Bosonization in a High-Density Fermi Gas

Upper Bound on the Correlation Energy

Niels Benedikter

joint work with

Phan Thành Nam, Marcello Porta, Benjamin Schlein, and Robert Seiringer

IST AUSTRIA
Institute of Science and Technology

Quantum Many-Body Systems

Hamiltonian of N identical spinless particles on $[0, 2\pi]^3$ with periodic boundary conditions

$$H_N := \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\Delta_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \qquad \text{with } V : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$$

on the bosonic Hilbert space

$$L^2_{\text{symm}}(\mathbb{T}^{3N}) := \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{3N}) \mid \psi(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots) = \psi(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \quad \forall \sigma \in S_N \right\}$$

or on the fermionic Hilbert space

$$L^2_{\text{antisymm}}(\mathbb{T}^{3N}) := \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{3N}) \mid \psi(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)\psi(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \quad \forall \sigma \in S_N \right\} .$$

What is the ground state energy

$$E_N := \inf_{\|\psi\|=1} \langle \psi, H_N \psi \rangle = \inf \operatorname{spec}(H_N)\,?$$

Defining the two- and one-particle reduced density matrices (r. d. m.)

$$\gamma^{(2)} := \frac{N!}{(N-2)!} \operatorname{tr}_{3,4,\ldots N} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\,, \qquad \gamma^{(1)} := \frac{1}{N-1} \operatorname{tr}_2 \gamma^{(2)}\,,$$

we always have

$$\langle \psi, H_N \psi \rangle = \operatorname{tr}\left(-\Delta\gamma^{(1)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \iint V(x_1 - x_2)\gamma^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; x_1, x_2)\, \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2\,.$$

Ground State Energy

What is the ground state energy

$$E_N := \inf_{\|\psi\|=1} \langle \psi, H_N \psi \rangle = \inf \operatorname{spec}(H_N)\,?$$

Defining the two- and one-particle reduced density matrices (r. d. m.)

$$\gamma^{(2)} := \frac{N!}{(N-2)!} \operatorname{tr}_{3,4,\dots N} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\,, \qquad \gamma^{(1)} := \frac{1}{N-1} \operatorname{tr}_2 \gamma^{(2)}\,,$$

we always have

$$\langle \psi, H_N \psi \rangle = \operatorname{tr}\left(-\Delta \gamma^{(1)}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \iint V(x_1 - x_2) \gamma^{(2)}(x_1, x_2; x_1, x_2)\, \mathrm{d}x_1 \mathrm{d}x_2\,.$$

So we simply minimize over $\gamma^{(2)}$? Unfortunately not: the set of all two-particle reduced density matrices is hard to characterize: N-representability problem.

Bosons

Bosonic Mean-Field Limit

The way out: restrict to specific physical regimes.

Simplest: high density & weak interaction, s. th. we expect approximate mean-field behaviour:

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\Delta_i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j)\,, \quad \text{particle number } N \to \infty\,.$$

Bosonic Mean-Field Limit

The way out: restrict to specific physical regimes.

Simplest: high density & weak interaction, s. th. we expect approximate mean-field behaviour:

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\Delta_i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} V(x_i - x_j)\,, \quad \text{particle number } N \to \infty\,.$$

As $N \to \infty$, the set of two-particle r. d. m. is characterized by Quantum de-Finetti theorem:

$$\frac{(N-k)!}{N!}\gamma^{(k)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \int |u^{\otimes k}\rangle\langle u^{\otimes k}| \mathrm{d}\mu(u) \qquad \text{factorized, no quantum correlations.}$$

Bosonic Mean-Field Limit

The way out: restrict to specific physical regimes.

Simplest: high density & weak interaction, s. th. we expect approximate mean-field behaviour:

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\Delta_i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j)\,, \quad \text{particle number } N \to \infty\,.$$

As $N \to \infty$, the set of two-particle r. d. m. is characterized by Quantum de-Finetti theorem:

$$\frac{(N-k)!}{N!}\gamma^{(k)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \int |u^{\otimes k}\rangle\langle u^{\otimes k}| \mathrm{d}\mu(u) \qquad \text{factorized, no quantum correlations.}$$

Implies convergence to Hartree functional [Lewin–Nam–Rougerie '13, . . .]

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to N \inf_{\substack{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ \|u\|=1}} \left[\int |\nabla u(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \int |u(x)|^2 V(x-y) |u(y)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y \right] =: N\, E^{\mathrm{Hartree}}\,.$$

Correlation Corrections to the Hartree Functional

Next order correction: due to quantum correlations!

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to N\, E^{\mathrm{Hartree}} + \mathcal{O}(1)\,.$$

Correlation Corrections to the Hartree Functional

Next order correction: due to quantum correlations!

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to N\, E^{\mathrm{Hartree}} + \mathcal{O}(1)\,.$$

Bogoliubov theory [Grech–Seiringer '13, Pizzo '15]:

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to N\, E^{\mathrm{Hartree}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left[p^2 + \hat{V}(p) - \sqrt{p^4 + 2p^2\, \hat{V}(p)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/2})\,.$$

Correlation Corrections to the Hartree Functional

Next order correction: due to quantum correlations!

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to N\, E^{\mathrm{Hartree}} + \mathcal{O}(1)\,.$$

Bogoliubov theory [Grech–Seiringer '13, Pizzo '15]:

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to N\, E^{\mathrm{Hartree}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left[p^2 + \hat{V}(p) - \sqrt{p^4 + 2p^2 \hat{V}(p)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/2})\,.$$

Remark: In thermodynamic limit the correlation energy is given by Lee–Huang–Yang formula

$$E(\rho) \to 4\pi\rho a \left[1 + \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} (\rho a^3)^{1/2} + \dots \right], \quad a = \text{scattering length of } V\,, \quad \rho = \text{density}$$

[Yau–Yin '09], [Giuliani–Seiringer '09], [Boccato–Brennecke–Cenatiempo–Schlein '18], [Brietzke–Solovej '19], [Brietzke–Fournais–Solovej '19], [Fournais–Solovej '19]

Fermions

Fermionic Mean-Field Regime

Fermions have high kinetic energy (Fermi energy), to be tamed down in mean-field scaling

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\hbar^2 \Delta_i\right) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \,, \qquad \hbar = N^{-1/3} \,.$$

Fermionic Mean-Field Regime

Fermions have high kinetic energy (Fermi energy), to be tamed down in mean-field scaling

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\hbar^2 \Delta_i\right) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} V(x_i - x_j)\,, \qquad \hbar = N^{-1/3}\,.$$

No Quantum de-Finetti theorem — set of two-particle r. d. m. is complicated [Klyachko '06].

Fermionic Mean-Field Regime

Fermions have high kinetic energy (Fermi energy), to be tamed down in mean-field scaling

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\hbar^2 \Delta_i \right) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V(x_i - x_j) \,, \qquad \hbar = N^{-1/3} \,.$$

No Quantum de-Finetti theorem — set of two-particle r. d. m. is complicated [Klyachko '06].

Special correlation estimate implies convergence to Hartree–Fock functional [Graf–Solovej '94]:

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to \inf_{\substack{\omega^2 = \omega \text{ on } L^2(\mathbb{T}^3) \\ \operatorname{tr}\omega = N}} \left[\operatorname{tr}(-\Delta\omega) + \iint \omega(x,x) V(x-y) \omega(y,y) - \iint |\omega(x,y)|^2 V(x-y) \right] =: E_N^{\mathrm{HF}} \,.$$

Fermionic Mean-Field Regime

Fermions have high kinetic energy (Fermi energy), to be tamed down in mean-field scaling

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\hbar^2 \Delta_i\right) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} V(x_i - x_j)\,, \qquad \hbar = N^{-1/3}\,.$$

No Quantum de-Finetti theorem — set of two-particle r. d. m. is complicated [Klyachko '06].

Special correlation estimate implies convergence to Hartree–Fock functional [Graf–Solovej '94]:

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \to \inf_{\substack{\omega^2=\omega \text{ on } L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\\ \operatorname{tr}\omega = N}} \left[\operatorname{tr}(-\Delta\omega) + \iint \omega(x,x) V(x-y) \omega(y,y) - \iint |\omega(x,y)|^2 V(x-y) \right] =: E_N^{\mathrm{HF}}\,.$$

[Wigner '34]: What is the next order, due to quantum correlations?

The Gell-Mann–Brueckner Formula

Originally jellium model considered: no scaling of couplings, Coulomb interaction, thermodynamic limit, and density $\rho \to \infty$.

The solution [Macke '50], [Bohm–Pines '53], [Gell-Mann–Brueckner '57], [Sawada–Brueckner–Fukuda–Brout '57] also explained screening and collective plasmon oscillations.

Random Phase Approximation

$$E^{\text{jellium}}(\rho) = \underbrace{C_{\text{TF}}\rho^{5/3} - C_{\text{D}}\rho^{4/3}}_{\substack{\text{Hartree-Fock energy} \\ \text{of Fermi ball}}} + \underbrace{C_{\text{BP}}\rho\log(\rho) + C_{\text{GB}}\rho}_{\text{correlation energy}} + o(\rho) \qquad \text{as } \rho \to \infty\,.$$

The Gell-Mann–Brueckner Formula

Originally jellium model considered: no scaling of couplings, Coulomb interaction, thermodynamic limit, and density $\rho \to \infty$.

The solution [Macke '50], [Bohm–Pines '53], [Gell-Mann–Brueckner '57], [Sawada–Brueckner–Fukuda–Brout '57] also explained screening and collective plasmon oscillations.

Random Phase Approximation

$$E^{\text{jellium}}(\rho) = \underbrace{C_{\text{TF}}\rho^{5/3} - C_{\text{D}}\rho^{4/3}}_{\substack{\text{Hartree-Fock energy} \\ \text{of Fermi ball}}} + \underbrace{C_{\text{BP}}\rho\log(\rho) + C_{\text{GB}}\rho}_{\text{correlation energy}} + o(\rho) \qquad \text{as } \rho \to \infty\,.$$

Mean-field scaling with regular interaction is slightly different:

$$E_N^{\text{mf}} = E_N^{\text{HF}} + \underbrace{E^{\text{BP}} + E^{\text{GB},1}}_{\sim N^{-1/3}} + \underbrace{E^{\text{GB},2}}_{\sim N^{-2/3}}\ .$$

How did Gell-Mann and Brueckner calculate the correlation energy?

The random phase approximation of Gell-Mann and Brueckner:

1. Notice: For Coulomb interaction, high orders are badly IR divergent,

$$\hat{V}(k)^n \sim |k|^{-2n} \quad \text{for } k \to 0\,.$$

How did Gell-Mann and Brueckner calculate the correlation energy?

The random phase approximation of Gell-Mann and Brueckner:

1. Notice: For Coulomb interaction, high orders are badly IR divergent,

$$\hat{V}(k)^n \sim |k|^{-2n} \quad \text{for } k \to 0\,.$$

2. Collect the most divergent term from each order of perturbation theory, finding

$$\text{with } x \sim \hat{V}(k): \qquad x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \ldots =$$

How did Gell-Mann and Brueckner calculate the correlation energy?

The random phase approximation of Gell-Mann and Brueckner:

1. Notice: For Coulomb interaction, high orders are badly IR divergent,

$$\hat{V}(k)^n \sim |k|^{-2n} \quad \text{for } k \to 0\,.$$

2. Collect the most divergent term from each order of perturbation theory, finding

$$\text{with } x \sim \hat{V}(k): \qquad x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \ldots = \log(1+x)\,.$$

Resummation of all orders of perturbation theory $\rightsquigarrow$ regularization to log–divergence.

How did Gell-Mann and Brueckner calculate the correlation energy?

The random phase approximation of Gell-Mann and Brueckner:

1. Notice: For Coulomb interaction, high orders are badly IR divergent,

$$\hat{V}(k)^n \sim |k|^{-2n} \quad \text{for } k \to 0\,.$$

2. Collect the most divergent term from each order of perturbation theory, finding

$$\text{with } x \sim \hat{V}(k): \qquad x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \ldots = \log(1+x)\,.$$

Resummation of all orders of perturbation theory ⇝ regularization to log–divergence.

$$E^{\mathrm{BP}} + E^{\mathrm{GB},1} = \hbar \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |k| \left[\int_0^\infty \log\left(1 + \hat{V}(k)\left(1 - v \arctan v^{-1}\right)\right) \mathrm{d}v - \frac{1}{4}\hat{V}(k) \right]$$

How did Gell-Mann and Brueckner calculate the correlation energy?

The random phase approximation of Gell-Mann and Brueckner:

1. Notice: For Coulomb interaction, high orders are badly IR divergent,

$$\hat{V}(k)^n \sim |k|^{-2n} \quad \text{for } k \to 0\,.$$

2. Collect the most divergent term from each order of perturbation theory, finding

$$\text{with } x \sim \hat{V}(k): \qquad x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \ldots = \log(1+x)\,.$$

   Resummation of all orders of perturbation theory $\rightsquigarrow$ regularization to log–divergence.

$$E^{\mathrm{BP}} + E^{\mathrm{GB},1} = \hbar \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |k| \left[\int_0^\infty \log\left(1 + \hat{V}(k)\left(1 - v \arctan v^{-1}\right)\right) \mathrm{d}v - \frac{1}{4}\hat{V}(k) \right]$$

Remark: $E^{\mathrm{GB},2}$ is much simpler, just second-order perturbation of exchange type.

Our Result:
Gell-Mann–Brueckner
Formula as Upper Bound

Upper Bound on Correlation Energy

Theorem: [B-Nam-Porta-Schlein-Seiringer, arXiv:1809.01902]

Let $\hat{V}(k)$ be non-negative and compactly supported. Then

$$E_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \leq E_N^{\mathrm{HF}} + E^{\mathrm{BP}} + E^{\mathrm{GB},1} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar N^{-1/27}) .$$

Upper Bound on Correlation Energy

Theorem: [B-Nam-Porta-Schlein-Seiringer, arXiv:1809.01902]

Let $\hat{V}(k)$ be non-negative and compactly supported. Then

$$E_N^{\text{mf}} \leq E_N^{\text{HF}} + E^{\text{BP}} + E^{\text{GB},1} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar N^{-1/27}) \,.$$

Remarks:

- [Hainzl-Porta-Rexze '18]: perturbative upper and lower bound to second order in $\hat{V}$.
- We use a trial state which in principle also captures $E^{\text{GB},2}$, but in the mean-field scaling this contribution is too small to be seen.

Preparation: Extracting the Hartree–Fock Energy

Extracting the Hartree–Fock Energy

Hamiltonian in momentum representation, written with fermionic canonical operators:

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} := \hbar^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |k|^2 a_k^* a_k + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q,s,k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{V}(k) a_{q+k}^* a_{s-k}^* a_s a_q \,, \qquad \hbar = N^{-1/3}$$

Extracting the Hartree–Fock Energy

Hamiltonian in momentum representation, written with fermionic canonical operators:

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} := \hbar^2 \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3} |k|^2 a_k^* a_k + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q,s,k\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{V}(k) a_{q+k}^* a_{s-k}^* a_s a_q \,, \qquad \hbar = N^{-1/3}$$

Introduce the Slater determinant of N plane waves in the Fermi ball

$$\Psi_N := \bigwedge_{k\in\mathcal{B}_F} e^{ikx} \,, \qquad \mathcal{B}_F := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid |k| \leq N^{1/3} (3/4\pi)^{1/3} \right\} .$$

[Gontier–Hainzl–Lewin '18]: plane waves are very close to optimal Slater determinant:

$$\langle \Psi_N, H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \Psi_N \rangle = E_N^{\mathrm{HF}} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-N^{1/6}}) \,.$$

Extracting the Hartree–Fock Energy

Hamiltonian in momentum representation, written with fermionic canonical operators:

$$H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} := \hbar^2 \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3} |k|^2 a_k^* a_k + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q,s,k\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{V}(k) a_{q+k}^* a_{s-k}^* a_s a_q \,, \qquad \hbar = N^{-1/3}$$

Introduce the Slater determinant of N plane waves in the Fermi ball

$$\Psi_N := \bigwedge_{k\in\mathcal{B}_F} e^{ikx} \,, \qquad \mathcal{B}_F := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid |k| \leq N^{1/3} (3/4\pi)^{1/3} \right\} .$$

[Gontier–Hainzl–Lewin '18]: plane waves are very close to optimal Slater determinant:

$$\langle \Psi_N, H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \Psi_N \rangle = E_N^{\mathrm{HF}} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-N^{1/6}}) \,.$$

Goal: find $\tilde{\Psi}_N$ s. th. $\langle \tilde{\Psi}_N, H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} \tilde{\Psi}_N \rangle = E_N^{\mathrm{HF}} + E^{\mathrm{BP}} + E^{\mathrm{GB},1} + o(N^{-1/3})$.

Particle-Hole Transformation

Define the unitary map R on fermionic Fock space by

$$R\Omega := \Psi_N = \bigwedge_{k \in \mathcal{B}_F} e^{ikx}\,, \qquad\qquad R a_k^* R^* := \begin{cases} a_k & k \in \mathcal{B}_F \\ a_k^* & k \in \mathcal{B}_F^c \end{cases}$$

Particle-Hole Transformation

Define the unitary map R on fermionic Fock space by

$$R\Omega := \Psi_N = \bigwedge_{k\in\mathcal{B}_F} e^{ikx}\,, \qquad Ra_k^* R^* := \begin{cases} a_k & k\in\mathcal{B}_F \\ a_k^* & k\in\mathcal{B}_F^c \end{cases}$$

Write $\tilde{\Psi}_N = R\xi$. Calculate $R^* H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} R$ to get

$$\langle\tilde{\Psi}_N, H_N^{\mathrm{mf}}\tilde{\Psi}_N\rangle = E_N^{\mathrm{HF}} + \langle\xi, \Big(\underbrace{\hbar^2\sum_{p\in\mathcal{B}_F^c} p^2 a_p^* a_p - \hbar^2\sum_{h\in\mathcal{B}_F} h^2 a_h^* a_h}_{=:\ H^{\mathrm{kin}}} + Q\Big)\xi\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1})$$

where Q is quartic in fermionic operators. Notice: $(H^{\mathrm{kin}} + Q)\Omega = 0$.

Our task: construct a correlated trial state ξ.

Adding Correlations using Bosonization

Collective Particle-Hole Pairs

The dominant part Q of the interaction can be expressed through collective pair operators

$$b_k^* := \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{B}_F^c \\ h \in \mathcal{B}_F}} \delta_{p-h,k} a_p^* a_h^*$$

as a quadratic Hamiltonian

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{V}(k) \left(2 b_k^* b_k + b_k^* b_{-k}^* + b_{-k} b_k \right) .$$

Collective Particle-Hole Pairs

The dominant part Q of the interaction can be expressed through collective pair operators

$$b_k^* := \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{B}_F^c \\ h \in \mathcal{B}_F}} \delta_{p-h,k} a_p^* a_h^*$$

as a quadratic Hamiltonian

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{V}(k) \left(2 b_k^* b_k + b_k^* b_{-k}^* + b_{-k} b_k \right) .$$

Idea: $[a_p^* a_h^*, a_{\tilde{p}}^* a_{\tilde{h}}^*] = 0$, pairs of fermions as bosons? The bad news:

$$\left(a_p^* a_h^* \right)^2 = 0 \quad \text{(Pauli principle!)} .$$

Collective Particle-Hole Pairs

The dominant part Q of the interaction can be expressed through collective pair operators

$$b^*_k := \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{B}_F^c \\ h \in \mathcal{B}_F}} \delta_{p-h,k} a^*_p a^*_h$$

as a quadratic Hamiltonian

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{V}(k) \left(2 b^*_k b_k + b^*_k b^*_{-k} + b_{-k} b_k \right) .$$

Idea: $[a^*_p a^*_h, a^*_{\tilde{p}} a^*_{\tilde{h}}] = 0$, pairs of fermions as bosons? The bad news:

$$\left(a^*_p a^*_h \right)^2 = 0 \quad \text{(Pauli principle!)} .$$

The good news: b^*_k are approximately bosonic if we use the delocalization:

In $\left(\sum_h a^*_{h+k} a^*_h \right)^2$ only the n diagonal summands out of all n^2 summands vanish.

Linearizing $H^{\text{kin}} = \hbar^2 \sum_p p^2 a_p^* a_p - \hbar^2 \sum_h h^2 a_h^* a_h$

Fermi ball $\mathcal{B}_F$



Localize to $M = M(N)$ patches near the Fermi surface,

$$b^*_{\alpha,k} := \frac{1}{n_{\alpha,k}} \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{B}_F \cap B_\alpha \\ p \in \mathcal{B}_F^C \cap B_\alpha}} \delta_{p-h,k} a_p^* a_h^*$$

where $n_{\alpha,k} = \sqrt{\#\text{p-h pairs in patch } \alpha \text{ with momentum } k}$.

Linearizing $H^{\text{kin}} = \hbar^2 \sum_p p^2 a_p^* a_p - \hbar^2 \sum_h h^2 a_h^* a_h$

Fermi ball $\mathcal{B}_F$



Localize to $M = M(N)$ patches near the Fermi surface,

$$b^*_{\alpha,k} := \frac{1}{n_{\alpha,k}} \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{B}_F \cap B_\alpha \\ p \in \mathcal{B}_F^C \cap B_\alpha}} \delta_{p-h,k} a_p^* a_h^*$$

where $n_{\alpha,k} = \sqrt{\#\text{p-h pairs in patch } \alpha \text{ with momentum } k}$.

Linearize kinetic energy around patch centers ω_α:

$$H^{\text{kin}} b^*_{\alpha,k} \Omega \simeq 2\hbar \underbrace{|k \cdot \hat{\omega}_\alpha|}_{=: u_\alpha(k)^2} b^*_{\alpha,k} \Omega \,.$$

By comparison to harmonic oscillator:

$$H^{\text{kin}} \simeq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \sum_\alpha 2\hbar u_\alpha(k)^2 b^*_{\alpha,k} b_{\alpha,k} \,.$$

Effective Hamiltonian



Normalization constant = number of available modes:

$$n_{\alpha,k}^2 = \#\text{p-h pairs in patch } \alpha \text{ with momentum } k$$
$$\simeq \frac{4\pi N^{2/3}}{M} |k \cdot \hat{\omega}_\alpha| = \frac{4\pi N^{2/3}}{M} u_\alpha(k)^2 \,.$$

In the quadratic Hamiltonian Q, decompose

$$b_k^* = \sum_\alpha n_{\alpha,k} b_{\alpha,k}^* + \text{lower order}\,.$$

$$H^{\text{eff}} = \hbar \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \left[\sum_\alpha u_\alpha(k)^2 b_{\alpha,k}^* b_{\alpha,k} + \frac{\hat{V}(k)}{M} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \Big(u_\alpha(k) u_\beta(k) b_{\alpha,k}^* b_{\beta,k} + u_\alpha(k) u_\beta(-k) b_{\alpha,k}^* b_{\beta,-k}^* + \text{h.c.} \Big) \right]$$

Heuristics: Bosonic Approximation

For this slide only: Assume $b^*_{\alpha,k}$, $b_{\alpha,k}$ are *exactly bosonic* operators.

Then the ground state of H^{eff} is given by a Bogoliubov transformation:

$$\xi_{\text{gs}} = T\Omega, \quad T = \exp\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} K(k)_{\alpha,\beta} b^*_{\alpha,k} b^*_{\beta,-k} - \text{h.c.} \right) \tag{1}$$

$K(k)$ is an almost explicit $M \times M$-matrix

and

$$\langle \xi_{\text{gs}}, H^{\text{eff}} \xi_{\text{gs}} \rangle \to E^{\text{BP}} + E^{\text{GB},1} \qquad \text{as } M \to \infty\,.$$

To get a rigorous upper bound for the fermionic system:
Use (1) to define a trial state in fermionic Fock space.

Rigorous Analysis

Convergence to Bosonic Approximation

Lemma: We have approximately bosonic commutators:

$$[b^*_{\alpha,k}, b^*_{\beta,l}] = 0 = [b_{\alpha,k}, b_{\beta,l}] \qquad \text{and} \qquad [b_{\alpha,k}, b^*_{\beta,l}] = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\Big(\delta_{k,l} + \mathcal{E}_\alpha(k,l)\Big)\,,$$

where the operator $\mathcal{E}_\alpha(k,l)$ is bounded by

$$\|\mathcal{E}_\alpha(k,l)\psi\| \leq \frac{2}{n_{\alpha,k} n_{\alpha,l}} \|\mathcal{N}\psi\| \qquad (\mathcal{N} = \text{fermionic number operator})$$

for all ψ in fermionic Fock space.

Approximate Bogoliubov Transformations

Proposition: With $K(k)$ from the bosonic approximation, let in fermionic Fock space

$$T_\lambda := \exp(\lambda B)\,, \qquad B := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} K(k)_{\alpha,\beta} b^*_{\alpha,k} b^*_{\beta,-k} - \text{h.c.}$$

Then T_λ acts as an approximate Bogoliubov transformation on $b^*_{\alpha,k}$ and $b_{\alpha,k}$, i. e.,

$$T^*_\lambda b_{\alpha,k} T_\lambda = \sum_{\beta=1}^{M} \cosh(\lambda K(k))_{\alpha,\beta} b_{\beta,k} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{M} \sinh(\lambda K(k))_{\alpha,\beta} b^*_{\beta,-k} + \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,k}$$

where the error is bounded by

$$\left[\sum_\alpha \|\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,k}\psi\|^2\right]^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{\min_\alpha n^2_{\alpha,k}} \|(\mathcal{N}+2)^{3/2} T_\lambda \psi\| \quad \text{for all } \psi \text{ in fermionic Fock space}\,.$$

Bound on $\mathcal{N}$

Lemma: The particle number on our trial state

$$\xi := T_{\lambda=1}\Omega$$

is bounded by

$$\langle \xi, (\mathcal{N}+1)^3 \xi \rangle \leq C \quad \text{independent of } N\,.$$

Conclusion: We introduce a cutoff excluding patches with $u_\alpha(k)^2 \leq N^{-\delta}$; thus the error terms are small,

$$\text{errors} \sim \frac{\langle \xi, (\mathcal{N}+2)^3 \xi \rangle}{\min_\alpha n_{\alpha,k}^2} \leq \frac{C}{\frac{N^{2/3}}{M} u_\alpha(k)^2} \leq C \frac{M}{N^{2/3} N^{-\delta}}\,,$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ bosonic approximation is self-consistent for $M(N) \ll N^{2/3-\delta}$.

Linearization Error

Lemma: The kinetic energy can be linearized as $H^{\text{kin}} = H^{\text{linear}} + \mathfrak{E}$, where

$$H^{\text{linear}} = \hbar \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \Bigg[\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_F^c \cap B_\alpha} |p \cdot \hat{\omega}_\alpha| a_p^* a_p - \sum_{h \in \mathcal{B}_F \cap B_\alpha} |h \cdot \hat{\omega}_\alpha| a_h^* a_h \Bigg]$$

and the error operator $\mathfrak{E}$ is small compared to $\hbar = N^{-1/3}$ if $M(N) \gg N^{1/3}$; namely

$$|\langle \psi, \mathfrak{E} \psi \rangle| \leq \frac{C}{M} \langle \psi, \mathcal{N} \psi \rangle \qquad \text{for all } \psi \text{ in fermionic Fock space} .$$

Linearization Error

Lemma: The kinetic energy can be linearized as $H^{\text{kin}} = H^{\text{linear}} + \mathfrak{E}$, where

$$H^{\text{linear}} = \hbar \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} \Big[\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_F^c \cap B_\alpha} |p \cdot \hat{\omega}_\alpha| a_p^* a_p - \sum_{h \in \mathcal{B}_F \cap B_\alpha} |h \cdot \hat{\omega}_\alpha| a_h^* a_h \Big]$$

and the error operator $\mathfrak{E}$ is small compared to $\hbar = N^{-1/3}$ if $M(N) \gg N^{1/3}$; namely

$$|\langle \psi, \mathfrak{E} \psi \rangle| \leq \frac{C}{M} \langle \psi, \mathcal{N} \psi \rangle \qquad \text{for all } \psi \text{ in fermionic Fock space} .$$

Lemma: We have

$$[H^{\text{linear}}, b^*_{\alpha,k}] = 2\hbar |k \cdot \hat{\omega}_\alpha| b^*_{\alpha,k} ;$$

in this (and only this) sense $H^{\text{linear}} \simeq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \sum_\alpha 2\hbar u_\alpha(k)^2 b^*_{\alpha,k} b_{\alpha,k}$.

Proof of Main Theorem

Proof: We just have to calculate $\langle R\xi, H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} R\xi \rangle \simeq \langle \Omega, T_{\lambda=1}^* \left(H^{\mathrm{linear}} + Q \right) T_{\lambda=1} \Omega \rangle$.

- The interaction part Q is quadratic in b^* and b;
  just calculate the action of the approximate Bogoliubov transformation.

Proof of Main Theorem

Proof: We just have to calculate $\langle R\xi, H_N^{\mathrm{mf}} R\xi\rangle \simeq \langle \Omega, T^*_{\lambda=1}\left(H^{\mathrm{linear}} + Q\right) T_{\lambda=1}\Omega\rangle$.

- The interaction part Q is quadratic in b^* and b;
  just calculate the action of the approximate Bogoliubov transformation.
- The linearized kinetic energy H^{linear} is not quadratic in b^* and b;
  expand into commutators by applying once the Duhamel formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi, H^{\mathrm{linear}}\xi\rangle &= \int_0^1 \langle \Omega, T^*_\lambda [H^{\mathrm{linear}}, B] T_\lambda \Omega\rangle \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\
&= \int_0^1 \langle \Omega, T^*_\lambda \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} K(k)_{\alpha,\beta} [H^{\mathrm{linear}}, b^*_{\alpha,k} b^*_{\beta,-k} - \mathrm{h.c.}] T_\lambda \Omega\rangle \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\
&= \int_0^1 \langle \Omega, T^*_\lambda \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} K(k)_{\alpha,\beta} 2\hbar\Big(|k\cdot\hat{\omega}_\alpha| + |k\cdot\hat{\omega}_\beta|\Big) b^*_{\alpha,k} b^*_{\beta,-k} T_\lambda\Omega\rangle + \mathrm{c.\,c.}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $T^*_\lambda b^*_{\alpha,k} T_\lambda$ is given by the approximate Bogoliubov transformation.

QED

Work in Progress

- Corresponding lower bound – gapless system!
- Coulomb interaction and the plasmon:





Work in Progress

- Corresponding lower bound – gapless system!
- Coulomb interaction and the plasmon:





Thank you!