KAM for PDEs

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

KAM for Hamiltonian PDEs

Massimiliano Berti

Conference in honour of Ivar Ekeland Paris Dauphine, 18-20- June 2014,

Two main frontiers of KAM theory for PDEs:

PDEs in higher space dimension

(NLW) Hamiltonian nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + V(x)u = \partial_u F(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

(NLS) Hamiltonian nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i} u_t - \Delta u + V(x)u = \partial_{\overline{u}}F(x,u), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d, \ u \in \mathbb{C}$$

- KP, etc. . .

2 1-d PDEs with derivatives, Quasi-linear, fully-nonlinear PDEs

(KdV) Quasi-linear Hamiltonian KdV

$$u_t + u_{xxx} + \partial_x u^2 + \mathcal{N}(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}$$

- Elasticity, Klein Gordon, ...
- Water Waves . . .

 KAM for PDEs
 Quasi-linear KdV
 Proof: forced case
 Egorov theorem
 PDEs in higher space dimension

- KAM for PDEs
 - Nonlinear wave equation (NLW), $d \ge 1$, Philippe Bolle
 - Nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), $d \ge 1$
 - ${f 0}$ any space dimension $x\in {\mathbb T}^d$, $d\ge 1$
 - 2 Hamiltonian PDEs, semi-linear nonlinearities f(x, u)
 - existence of quasi-periodic solutions,
 - no-reducibility results, no informations on Lyapunov exponents/stability
 - 1-d derivative wave eq., Luca Biasco, Michela Procesi Quasi-linear KdV, Pietro Baldi, Riccardo Montalto

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- **1**-space dimension $x \in \mathbb{T}^1$
- Other algebraic structures: reversibility, ...
- guasi-linear/ fully-nonlinear
- reducibility results, informations on Lyapunov exponents/stability, ...

Techniques:

- NASH-MOSER IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREMS
- KAM (Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser) theory
- Key: New Perturbative spectral analysis for the Linearized PDE on approximate solutions

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

KAM for PDEs	Quasi-linear KdV	Proof: forced case	Egorov theorem	PDEs in higher space dimension

KdV

$$\partial_t u + u_{xxx} - 3\partial_x u^2 + \mathcal{N}_4(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}$$

Quasi-linear Hamiltonian perturbation

$$\mathcal{N}_{4} := -\partial_{x} \{ (\partial_{u}f)(x, u, u_{x}) \} + \partial_{xx} \{ (\partial_{u_{x}}f)(x, u, u_{x}) \}$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{4} = a_{0}(x, u, u_{x}, u_{xx}) + a_{1}(x, u, u_{x}, u_{xx}) u_{xxx}$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{4}(x, \varepsilon u, \varepsilon u_{x}, \varepsilon u_{xx}, \varepsilon u_{xxx}) = O(\varepsilon^{4}), \quad \varepsilon \to 0$$
$$f(x, u, u_{x}) = O(|u|^{5} + |u_{x}|^{5}), \quad f \in C^{q}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$$

Physically relevant for perturbative derivation from water-waves

• Control the effect of $\mathcal{N}_4 = O(\varepsilon^4 \partial_{xxx})$ over INFINITE times...

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Hamiltonian PDE

$$u_t = X_H(u), \quad X_H(u) := \partial_x \nabla_{L^2} H(u)$$

Hamiltonian KdV

$$H = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{u_x^2}{2} + u^3 + f(x, u, u_x) dx$$

Phase space

$$H^1_0(\mathbb{T}) := \left\{ u(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x) dx = 0 \right\}$$

Non-degenerate symplectic form:

$$\Omega(u,v) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\partial_x^{-1} u) v \, dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ▲□ ◆ ��や

Goal: look for small amplitude quasi-periodic solutions

Definition: quasi-periodic solution with *n* frequencies

$$u(t,x) = U(\omega t, x) \text{ where } U(\varphi, x) : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n (= \text{frequency vector}) \text{ is irrational } \omega \cdot k \neq 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$$

$$\implies \text{the linear flow } \{\omega t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \text{ is DENSE on } \mathbb{T}^n$$

The torus-manifold

$$\mathbb{T}^n \ni \varphi \mapsto U(\varphi, x) \in \text{phase space}$$

is invariant under the flow evolution of the PDE:

$$\Phi_H^t \circ U = U \circ \Psi_\omega^t$$

"linear rotation" : Ψ^t_ω : $\mathbb{T}^n \ni \varphi \to \varphi + \omega t \in \mathbb{T}^n$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Linear Airy eq.

$$u_t + u_{xxx} = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}$$

Solutions: (superposition principle)

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} a_j e^{i j^3 t} e^{i j x}$$

Eigenvalues $j^3 =$ "NORMAL FREQUENCIES" **Eigenfunctions**: $e^{ijx} =$ "NORMAL MODES"

All solutions are 2π - periodic in time: COMPLETELY RESONANT

 \Rightarrow Quasi-periodic solutions are a completely nonlinear phenomenon

KdV is completely integrable

$$u_t + u_{xxx} - 3\partial_x u^2 = 0$$

 \exists infinitely many prime integrals (Lax). "Action-angle" variables:

Birkhoff-coordinates, Kappeler, analytic symplectic diffeo

$$\Psi: u(x) \mapsto (u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \sum_j du_j \wedge d\,\overline{u}_j$$

New Hamiltonian system:

$$(H \circ \Psi)(I_1, I_2, \ldots), \quad I_j := \frac{1}{2}|u_j|^2 = \text{actions}$$
$$\dot{I}_j = 0, \quad \dot{\varphi}_j = W_j(I), \quad \varphi_j := \arg u_j$$

 $I_j(t) =$ prime integrals; frequencies $W_j(I)$ depends on the actions I

All solutions are periodic, quasi-periodic, almost periodic

WHAT HAPPENS ADDING A SMALL PERTURBATION ? (Poincare': general problem of dynamics $H(I) + \varepsilon P(\varphi, I)$)

- KAM theory: most of these quasi-periodic solutions persists?
- **are the example of a set of**
- Birkhoff normal forms/Nekhoroshev theory: are there upper bounds for the growth of the Sobolev norms?

Kuksin '98, Kappeler-Pöschel '03: KAM for KdV

 $u_t + u_{xxx} + uu_x + \varepsilon \partial_x f(x, u) = 0$

1 SEMILINEAR PERTURBATION $\partial_x f(x, u)$

Also true for Hamiltonian perturbations

 $u_t + u_{xxx} + uu_x + \varepsilon \partial_x |\partial_x|^{1/2} f(x, |\partial_x|^{1/2} u) = 0$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

of order 2

 $|j^3 - i^3| \ge i^2 + j^2$, $i \ne j \Longrightarrow$ KdV gains up to 2 spatial derivatives

If or QUASI-LINEAR KdV? OPEN PROBLEM

KAM for PDEs

Egorov theorem

PDEs in higher space dimension

Literature: KAM for "unbounded" perturbations

Liu-Yuan '10 for Hamiltonian DNLS (and Benjamin-Ono)

 $\mathrm{i}u_t - u_{xx} + M_\sigma u + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon f(u, \bar{u})u_x = 0$

Zhang-Gao-Yuan '11 Reversible DNLS

 $\mathrm{i}u_t + u_{xx} = |u_x|^2 u$

 $\label{eq:Less} \mbox{Less dispersive} \implies \mbox{more difficult} \\ \mbox{Extending the Lyapunov-Schmidt approach of Craig-Wayne:}$

Bourgain '96, Derivative NLW

 $y_{tt}-y_{xx}+my+y_t^2=0\,,\qquad m\neq 0,$

Existence and stability of quasi-periodic solutions:

Berti-Biasco-Procesi, Ann. Sci. ENS '13, Arch. Rat. Mech. '14 $y_{tt} - y_{xx} + my = g(x, y, y_x, y_t), \quad x \in \mathbb{T}$

Reversibility in time-space

 $g(x, y, y_x, -v) = g(x, y, y_x, v), g(-x, y, -y_x, v) = g(x, y, y_x, v)$

It rules out the nonlinearities y_t^3 , y_x^3 . The DNLW equations

$$y_{tt} - y_{xx} + my = y_t^3, \quad y_{tt} - y_{xx} + my = y_x^3,$$

do not possess periodic, quasi-periodic solutions

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

For quasi-linear nonlinearities? Formation of singularities? Lax '64, Klainermann-Majda '82, for quasi-linear wave eq. Periodic solutions:

Rabinowitz '71: periodic solutions of

 $y_{tt} - y_{xx} + \alpha y_t = \varepsilon F(x, t, y, y_t, y_x, y_{tx}, y_{xx}, y_{tt})$

The small dissipation αy_t allows the existence of periodic solutions!

looss-Plotinikov-Toland: '01-'10. Periodic solutions of Gravity Water Waves with Finite or Infinite depth

New ideas for conjugation of linearized operator

Main result:

• Existence and stability of quasi-periodic solutions of KdV eq. under QUASI-LINEAR HAMILTONIAN perturbations

$$\partial_t u + u_{xxx} - 3\partial_x u^2 + \mathcal{N}(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0$$

General method to develop KAM theory for 1-d quasi-linear PDEs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Theorem ('14, P. Baldi, M. Berti, R. Montalto)

Let $f \in C^q$ (with q := q(n) large enough), $f = O(|(u, u_x)|^5)$. Then, for "generic" choices of the "TANGENTIAL SITES"

$$S := \{-\overline{\jmath}_n, \ldots, -\overline{\jmath}_1, \overline{\jmath}_1, \ldots, \overline{\jmath}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},\$$

the Hamiltonian KdV equation

 $\partial_t u + u_{\text{xxx}} - 3\partial_x u^2 + \mathcal{N}_4(x, u, u_x, u_{\text{xx}}, u_{\text{xxx}}) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T},$

possesses small amplitude quasi-periodic solutions with Sobolev regularity H^s , $s \leq q$, of the form

$$u = \sum_{j \in S} \sqrt{\xi_j} \, e^{i\omega_j^{\infty}(\xi) \, t} e^{ijx} + o(\sqrt{\xi}), \ \omega_j^{\infty}(\xi) = j^3 + O(|\xi|)$$

for a "Cantor-like" set of "initial conditions" $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with density 1 at $\xi = 0$. The linearized equations at these quasi-periodic solutions are reduced to constant coefficients and are linearly **stable**.

Remarks: a similar result holds for

cubic perturbations: $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\partial_t u + u_{xxx} + \partial_x u^2 + au^3 + \mathcal{N}_4(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0$$

mKdV: focusing/defocusing

 $\partial_t u + u_{xxx} \pm \partial_x u^3 + \mathcal{N}_4(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0$

gKdV, generalized KdV (not integrable)

 $\partial_t u + u_{xxx} \pm \partial_x u^p + \mathcal{N}(x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0$

by Birkhoff normal form techniques of Procesi-Procesi

うせん 同一人用 (一日) (日)

KAM for PDEs Quasi-linear KdV Proof: forced case Egorov theorem PDEs in higher space dimension

 The restriction of C_ε is not technical! Outside: "Chaos", "homoclinc/heteroclinics solutions", "Arnold Diffusion",
 "Growth of Sobolev norms in 2-d cubic NLS"

$$\mathrm{i} u_t - \Delta u = |u|^2 u, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^2$$

Colliander-Keel-Staffilani-Takaoka-Tao, Invent. Math. 2010

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Sor Differentiable nonlinearities f ∈ C^q the "chaotic effects" are stronger... and KAM theory more difficult

Linear stability

(L): linearized equation $\partial_t h = \partial_x \partial_u \nabla H(u(\omega t, x))h$

 $h_t + a_3(\omega t, x)h_{xxx} + a_2(\omega t, x)h_{xx} + a_1(\omega t, x)h_x + a_0(\omega t, x)h = 0$

There exists a quasi-periodic (Floquet) change of variable

$$h = \Phi(\omega t)(\psi, \eta, v), \quad \psi \in \mathbb{T}^{\nu}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, v \in H^s_{X} \cap L^2_{S^{\perp}}$$

which transforms (L) into the constant coefficients system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\psi} = b\eta \\ \dot{\eta} = 0 \\ \dot{v}_j = i\mu_j v_j \,, \quad j \notin S \,, \ \mu_j \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

 $\Longrightarrow \eta(t) = \eta_0, v_j(t) = v_j(0)e^{\mathrm{i}\mu_j t} \Longrightarrow \|v(t)\|_s = \|v(0)\|_s$: stability

・ロト ・ 画 ・ ・ 画 ・ ・ 画 ・ 今々ぐ

Forced quasi-linear perturbations of Airy

Use $\omega = \lambda \vec{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as 1-dim. parameter

Theorem (Baldi, Berti, Montalto, Math. Annalen 2014)

Let $\vec{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ diophantine. For every quasi-linear Hamiltonian nonlinearity f the perturbed Airy equation

 $\partial_t u + \partial_{xxx} u + \varepsilon f(\lambda \vec{\omega} t, x, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0$

has a small quasi-periodic solution u with frequency $\omega = \lambda \vec{\omega}$ for all

 $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{arepsilon} \subset \left[1/2, 3/2
ight], \quad \lim_{arepsilon
ightarrow 0} \left| \mathcal{C}_{arepsilon}
ight| = 1$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● ● ●

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Bifurcation problem: Let $\mathcal{F} : [0, \varepsilon_0) \times H^s \to H^{s-3}$ be

$$\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, u) := \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} u + \partial_{\mathsf{xxx}} u + \varepsilon f(\varphi, \mathsf{x}, u, u_{\mathsf{x}}, u_{\mathsf{xxx}}, u_{\mathsf{xxx}})$$

Look for $u(\varphi, x)$ zeros $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon, u) = 0$.

Small amplitude solutions:				
$\mathcal{F}(0,0)=0, \qquad D_{u}\mathcal{F}(0,0)=\omega\cdot\partial_{arphi}+\partial_{ ext{xxx}}$				
eigenvectors: $e^{i\ell\cdot\varphi}e^{ijx}$ eigenvalues: $i(-\omega\cdot\ell+j^3)$				
Assumption: non-resonant case: small divisors				
$ig \omega\cdot\ell-j^3ig \geqrac{\gamma}{1+ \ell ^ au}, orall(\ell,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^n imes\mathbb{Z},j eq 0, au>0$				
$\implies D_u \mathcal{F}(0,0)$ is invertible, but the inverse is unbounded :				
$(\omega \cdot \partial_{\omega} + \partial_{xxx})^{-1} : H^{s} \to H^{s-\tau}, \tau := "LOSS \ OF \ DERIVATIVES"$				

Nash-Moser Implicit Function Theorem

Newton tangent method for zeros of $\mathcal{F}(u) = 0 + \text{"smoothing"}$:

$$u_{n+1} := u_n - S_n(D_u\mathcal{F})^{-1}(u_n)\mathcal{F}(u_n)$$

where S_n are regularizing operators (= "mollifiers")

Advantage: QUADRATIC scheme

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_s \leq C(n)\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_s^2$$

 \implies convergent also if $C(n) \rightarrow +\infty$

Difficulty: invert (D_uF)(u) in a whole neighborhood of the expected solution with good *tame* estimates of the inverse

For KdV: linearized equation on an approximate solution

$$\begin{array}{l} h \to (\mathcal{D}_{u}\mathcal{F})(u,\varepsilon)[h] := \\ \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} + \partial_{xxx} + \varepsilon (\mathbf{a}_{3}(\varphi,x)\partial_{xxx} + \mathbf{a}_{2}(\varphi,x)\partial_{xx} + \mathbf{a}_{1}(\varphi,x)\partial_{x} + \mathbf{a}_{0}(\varphi,x)) \end{array}$$

- Linear differential operator with non-constant coefficients
- not diagonal in Fourier basis
- "singular" perturbation problem: $L_{\omega}^{-1}T$ is unbounded $L_{\omega} := \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} - \partial_{xxx}$

 $\mathcal{T} := a_{3}(\varphi, x)\partial_{xxx} + a_{2}(\varphi, x)\partial_{xx} + a_{1}(\varphi, x)\partial_{x} + a_{0}(\varphi, x)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Key: spectral analysis of quasi-periodic operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} + \partial_{xxx} + a_{3}(\varphi, x) \partial_{xxx} + a_{2}(\varphi, x) \partial_{xx} + a_{1}(\varphi, x) \partial_{x} + a_{0}(\varphi, x)$$

$$a_i = O(\varepsilon), i = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

Main problem: the non constant coefficients term $a_3(\varphi, x)\partial_{xxx}$!

• Usual KAM iterative scheme to diagonalize \mathcal{L} is unbounded!

Idea to conjugate \mathcal{L} to a diagonal operator

IREDUCTION IN DECREASING SYMBOLS

$$\mathcal{L}_1 := \Phi^{-1} \mathcal{L} \Phi = \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} + m_3 \partial_{xxx} + m_1 \partial_x + R_0$$

- $R_0(\varphi, x)$ pseudo-differential operator of order 0, $R_0 = O(\varepsilon)$,
- $m_3 = 1 + O(\varepsilon)$, $m_1 = O(\varepsilon)$, $m_1, m_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, constants

Use Egorov type theorem!

WREDUCTION OF THE SIZE of *R*₀"

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} + m_3 \partial_{xxx} + m_1 \partial_x + r^{(n)} + \mathcal{R}_n$$

• KAM quadratic scheme: $\mathcal{R}_n = O(\varepsilon^{2^n})$, $r^{(n)} = \operatorname{diag}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}(r_j^{(n)})$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Higher order term

 $\mathcal{L} := \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} + \partial_{\mathsf{xxx}} + \varepsilon \mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{3}}(\mathsf{x}) \partial_{\mathsf{xxx}}$

STEP 1: Under the symplectic change of variables

 $\Phi u := (1 + \beta_x(x))u(x + \beta(x))$

we get

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_1 &:= \Phi^{-1} \mathcal{L} \Phi &= \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} + (\Phi^{-1} (1 + \varepsilon a_3) (1 + \beta_x)^3) \partial_{xxx} + O(\partial_{xx}) \\ &= \omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} + m_3 \partial_{xxx} + O(\partial_{xx}) \end{split}$$

imposing

$$(1+\varepsilon a_3)(1+\beta_x)^3=m_3\,,$$

There exist solution $\beta = O(\varepsilon)$, $m_3 \approx 1$, \mathcal{L}_1 has the leading order with CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

A general approach for quasi-linear PDEs:

The family of symplectic transformations

$$u(x)\mapsto (1+eta_x(x))u(x+ aueta(x))\,,\quad au\in \left[0,1
ight],$$

are the flow of the time dependent Hamiltonian "transport eq."

$$\partial_{\tau} u = \partial_x (b(\tau, x)u), \quad b(\tau, x) := \frac{\beta(x)}{1 + \tau \beta_x(x)}$$
 (1)

Question:

How a pseudo-differential operator, here

$$P_0 = (1 + \varepsilon a_3(x))\partial_{xxx}, \quad p_0(x,\xi) = \mathrm{i}(1 + \varepsilon a_3(x))\xi^3,$$

transforms under the flow $\Phi_{\tau_0}^{\tau}: H_x^s \to H_x^s$ of (1) ?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Egorov Theorem:

The transformed operator

$$P(au):=\Phi_0^ au P_0(\Phi_0^ au)^{-1}$$

is a pseudo-differential operator of the same order of P_0 , here 3, whose principle symbol $p(\tau, x, \xi)$ is obtained by the principal symbol $p_0(x, \xi) = i(1 + \varepsilon a_3(x))\xi^3$ of P_0 , following the Hamiltonian flow $\Psi_A^{\tau} : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ of the classical Hamiltonian $A := b(\tau, x)\xi$ (associated to $\partial_{\tau} u = b(\tau, x)\partial_x u + \ldots$), namely

$$P(\tau) = \operatorname{Op}(p(\tau, x, \mathrm{i}\partial_x)) + \dots, \quad p(\tau, x, \xi) = p_0 \circ \Psi_A^{\tau, 0}(x, \xi)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへで

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

PDEs in dimension $d \ge 2$

Main difficulties:

1) the eigenvalues of -Δ + V(x) appear in clusters of increasing size

For example $-\Delta e^{ij\cdot x} = |j|^2 e^{ij\cdot x}$ then $|j|^2 = |j_0|^2$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}^d$

- 2) The eigenfunctions of -Δ + V(x) may be "NOT localized with respect to exponentials"! (Feldman- Knörrer-Trubowitz)
- \implies often used pseudo-PDE with Fourier multipliers

$$\mathrm{i}u_t - \Delta u + M_\sigma u = \varepsilon f$$
, $M_\sigma e^{\mathrm{i}j\cdot x} = m_\sigma e^{\mathrm{i}j\cdot x}$

and m_{σ} are used as parameters

Literature: $d \ge 2$: quasi-periodic solutions

• Newton method, 1^{th} order Melnikov

- Bourgain, Annals '98, '05, NLS and NLW with Fourier multipliers
- Wang, '11 completely resonant NLS-NLW,
- Berti-Bolle, '10-'12, forced NLS-NLW, finite regularity, V(x) multiplicative potential
- KAM theory: 2th order Melnikov
 - Kuksin-Eliasson, Annals '10, NLS with Fourier multipliers
 - Procesi-Procesi '11, completely resonant NLS

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Forced NLS and NLW

We look for quasi-periodic solutions of Hamiltonian

(NLS)
$$iu_t - \Delta u + V(x)u = \varepsilon f(\omega t, x, u)$$

 $\omega = \lambda ar \omega \,, \quad \lambda pprox 1$

in a **FIXED** diophantine direction

$$|ar{\omega} \cdot \ell| \geq rac{\gamma_0}{|\ell|^{\tau_0}} \,, \; \forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{
u} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \,,$$

In FINITE DIMENSION Eliasson '89 and Bourgain '94

Theorem (M.Berti, Philippe Bolle, JEMS '11)

Existence: $\exists s := s(d, \nu), k := k(d, \nu) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that: $\forall V, f \in C^k$, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that $\forall 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there is

 $u(\varepsilon,\cdot) \in C^1([1/2,3/2]; H^s) \quad with \quad \sup_{\lambda \in [1/2,3/2]} \|u(\varepsilon,\lambda)\|_s \stackrel{\varepsilon \to 0}{\to} 0,$

and a Cantor like set

 $\mathcal{C}_arepsilon \subset [1/2,3/2] \qquad ext{with} \qquad \lim_{arepsilon o 0} |\mathcal{C}_arepsilon| = 1,$

such that, $\forall \lambda \in C_{\varepsilon}$, $u(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ is a solution of NLS with $\omega = \lambda \overline{\omega}$. **Regularity:** If $V, f \in C^{\infty}$ then $u \in C^{\infty}$ in space and time.

• A similar result holds for NLW

$$(\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi})^2 u - \Delta u + V(x)u = \varepsilon f(\varphi, x, u)$$

About the Proof

KEY STEP: For "most" parameters $\lambda \in [1/2, 3/2]$ the linearized operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{arepsilon}(\lambda) := (\lambda ar{\omega} \cdot \partial_{arphi})^2 - \Delta + V(x) + arepsilon (\partial_u f)(arphi, x, u(arphi, x))$$

is invertible and TAME estimate in HIGHER Sobolev norms, i.e.

 $\|\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}^{-1}(\lambda)h\|_{s} \leq \|h\|_{s+\tau} \|u\|_{s_{0}} + \|h\|_{s_{0}} \|u\|_{s}, \ \forall s_{0} \leq s \leq k$

- Step 1) L²-estimates: lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the self adjoint operator L_ε(λ): eigenvalues are smooth in λ ∈ [1/2, 3/2]
- Step 2) Tame-estimates in high norm KEY OBSERVATION: many eigenvalues are NOT small !

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Separation properties of singular sites

Singular sites : $(\ell, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ such that

NLW)
$$|-(\omega \cdot \ell)^2 + |j|^2 + m| < \rho$$

$$\mathsf{NLS}) \quad |-\omega \cdot \ell + |j|^2 + m| < \rho$$

must be more and more "rare" as $\rho \rightarrow 0$

- (NLW) Integer points near a "cone"
- (NLS) Integer points near a "paraboloid"

GROUP THE SINGULAR SITES INTO LARGE CLUSTERS

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Next step:

KAM for autonomous NLW with multiplicative potential:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + V(x)u = a(x)u^3 + O(u^4)$$

in preparation with Philippe Bolle

Further difficulties:

- bifurcation analysis
- the tangential and the normal variables are coupled

KAM for PDEs

Happy Birthday Ivar!!