k$  and  $\|f^{\text{in}}\|_{L^1(\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}v)} / \|f^{\text{in}}\|$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates, and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2 \ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality With very weak confinement Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equili

Proof (1/2)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{D}[f] &:= - \langle \mathsf{L}f, f \rangle + \delta \langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}\Pi f, \Pi f \rangle \\ &+ \delta \langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}(\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f, \Pi f \rangle - \delta \langle \mathsf{T}\mathsf{A}(\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f, (\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f \rangle \\ &- \delta \langle \mathsf{A}\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f, \Pi f \rangle \end{split}$$

• microscopic coercivity. If  $L = L_1$ , we rely on the weighted Poincaré inequality

$$\langle \mathsf{L}f, f \rangle \leq - \mathfrak{C} \| (\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f \|_{-\beta}^2$$

If  $L = L_2$ , we assume that there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| h - \widetilde{h} \right|^2 \langle v \rangle^{-\beta} F \, \mathrm{d}v \le \mathfrak{C} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(v, v') \left| h' - h \right|^2 F F' \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}v'$$

• Weighted L<sup>2</sup> norms Let k > 0,  $f^{in} \in L^2(\langle v \rangle^k \, dx \, d\mu)$  a solution.  $\exists \mathcal{K}_k > 1$  such that

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \|f(t, \cdot, \cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\langle v \rangle^k \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\mu)} \le \mathcal{K}_k \, \left\|f^{\mathrm{in}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\langle v \rangle^k \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\mu)}$$

J. Dolbeault L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Diffusions,\ rates \ and\ inequalities}\\ {\rm L}^2 \ {\rm Hypocoercivity}\\ {\rm Kinetic\ equations:\ decay\ and\ convergence\ rates} \end{array}$ 

The global picture Without confinement: Nash inequality With very weak confinement Without confinement and with sub-exponential local equili

Proof (2/2)

$$\mathsf{H}_{\delta}[f] := \frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2 + \delta \langle \mathsf{A}f, f \rangle, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathsf{H}_{\delta}[f] = -\mathsf{D}[f]$$

• There exists  $\kappa > 0$  such that  $\forall f \in L^2(\langle v \rangle^{-\beta} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}\mu) \cap L^1(\mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}v),$  $\mathsf{D}[f] \ge \kappa \left( \| (\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f \|_{-\beta}^2 + \langle \mathsf{AT}\Pi f, \Pi f \rangle \right)$ 

 $\langle \mathsf{AT\Pi} f, \mathsf{\Pi} f \rangle \ge \Phi \left( \|\mathsf{\Pi} f\|^2 \right)$ 

$$\Phi^{-1}(y) := 2y + \left(\frac{y}{\mathsf{c}}\right)^{\frac{d}{d+2}}, \quad \mathsf{c} = \Theta \, \mathcal{C}_{\text{Nash}}^{-\frac{d+2}{d}} \, \|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}v)}^{-\frac{4}{d}}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \|(\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f\|_{-\beta}^2 &\geq \Psi\left(\|(\mathrm{Id} - \Pi)f\|^2\right) \\ \Psi(y) &:= C_0 \, y^{1+\beta/k} \,, \quad C_0 := \left(\mathcal{K}_k \left(1 + \Theta_k\right) \|f^{\mathrm{in}}\|_k\right)^{-\frac{2\beta}{k}} \end{aligned}$ 

J. Dolbeault

L<sup>2</sup> Hypocoercivity & inequalities

## More references

• E. Bouin, J. Dolbeault, S. Mischler, C. Mouhot, and C. Schmeiser. Hypocoercivity without confinement. Preprint hal-01575501 and arxiv: 1708.06180, Oct. 2017, to appear. Nash

• E. Bouin, J. Dolbeault, and C. Schmeiser. Diffusion and kinetic transport with very weak confinement. Preprint hal-01991665 and arxiv: 1901.08323, to appear in Kinetic Rel. Models. weighted Nash / Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities

• M. P. Gualdani, S. Mischler, and C. Mouhot. Factorization of non-symmetric operators and exponential H-theorem. Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.), (153):137, 2017.

• E. Bouin, J. Dolbeault, and C. Schmeiser. A variational proof of Nash's inequality. Preprint hal-01940110 and arxiv: 1811.12770, to appear in Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, 2018. Nash

• E. Bouin, J. Dolbeault, L. Lafleche, and C. Schmeiser. Hypocoercivity and sub-exponential local equilibria, soon. Weighted Poincaré inequalities

These slides can be found at

# $\label{eq:http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~dolbeaul/Lectures/ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ Lectures $$$

The papers can be found at

#### http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~dolbeaul/Preprints/ > Preprints / papers

For final versions, use Dolbeault as login and Jean as password

# Thank you for your attention !