

# De l'inégalité de Prekopa-Leindler à la régularité globale du transport optimal

Journées en l'honneur de Christian Léonard

Nathaël Gozlan

Université Paris Cité

1er juillet 2025

# Outline

*Works in collaboration with M. Fathi and M. Prod'Homme (2020) and M. Sylvestre (2025)*

- I - Introduction :  $W_2$ , Brenier and Caffarelli
- II - Two ingredients : entropy regularized transport and Prekopa-Leindler inequality
- III - Convexity/smoothness properties of entropic Legendre transforms
- IV - Generalizations of Caffarelli's theorem, new proofs and results.

# I - Introduction : $W_2$ , Brenier and Caffarelli Theorems

# Optimal Transport - classical definition

Let  $c : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a measurable function on a Polish space  $(E, d)$ .

## Definition

The optimal transport cost between two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \iint_{E \times E} c(x, y) d\pi(x, y),$$

where  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  denotes the set of probability measures  $\pi$  on  $E \times E$  having  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as marginals (called 'transport plans between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ ').

Equivalently

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[c(X, Y)]$$

Classical Examples : Kantorovich distances of order  $p \geq 1$

$$W_p^p(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu} \mathbb{E}[d^p(X, Y)].$$

# Optimal transport plans

A transport plan  $\pi^\circ$  is said optimal if

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\mu, \nu) = \iint c(x, y) d\pi^\circ(x, y).$$

## Theorem

If  $c$  is lower-semicontinuous then there always exists at least one optimal transport plans.

## Questions :

- How to characterize optimal transport plans ?
- Are they given by a transport map  $T : \pi^\circ = \text{Law}(X, T(X))$ ,  $X \sim \mu$ , and  $T_\# \mu = \nu$  ? When it is the case, it holds

$$\mathcal{T}_c(\mu, \nu) = \int c(x, T(x)) \mu(dx)$$

and we say that  $T$  is a solution in the sense of Monge.

- Is  $T$  regular ? Main motivation of this talk : global Lipschitz continuity.
- ...

# Brenier Theorem

Let  $|\cdot|$  denote the standard Euclidean norm on  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The following result characterizes optimal transport plans for the cost function

$$c(x, y) = |y - x|^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

## Theorem (Brenier (1991))

If  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue and if  $\int |x|^2 d\mu(x) < +\infty$  and  $\int |y|^2 d\nu(y) < +\infty$ , then there exists a unique optimal transport plan  $\pi^\circ$ , such that

$$W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \iint |y - x|^2 d\pi^\circ(x, y).$$

Moreover  $\pi^\circ$  has the following structure : there exists a convex function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that  $\pi^\circ = \text{Law}(X, \nabla\phi(X))$ , with  $X \sim \mu$  and so

$$W_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \int |\nabla\phi(x) - x|^2 d\mu(x).$$

**Remark :** When the support of  $\mu$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (which will always be the case in this talk), then  $\phi$  is unique up to constant.

**Motivation of this talk :** understand when  $\nabla\phi$  is globally Lipschitz.



# Caffarelli contraction theorem

## Theorem (Caffarelli (2000))

If  $\gamma$  is the standard Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d\nu(y) = e^{-W(y)} dy$  is a probability measure associated to a  $\mathcal{C}^2$  smooth function  $W$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{Hess } W \geq \text{Id}$ , then there exists a convex function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  such that  $\nu = \nabla\phi_{\#}\gamma$  and such that  $\nabla\phi$  is 1-Lipschitz.

In other words, the Brenier map from  $\gamma$  to  $\nu$  is a contraction.

Original proof based on the Monge-Ampère equation satisfied by  $\phi$ .

Generalizations by Kolesnikov ('10), Kim-Milman ('12), Colombo-Figalli-Jhaveri ('17), Carlier-Figalli-Santambrogio ('24), De Philippis-Shenfeld ('24).

# Caffarelli contraction theorem

Numerous consequences in the field of functional inequalities.

**Example :** the standard Gaussian measure  $\gamma$  satisfies the log-Sobolev inequality (Gross (1975)) :

$$(LSI) \quad \text{Ent}_\gamma(f^2) \leq 2 \int |\nabla f|^2 d\gamma, \quad \forall f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathcal{C}^1$$

If  $d\nu(y) = e^{-W(y)} dy$  with  $\text{Hess } W \geq \text{Id}$ , then according to Caffarelli Theorem  $\nu = \nabla \phi_\# \gamma$  with  $\nabla \phi$  1-Lipschitz.

Therefore, applying (LSI) to  $f = g \circ \nabla \phi$  yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ent}_\nu(g^2) &\leq 2 \int |\text{Hess } \phi(x) \cdot \nabla g(\nabla \phi(x))|^2 d\gamma(x), \quad \forall f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathcal{C}^1 \\ &\leq 2 \int |\nabla g(y)|^2 d\nu(y). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\nu$  satisfies (LSI) : one recovers the Bakry-Emery criterion (with the good constant)

The proof of Caffarelli theorem relies on

- Caffarelli's results on the regularity of solutions of Monge-Ampère equations granting that  $\phi$  is twice continuously differentiable.
- The Monge-Ampère equation satisfied by  $\phi$  and a maximum principle argument.

**Motivation :** Obtain a new proof of Caffarelli contraction theorem based on probability or information theory arguments.

What I will present today comes from :

- M. Fathi, N. Gozlan, M. Prod'homme, *A proof of the Caffarelli contraction theorem via entropic regularization*. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. (2020).
- S. Chewi, A. Pooladian, *An entropic generalization of Caffarelli's contraction theorem via covariance inequalities*. C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris (2023).
- N. Gozlan, M. Sylvestre, *Global Regularity Estimates for Optimal Transport via Entropic Regularisation*. Preprint (2025).

Two main ingredients : Entropy regularized optimal transport and Prekopa-Leindler inequality

## II - Two ingredients : Entropy regularized optimal transport and Prekopa-Leindler inequality

# Entropy regularized optimal transport

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , the entropic optimal transport problem between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is the following

$$\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int \frac{1}{2} |x - y|^2 d\pi + \epsilon H(\pi | \mu \otimes \nu)$$

where

$$H(\pi | \mu \otimes \nu) = \int \log \frac{d\pi}{d(\mu \otimes \nu)} d\pi$$

is the relative entropy of  $\pi$  w.r.t the probability measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$ .

## References :

- Christian Léonard, *A survey of the Schroedinger problem and some of its connections with optimal transport* (2014)
- Marcel Nutz, *Introduction to Entropic Optimal Transport* (2022).

It is now well known, that

$$\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(\mu, \nu) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} W_2^2(\mu, \nu),$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

# Entropy regularized optimal transport

The minimizer of the entropic regularized transport problem is of the form

$$\pi_\epsilon(dx dy) = e^{\frac{\langle x, y \rangle - \phi_\epsilon(x) - \psi_\epsilon(y)}{\epsilon}} \mu(dx) \nu(dy),$$

with  $(\phi_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon)$  a couple of convex functions solution of the following system

$$\phi_\epsilon(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon, \nu}(\psi_\epsilon)(x) = \epsilon \log \left( \int e^{\frac{\langle x, y \rangle - \psi_\epsilon(y)}{\epsilon}} \nu(dy) \right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\psi_\epsilon(y) = \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon, \mu}(\phi_\epsilon)(y) = \epsilon \log \left( \int e^{\frac{\langle x, y \rangle - \phi_\epsilon(x)}{\epsilon}} \mu(dx) \right), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover,

## Theorem (Nutz-Wiesel (2022))

Assume  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with full support. The entropic Kantorovich potential  $\phi_\epsilon$  converges  $\mu$ -almost everywhere (along to some sequence  $\epsilon_k$ ) to the up to constant unique Kantorovich potential  $\phi$  such that  $T = \nabla \phi$  is the Brenier map transporting  $\mu$  onto  $\nu$ .

# Brunn-Minkowski inequality

## Theorem (Brunn-Minkowski)

For all compact sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\text{Vol}(A + B)^{1/n} \geq \text{Vol}(A)^{1/n} + \text{Vol}(B)^{1/n}$$

or, equivalently, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\text{Vol}((1 - t)A + tB) \geq \text{Vol}(A)^{1-t} \text{Vol}(B)^t$$

Application : Contains the isoperimetric inequality on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

# Functional form of Brunn-Minkowski inequality

## Theorem (Prekopa-Leindler inequality)

Let  $f_0, f_1, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be measurable functions such that, for some  $t \in ]0, 1[$ , it holds

$$h((1-t)y_0 + ty_1) \geq f_0^{1-t}(y_0)f_1^t(y_1), \quad \forall y_0, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

then

$$\int h \geq \left( \int f_0 \right)^{1-t} \left( \int f_1 \right)^t.$$

Taking

$$h = 1_{(1-t)A+tB}, \quad f_0 = 1_A \quad f_1 = 1_B$$

one recovers the Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

Reference : S. Bobkov, M. Ledoux, *From Brunn-Minkowski to Brascamp-Lieb and to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*. Geom. Funct. Anal. (2000).

Applications :

- ① Implies many functional inequalities for strongly log-concave probability measures (Log-Sobolev, Talagrand inequalities)
- ② Implies the Brascamp-Lieb Poincaré type inequality for strictly log-concave probability measures.

### III - Convexity/smoothness properties of entropic Legendre transforms.

# Regularity of entropic potentials is transferred to Kantorovich potential

Let  $\mu = \gamma$  (standard Gaussian) and  $d\nu = e^{-W} dx$  with  $\text{Hess } W \geq Id$ .  
We want to prove that  $\nabla\phi$  is 1-Lipschitz.

This is equivalent to show that  $\phi - \frac{|\cdot|^2}{2}$  is concave, that is

$$\phi((1-t)x_0 + tx_1) \geq (1-t)\phi(x_0) + t\phi(x_1) - t(1-t)\frac{|x_1 - x_0|^2}{2}.$$

According to the convergence result for entropic potentials, it is sufficient to prove that  $\phi_\epsilon$  satisfies

$$\phi_\epsilon((1-t)x_0 + tx_1) \geq (1-t)\phi_\epsilon(x_0) + t\phi_\epsilon(x_1) - t(1-t)\frac{|x_1 - x_0|^2}{2}.$$

and then let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

# $\alpha$ -convex and $\beta$ -smooth functions

## Definition

A function  $\phi$  such that

$$\phi((1-t)x_0 + tx_1) + \alpha t(1-t) \frac{|x_1 - x_0|^2}{2} \geq (1-t)\phi(x_0) + t\phi(x_1)$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ , will be called  $\alpha$ -smooth.

A function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that

$$\psi((1-t)x_0 + tx_1) + \beta t(1-t) \frac{|x_1 - x_0|^2}{2} \leq (1-t)\psi(x_0) + t\psi(x_1)$$

for some  $\beta \geq 0$ , will be called  $\beta$ -convex

Recall the Legendre transform

$$\phi^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, y \rangle - \phi(x), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is well known in Convex Analysis that the Legendre transform dualizes these notions : if  $\phi$  is convex and l.s.c

$$\phi \text{ is } \alpha\text{-smooth} \Leftrightarrow \phi^* \text{ is } 1/\alpha\text{-convex}$$

# Generalization : Smoothness and convexity moduli

References : Vladimirov, Nesterov, Chekanov (1978), Zalinescu (1983), Azé, Penot (1995)

## Definition (Smoothness and convexity moduli)

We say that  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $S$ -smooth, or that  $S$  is a smoothness modulus for  $\phi$ , if for any  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\phi((1-t)x_0 + tx_1) + t(1-t)S(x_1 - x_0) \geq (1-t)\phi(x_0) + t\phi(x_1).$$

We say that  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is  $R$ -convex, or that  $R$  is a convexity modulus for  $\psi$ , if for any  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\psi((1-t)x_0 + tx_1) + t(1-t)R(x_1 - x_0) \leq (1-t)\psi(x_0) + t\psi(x_1).$$

If  $R = \frac{\beta}{2}|\cdot|^2$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , we recover the  $\beta$ -convexity. Same remark for  $\alpha$ -smoothness. These notions are stable under pointwise convergence.

## Definition

The smallest function  $S$  such that  $\phi$  is  $S$ -smooth is called the smoothness modulus of  $\phi$  and is denoted  $S_\phi$ . It is convex when  $\phi$  is convex.

The smallest function  $R$  such that  $\psi$  is  $R$ -convex is called the convexity modulus of  $\psi$  and is denoted  $R_\psi$ .

## Examples

**Quadratic functions.** Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix, then the function  $f(x) = \langle x, Ax \rangle$  admits the following moduli

$$R_f(d) = S_f(d) = \langle d, Ad \rangle, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

**Bounded hessians.** Let  $f$  be a twice continuously differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then it admits the following moduli

$$R_f(d) \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf_x \langle d, \nabla^2 f(x)d \rangle, \quad S_f(d) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_x \langle d, \nabla^2 f(x)d \rangle, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

# Examples

**Radial functions.** Let  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a non-decreasing function such that  $\alpha(ct) \geq c\alpha(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $c \geq 1$ . Define  $A(r) = \int_0^r \alpha(u) du$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , and  $f_\alpha(x) = A(|x|)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, the function  $f_\alpha$  is  $R$ -convex, with  $R(d) = 2A(|d|/2)$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For example,  $W(y) = |y|^p$  with  $p \geq 2$  is  $R$ -convex with  $R(d) = c_p|d|^p$ .

**Continuous gradients.** Let  $f$  be a continuously differentiable function such that  $\nabla f$  admits a non-decreasing modulus of continuity  $\omega$  then

$$S(d) \leq 2|d|\omega(|d|), \quad d \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is a smoothness modulus for  $f$ .

For example,  $V(x) = |x|^p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  is  $S$ -smooth with  $S(d) = c'_p|d|^p$ .

# Smoothness and subgradient regularity

## Proposition

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function and  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be even.

If  $\phi$  is  $S$ -smooth, then for all  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y_0 \in \partial\phi(x_0), y_1 \in \partial\phi(x_1)$ , it holds

$$S^*(y_1 - y_0) \leq S(x_1 - x_0).$$

Examples :

- If  $\phi$  is  $\alpha$ -smooth and continuously differentiable, we have  $S(d) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}|d|^2$  and  $S^*(u) \geq \frac{1}{2\alpha}|u|^2$ , thus we get

$$|\nabla\phi(x_0) - \nabla\phi(x_1)| \leq \alpha|x_1 - x_0|$$

which is the classical Lipschitz property of the gradient.

- If  $\phi$  is  $S$ -smooth and continuously differentiable with  $S(d) = \alpha \frac{|d|^p}{p}$  with  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , we get

$$|\nabla\phi(x_0) - \nabla\phi(x_1)| \leq \alpha c_p |x_1 - x_0|^{p-1}$$

that is the Hölder continuity of the gradient.

# Legendre transform

## Theorem (Azé-Pénot (1995))

Let  $\phi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be two convex functions and  $S, R : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be even functions.

- (i) If  $\phi$  is  $S$ -smooth, then  $\phi^*$  is  $S^*$ -convex.
- (ii) If  $\psi$  is  $R$ -convex, then  $\psi^*$  is  $R^*$ -smooth.

Note that when  $\phi$  is  $\alpha$ -convex we recover that  $\phi^*$  is  $\alpha^{-1}$ -smooth, and conversely.

# Entropic Legendre transform

Consider

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\psi) = \epsilon \log \left( \int e^{\frac{\langle x, y \rangle - \psi(y)}{\epsilon}} dy \right)$$

## Theorem (G.-Sylvestre (2025))

- (a) Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be an  $R$ -convex function with convex domain with positive Lebesgue measure, then the function  $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\psi)$  is  $R^*$ -smooth.
- (b) Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $S$ -smooth function, then the function  $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\phi)$  is  $S^*$ -convex.

As  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the result of Azé-Pénot is recovered.

## Proof sketch of (a)

Assume  $\psi$  is  $R$ -convex.

Let  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , set  $x_t = (1 - t)x_0 + tx_1$  and consider the three functions

$$h(y) = \exp\left(\frac{\langle x_t, y \rangle - \psi(y)}{\epsilon}\right), f_0(y) = \exp\left(\frac{\langle x_0, y \rangle - \psi(y)}{\epsilon}\right), f_1(y) = \exp\left(\frac{\langle x_1, y \rangle - \psi(y)}{\epsilon}\right)$$

## Proof sketch of (a)

Assume  $\psi$  is  $R$ -convex.

Let  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , set  $x_t = (1 - t)x_0 + tx_1$  and consider the three functions

$$h(y) = \exp\left(\frac{\langle x_t, y \rangle - \psi(y)}{\epsilon}\right), f_0(y) = \exp\left(\frac{\langle x_0, y \rangle - \psi(y)}{\epsilon}\right), f_1(y) = \exp\left(\frac{\langle x_1, y \rangle - \psi(y)}{\epsilon}\right)$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} h(y_t) &\geq \exp\left(\frac{t(1-t)(R(y_1 - y_0) - \langle x_1 - x_0, y_1 - y_0 \rangle)}{\epsilon}\right) f_0^{1-t}(y_0) f_1^t(y_1) \\ &\geq \exp\left(\frac{-t(1-t)R^*(x_1 - x_0)}{\epsilon}\right) f_0^{1-t}(y_0) f_1^t(y_1) \end{aligned}$$

because  $\psi$  is  $R$ -convex.

## Proof sketch of (a)

Applying Prekopa-Leindler inequality to  $h, f_0, f_1$  grants

$$\int h \geq \exp\left(\frac{-t(1-t)R^*(x_1 - x_0)}{\epsilon}\right) \left(\int f_0\right)^{1-t} \left(\int f_1\right)^t.$$

Finally taking the logarithm and multiplying by  $\epsilon$  gives

$$\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\psi)(x_t) \geq -t(1-t)R^*(x_1 - x_0) + (1-t)\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\psi)(x_0) + t\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\psi)(x_1),$$

which ensures that  $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\psi)$  is  $R^*$ -smooth.

## IV - Generalizations of Caffarelli's theorem, new proofs and results.

# Entropic regularity theorem

## Theorem (G.-Sylvestre (2025))

Let  $\mu(dx) = e^{-V(x)}dx$  and  $\nu(dy) = e^{-W(y)}dy$  be two measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite second moment such that  $V$  is  $S_V$ -smooth,  $\text{dom } V = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $W$  is  $R_W$ -convex. Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$  the entropic potentials  $\phi_\epsilon$  is  $S$ -smooth with  $S$  such that

$$S(d) = \int_0^1 \sup_{R_W^{**}(p) \leq S_V(td)} \langle p, d \rangle dt, \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Note that the result holds for  $\epsilon = 0$  by pointwise convergence of  $\phi_\epsilon$  towards  $\phi$ .

## Remark on radial moduli

In the case  $S_V = \sigma_V(|\cdot|)$  and  $R_W = \rho_W(|\cdot|)$ , we obtain a radial smoothness modulus  $S$  given by

$$S(d) = \int_0^{|d|} (\rho_W^{**})^{-1}(\sigma_V(s)) ds.$$

Which gives the following regularity estimate on the gradient of  $\phi_\epsilon$

$$|\nabla \phi_\epsilon(x) - \nabla \phi_\epsilon(y)| \leq \frac{2}{|x-y|} \int_0^{|x-y|} (\rho_W^{**})^{-1}(\sigma_V(s)) ds.$$

The same inequality holds for  $\nabla \phi$ .

# Proof of the entropic regularity theorem

The entropic potentials  $\phi_\epsilon$  and  $\psi_\epsilon$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\epsilon(x) &= \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon,\nu}(\psi_\epsilon)(x) = \epsilon \log \left( \int e^{\frac{\langle x,y \rangle - \psi_\epsilon(y)}{\epsilon}} \nu(dy) \right), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \psi_\epsilon(y) &= \mathcal{L}_{\epsilon,\mu}(\phi_\epsilon)(y) = \epsilon \log \left( \int e^{\frac{\langle x,y \rangle - \phi_\epsilon(x)}{\epsilon}} \mu(dx) \right), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.\end{aligned}$$

and so using the notation  $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon$  :

$$\phi_\epsilon = \mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\psi_\epsilon + \epsilon W) \quad \psi_\epsilon = \mathcal{L}_\epsilon(\phi_\epsilon + \epsilon V).$$

Then by the entropic Legendre transform property, we have that the modulus of smoothness  $S_\epsilon$  of  $\phi_\epsilon$  and the modulus of convexity  $R_\epsilon$  of  $\psi_\epsilon$  satisfy

$$S_\epsilon \leq (R_\epsilon + \epsilon R_W)^*, \quad R_\epsilon \geq (S_\epsilon + \epsilon S_V)^*.$$

Combining the two inequalities and using the inverse monotonicity of the Legendre transform we get

$$S_\epsilon \leq ((S_\epsilon + \epsilon S_V)^* + \epsilon R_W)^* \leq (S_\epsilon + \epsilon S_V) \square (\epsilon R_W)^*.$$

# Proof of the entropic regularity theorem

Applying the inequality above at  $u + \epsilon v$  and using the convexity of  $S_\epsilon$  grants

$$\langle \nabla S_\epsilon(u), v \rangle \leq \frac{S_\epsilon(u + \epsilon v) - S_\epsilon(u)}{\epsilon} \leq S_V(u) + R_W^*(v).$$

Finally optimizing over  $v$  we have  $R_W^{**}(\nabla S_\epsilon(u)) \leq S_V(u)$ . The conclusion follows by integration :

$$S_\epsilon(d) = \int_0^1 \langle \nabla S_\epsilon(td), d \rangle dt \leq \int_0^1 \sup_{R_W^{**}(p) \leq S_V(td)} \langle p, d \rangle dt.$$

# Examples

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-V(x)} dx \quad \nu(dy) = e^{-W(y)} dy$$

$V$	$W$	$S_V(\cdot)$	$R_W(\cdot)$	Smoothness of $\phi$
$\nabla^2 V \leq \alpha_V Id$	$\nabla^2 W \geq \beta_W Id$	$\frac{\alpha_V}{2}  \cdot ^2$	$\frac{\beta_W}{2}  \cdot ^2$	<b>Caffarelli (2000)</b> $ \nabla \phi(x) - \nabla \phi(y)  \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_V}{\beta_W}}  x - y $
$V \in C^1, 1 \leq p \leq 2$	$W \in C^1, 2 \leq q$	$\alpha_V  \cdot ^p$	$\beta_W  \cdot ^q$	<b>Kolesnikov (2010)</b> $ \nabla \phi(x) - \nabla \phi(y)  \leq \frac{2q}{p+q} \left( \frac{\alpha_V}{\beta_W} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}  x - y ^{\frac{p}{q}}$
$V \in C^1, 1 < p \leq 2$	$W \in C^1, q = p^*$	$\alpha_V  \cdot _p^p$	$\beta_W  \cdot _q^q$	<b>New variant</b> $ \nabla \phi(x) - \nabla \phi(y) _q \leq \beta_p \left( \frac{\alpha_V}{\beta_W} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}  x - y ^{\frac{p}{q}}$
$\nabla^2 V \leq A^{-1}$	$\nabla^2 W \geq B^{-1}$	$\langle d, A^{-1}d \rangle$	$\langle d, B^{-1}d \rangle$	<b>Improvement of Chewi-Pooladian (2024)</b> $D^2 \phi \leq B^{1/2} \left( B^{-1/2} A^{-1} B^{-1/2} \right)^{1/2} B^{1/2}$ with equality for Gaussians

## Examples

The recent result by De Philippis and Shenfeld ('24) on the divergence of the Brenier map between a log-Subharmonic probability measure  $\mu$  and a strongly log-concave probability measure  $\nu$  can also be recovered and improved using a variant of our method.

# Transport between Log-Lipschitz perturbations of Gaussians

## Conjecture (Fathi-Mikulincer-Shenfeld)

If  $\nu$  is a log-Lipschitz perturbation of  $\gamma$  the standard Gaussian measure, then the optimal transport map sending  $\gamma$  onto  $\nu$  is globally Lipschitz.

## Theorem (Fathi-Mikulincer-Shenfeld))

There exists a Lipschitz transport from  $\gamma$  to  $\nu$  with a Lipschitz constant depending exponentially on  $L^2$  but not on the dimension.

# Transport between Log-Lipschitz perturbations of Gaussians

In what follows, for any Lipschitz function  $a : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we will denote by

$$\gamma_a(dx) = \frac{1}{Z_a} e^{-a(x) - \frac{|x|^2}{2}} dx$$

with  $Z_a$  a normalizing constant. We will denote by  $T_{a,b} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  the Brenier transport map sending  $\gamma_a$  on  $\gamma_b$ , and by  $T_a : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  the Brenier map from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma_a$ .

## Theorem (G-Sylvestre (2025))

For all Lipschitz functions  $a, b : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Brenier map  $T_{a,b}$  from  $\gamma_a$  to  $\gamma_b$  satisfies

$$-8L + |x - y| \leq |T_{a,b}(x) - T_{a,b}(y)| \leq 8L + |x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

where  $L = \max(L_a, L_b)$  and  $L_a, L_b$  are the Lipschitz constants of  $a, b$ .

The Brenier map  $T_a$  between  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_a$  satisfies

$$-8L_a + |x - y| \leq |T_a(x) - T_a(y)| \leq 8L_a + |x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular, if  $a$  is even, then  $T_a$  satisfies the following growth estimate

$$-8L_a + |x| \leq |T_a(x)| \leq 8L_a + |x|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

# Transport between Log-Lipschitz perturbations of Gaussians

In particular, if  $a$  is even, it always holds

$$\frac{|T_a(x)|}{|x|} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

**One dimensional example :** Let

$$\nu(dy) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{Ly} \gamma(dy),$$

with  $L > 0$ . In this case, it holds

$$|x - y| \leq |T_a(x) - T_a(y)| \leq \alpha L + |x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\alpha = 2$ . The optimal value of  $\alpha$  belongs to  $[1, 2]$ .

The Lipschitz constant of  $T_a$  is of order  $e^{L^2}$  as  $L$  is large.

# Transport between Log-Lipschitz perturbations of Gaussians

Proof idea : if  $a$  is a  $L$ -Lipschitz function, then  $a$  satisfies

$$a(x_t) \leq (1-t)a(x_0) + ta(x_1) + 2Lt(1-t)|x_1 - x_0|, \quad \forall x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

and

$$a(x_t) \geq (1-t)a(x_0) + ta(x_1) - 2Lt(1-t)|x_1 - x_0|, \quad \forall x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In other words,  $a$  is  $\rho$ -convex with  $\rho(u) = -2L|u|$  and  $\sigma$ -smooth with  $\sigma(u) = 2L|u|$ .

# Transport between Log-Lipschitz perturbations of Gaussians

Other remarks and results :

- Contrary to the Poincaré and Log-Sobolev constants, the concentration constant behaves well under Log-Lipschitz perturbation.

## Proposition

Let  $a : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant  $L$ ; for any  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\gamma_a(A) \geq 1/2$ , it holds

$$\gamma_a(A_r) \geq 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[r - 16L - \sqrt{2\log(2)}\right]_+^2\right), \quad \forall r \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $A_r = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \inf_{x \in A} |x - y| \leq r\}$ , the  $r$ -enlargement of  $A$ .

This result can be deduced from the Gaussian concentration inequality using that  $T_a$  is an approximate isometry. Direct proof is also possible.

- Log-Lipschitz perturbations of general potentials  $V, W$  can be considered.
- Using concentration for Log-Lipschitz perturbations of uniformly log-concave measures, one can obtain bounds on the Hessian of the entropic potential  $\varphi_\epsilon$  in the spirit of a recent result by Conforti (2024).

Thank you for your attention !