## Small mass, non-minimizing critical regions in the liquid Drop Model

#### Manuel del Pino

University of Bath

Workshop on Functional Inequalities

Université Paris Dauphine-PSL, June 4-6, 2025

In collaboration with , Monica Musso, Andrés Zuñiga, and Rupert Frank

We continue the proof of the main step in the construction in the previous talk. We are proving:

**Proposition** Let  $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  be fixed and such that  $I_a > 0$ . Then for all sufficiently large integer n, there exists a number



and a function h with  $\|h\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \lesssim (\log n)^{-1}$  such that

$$H_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{h}^{n}}(x) + \gamma \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{h}^{n}} \frac{dy}{|x - y|} = \lambda, \quad \text{for all } x \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{h}^{n} \equiv \partial \tilde{\Omega}_{h}^{n}.$$
(2)

 $\tilde{\Sigma}_{h}^{n}$  is a "twisted *n*-truncated Delaunay". Let us assume

 $2\pi R = nT$ .

We translate  $\sum_{h}^{n}$  to the point  $Re_2$  and rotate it in the plane  $(x_2, x_3)$ 



Recall

$$I_{a} = \int_{0}^{T/2} \frac{f(s)}{(1+f'(s)^{2})^{\frac{5}{2}}} \Big[ ff''(2-f'(s)^{2}) + (1+3f'(s)^{2})(1+f'(s)^{2}) \Big] ds$$

where  $r = f(x_3)$  parametrizes the Delaunay surface  $\Sigma$  with mean curvature = 2, necksize  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$  is parametrized with

$$y(\theta, x_3) = (f(x_3)\cos\theta, f(x_3)\sin\theta, x_3)$$

where  $f(y_3)$  is positive, even and *T*-periodic. and the *twisted Delaunay*  $\tilde{\Sigma}^n$  where  $2\pi R = nT$  is given by

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^{n} = X(\Sigma^{n}), \quad X\begin{pmatrix}x_{1}\\x_{2}\\x_{3}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}x_{1}\\(R+x_{2})\cos\frac{x_{3}}{R}\\(R+x_{2})\sin\frac{x_{3}}{R}\end{pmatrix}$$

with parametrization

$$\tilde{y}(\theta, x_3) = X(y(\theta, x_3)), \quad (\theta, x_3) \in S = [0, 2\pi] \times [-\frac{nT}{2}, \frac{nT}{2}].$$

First we estimate the "error of approximation" corresponding to h = 0, namely

$$E_{\gamma}(x) = H_{ ilde{\Sigma}^n}(x) + \gamma \int_{ ilde{\Omega}^n} rac{dy}{|y-x|}, \quad x \in ilde{\Sigma}^n$$

We would like to estimate both mean curvature and Coulombian operator for of  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{h}^{n}$  regarded as perturbations of the corresponding quantities for  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_{h}$ .

For large *n* and  $x \in \Omega_0$ , We can approximate

$$\gamma \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^n} \frac{dy}{|y-x|} \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{dy}{|y+kTe_3-x|}$$
$$\approx |\Omega_0| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{kT} \approx \gamma \frac{V_a}{T} \log n.$$

We also estimate

$$H_{\tilde{\Sigma}^n}(x) \approx 2 - \frac{2\pi}{T} \tilde{f}(x_3) \sin \theta.$$

for a positive, even, *T*-periodic function  $\tilde{f}(x_3)$ .

After some computation, we find that at a point  $x = X(y(\theta, x_3)) \in \tilde{\Sigma}^n$  we have

$$E_{\gamma}(x) \approx 2 - \gamma \frac{V_{a}}{T} \log n - \gamma \hat{f}(x_{3}) + \frac{2\pi}{n T} \sin \theta \left( \tilde{f}(x_{3}) - \gamma \log n \frac{V_{a}}{T} f(x_{3}) \right)$$

where the functions  $\tilde{f}(x_3)$ ,  $f(x_3)$  are strictly positive and *T*-periodic.

For  $h \neq 0$ , we perturb  $\Sigma^n$  with h in the normal direction as  $\Sigma_h^n = \Sigma^n + h\nu$ , so that  $\tilde{\Sigma}_h^n = X(\Sigma_h^n)$ . For  $h \neq 0$  the following expansions hold

$$H_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{h}^{n}}(\tilde{y}) = H_{\Sigma_{h}^{n}}(y) + \frac{2\pi}{nT}\tilde{f}(x_{3})\sin\theta + O(n^{-2}) + O(n^{-1}||h||_{C^{2}(\Sigma_{n})})$$

and

$$H_{\Sigma_{n}^{h}} = H_{\Sigma_{n}} + J_{\Sigma^{n}}[h] + O(\|h\|_{C^{2}(\Sigma_{n})}^{2}).)$$

where  $J_{\Sigma^n}[h]$  is the Jacobi operator of the surface  $\Sigma^n$ , defined on functions  $h \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma^n)$ , which is expressed in local coordinates as

$$J_{\Sigma^n}[h] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} D_j \left( g^{ij} \sqrt{\det g} D_i h \right) + |A|^2 h.$$

The quadratic remainder term can depend on first and second derivatives of h.

For the Coulombian nonlocal operator we get

$$\gamma \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_h^n} \frac{dy}{|x-y|} = \gamma \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^n} \frac{dy}{|x-y|} + \gamma \log n \int_{\Sigma_n} h + O(\frac{\gamma}{n} \|h\|_{\infty})$$

The problem consists of finding a function h such that for some  $\gamma > 0$  and some constant  $\lambda$  we have

$$H_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{h}^{n}}(x) + \gamma \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{h}^{n}} \frac{dy}{|x - y|} = \lambda \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{h}^{n}$$
(2)

From the previous computations, Problem (2) is equivalent to finding a small function h(x) and constants  $\gamma$ ,  $\lambda$  such that

$$J_{\Sigma^n}[h] = E_{\gamma}(x) + \gamma \left(\frac{1}{T} \ln n + b(x)\right) \int_{\Sigma_0} h + \gamma \ell_1[h](x) + n^{-1} \ell[h, Dh, D^2h](x) + q[h, Dh, D^2h](x) + \lambda$$
(3)

Being more precise in the expansion of  $E_{\gamma}$ , up to an additive constant, we get

$$E_{\gamma}(y) = \gamma F_0^n(y_3) + \frac{\gamma}{n} F_1^n(y) + \frac{y_2}{n} [F(y_3) - \gamma \ln n \ \frac{2\pi |\Omega_0|}{T^2}],$$
  
$$F(y_3) = \frac{2\pi}{Tf} \left( \frac{(2 - (f')^2) f f''}{(1 + (f')^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \frac{1 + 3(f')^2}{(1 + (f')^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right),$$

• The functions of  $y_3$  involved are all even, positive and T-periodic.

• The operators  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell$  have linear growth in their arguments and q is quadratic.

To keep at main order invariant the volume of the region, we work with perturbations h such that  $\int_{\Sigma_n} h = 0$ .

Each component of the normal vector  $\nu$ , satisfies  $J_{\Sigma^n}[\nu_i] = 0$  for i = 1, 2, 3 Actually for h *T*-periodic we have

$$J_{\Sigma^n}[h] = 0 \implies h = \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \nu_i$$

This is the *non-degeneracy* property of the CMC surface  $\Sigma$ . Let

 $\mathcal{C}^{m,\alpha} = \{g \in C^{m,\alpha}(\Sigma^n) / g(y) \text{ is } T \text{-periodic in } y_3 \text{ and even in } y_1 \}$ Given  $g \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}$  with  $\int_{\Sigma^n} g\nu_i = 0$  for i = 1, 2, 3 there exists a unique  $h \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}$  with  $\int_{\Sigma^n} h\nu_i = 0$  for all i that solves the equation  $J_{\Sigma^n}[h] = g \text{ in } \Sigma_n$ 

By variation of parameters formula one finds a explicit, positive solution  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}$ ,  $\varphi = \varphi(y_3)$  to the equation

$$J_{\Sigma^n}[\varphi] = 1$$
 in  $\Sigma^n$ 

Consider the problem of finding constants c and d and a solution h with  $\int_{\Sigma_0} h = 0$  to the linear equation

$$J_{\Sigma^n}[h](y) = g(y) + c \nu_2(y) + d, \quad y \in \Sigma^n,$$
(4)

We can compute the numbers c, d as follows:

$$\int_{\Sigma_0} J_{\Sigma^n}[h] \nu_3 = \int_{\Sigma_0} J_{\Sigma^n}[\nu_3] h = 0 = \int_{\Sigma_0} g\nu_3 + c \int_{\Sigma_0} \nu_3^2 + \int_{\Sigma_0} \nu_3$$
$$\int_{\Sigma_0} J_{\Sigma^n}[h] \varphi = \int_{\Sigma_0} J_{\Sigma_0}[\varphi] h = \int_{\Sigma_0} h = 0 = \int_{\Sigma_0} g\varphi + c \int_{\Sigma_0} \nu_3 \varphi + d \int_{\Sigma_0} \varphi$$

$$c = -rac{\int_{\Sigma_0} g 
u_2}{\int_{\Sigma_0} 
u_3^2}, \quad d = -rac{\int_{\Sigma_0} g \varphi}{\int_{\Sigma_0} \varphi}.$$

Here we have used  $\int_{\Sigma_0} \nu_3 = \int_{\Sigma_0} \nu_3 \varphi = 0$  because  $\nu_3$  is an odd function of  $\gamma_3$  and  $\varphi$  is even.

Lemma

Given  $g \in C^{0,\alpha}$  there exists a unique solution  $h(y) \in C^{2,\alpha}$  to (4) with  $\int_{\Sigma^n} h\nu_3 = \int_{\Sigma^n} h = 0$  and scalars c, d such that This solution defines a bounded linear operator  $h = \mathcal{T}[g]$  so do the scalars c and d. In fact, we have the estimate

 $\|\mathcal{T}[g]\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma^n)} \lesssim \|g\|_{C^{\alpha}(\Sigma^n)}$ 

We want to solve Problem (3) with and h with  $\int_{\Sigma_0} h = 0$ , which becomes

 $J_{\Sigma^{n}}[h] = E_{\gamma} + \gamma \,\ell_{1}[h] + n^{-1} \,\ell[h, Dh, D^{2}h] + q[h, Dh, D^{2}h] + d$ =  $\mathcal{E}(h, \gamma) + d.$  (3)

$$E_{\gamma}(y) = \gamma F_0^n(y_3) + \frac{\gamma}{n} F_1^n(y) + \frac{y_2}{n} [F(y_3) - \gamma \ln n \ \frac{2\pi |\Omega_0|}{T^2}],$$

We look for a  $h \in C^{2,\alpha}$  such that  $\int_{\Sigma^n} h = \int_{\Sigma^n} h\nu_2 = 0$  that solves (3). We can write the problem in the form

$$\begin{cases} J_{\Sigma^n}[h] = \mathcal{E}(x, h, \gamma) + c\nu_2 + d\\ c(h, \gamma) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus we want to solve the system

$$\begin{cases} h = \mathcal{T}[\mathcal{E}(h,\gamma)], \\ 0 = \int_{\Sigma^n} \mathcal{E}(x,h,\gamma)\nu_2. \end{cases}$$

We solve the fixed point equation for  $h = h(\gamma)$  using contraction mapping provided that  $\gamma = O(\frac{1}{\log n})$ . To do this we decompose  $h = h_0 + h_1$  where  $||h_0||_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_0)} = O(\gamma)$ ,

 $h_0 = \mathcal{T} \left[ \gamma F_0^n(y_3) + \gamma \ell_1[h_0](y) + q[h_0, Dh_0, D^2h_0](y) \right]$ 

and  $\|h_1\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma_0)} \leq \frac{C}{n}$ .

The following relation yields the choice of  $\gamma$ .

$$0 = \int_{\Sigma^{n}} \mathcal{E}(h(\gamma), \gamma) \nu_{2}$$
  
=  $\int_{\Sigma_{0}} (E_{\gamma} + \gamma \ell_{1}[h(\gamma)] + n^{-1} \ell[h(\gamma), \ldots] + q[h(\gamma), \ldots]) \nu_{2}$   
 $\approx \int_{\Sigma_{0}} (E_{\gamma} + \vartheta(h)) \nu_{2} \approx \frac{c_{1}}{n} (2 I_{a} - c_{2}\gamma \ln n + O(\gamma)),$   
 $I_{a} = \int_{\Sigma_{0}} F(y_{3}) \sin \theta \nu_{2}$   
=  $\int_{0}^{T/2} \frac{f}{(1 + f'(s)^{2})^{\frac{5}{2}}} \left[ ff''(2 - f'(s)^{2}) + (1 + 3f'(s)^{2})(1 + f'(s)^{2}) \right]$ 

and hence at main order  $\gamma \approx \gamma_n := \frac{c}{\log n}$ ,  $c = \frac{2}{c_2}I_a$ . We indeed have that  $I_a > 0$  for all sufficiently small a > 0 and for  $\frac{1}{4} < a < \frac{1}{2}$ .

ds

We solve the full system for h and  $\gamma$  and get h with

$$\|h\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\log n}$$

and the construction of a solution to Problem (2) is concluded.

The Bohr-Wheeler bifurcation branch stemming from m = 10, rigorously described by Frank (2019), gives rise, as pictured by Xu-Du (2023), to two balls joined by a tiny neck, whih is not a local minimizer.



#### Bohr-Wheeler bifurcation branch [Picture from Xu-Du 2023]



Figure 2: Computed Bohr–Wheeler bifurcation branch. Below each shape is  $\tilde{\chi}$ .



The pictures by Xu-Du suggest that the Bohr-Wheeler left branch stemming from m = 10 gives rise, as as  $m \rightarrow 0$ , to two balls joined by a tiny neck. This configuration is **not** a local minimizer for the energy.

#### Theorem (M.d., R. Frank, M.Musso)

For each small m > 0 there exists a solution of problem (P) which is approximately made out of two similar spheres with volume  $\frac{m}{2}$ joined with a tiny catenoidal neck with neck size of an order  $\varepsilon$ approximately proportional to m.





### Figure: $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_-$



We want to find solutions  $\Omega$  to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} H_{\Sigma}(x) + \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{|x-y|} = \lambda \\ |\Omega| = \frac{8}{3}\pi m. \end{cases}$$

The normalization is made in such a way that the sought solution looks close to two tangent spheres with radius  $m^{\frac{1}{3}}$  joined by a small catenoidal neck. scaling out this factor, letting  $\Omega = m^{\frac{1}{3}}\tilde{\Omega}$  the problem becomes

$$\begin{cases} H_{\tilde{\Sigma}}(x) + m \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \frac{dy}{|x - y|} = \lambda \\ |\tilde{\Omega}| = \frac{8}{3}\pi. \end{cases}$$

In this language  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is approximated by the union of two tangent spheres with radius 1. Calling  $\varepsilon > 0$  the neck size, let us normalize  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}$ . The problem becomes

$$\begin{cases} H_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}}(x) + m\varepsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{dy}{|x - y|} = \lambda \\ |\Omega_{\varepsilon}| = \frac{8}{3} \pi \varepsilon^{-3}. \end{cases}$$

and the domain resembles two spheres radius  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  joined by a large piece of a catenoid with neck size = 1.

In cylindrical coordinates Let  $z = F_0(r)$  be the parametrization of the catenoid, as a surface of revolution. Then  $F_0(r) = \log(r + \sqrt{r^2 - 1})$ . Let us call H(P) the mean curvature of the surface z = P(r). Then *colorblue* $H(F_0)(r) = 0$ . In general, we have

$$H(P) = \frac{P''}{(1+|P'|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{P'}{r\sqrt{1+|P'|^2}}$$

Let us consider a sphere with radius  $R = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  and center (0,0, R + d). Close to the south pole, we can parametrize it with  $z = G(r) = R + d - \sqrt{R^2 - r^2}$ . We cansider a value  $R_0$  such that  $G'(R_0) \sim F'_0(R_0)$  Then  $H(G) = 2\varepsilon$ . We can find a small function  $h_0(r)$  such that  $F(r) = F_0(r) + h_0(r)$  satisfies approximately  $H(F) = \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)$  We have that for  $r \gg 1$ 

$$F(r) = \log r + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon r^2 + I.o.t,$$

We observe that

$$G(r) = d + \varepsilon \frac{1}{2}r^2 + \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^2 r^4 + l.o.t$$

Matching of the derivatives takes place at  $r \sim \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ . That motivates us to choose  $d = \log \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{4}}$ .

We consider a smooth cut-off function  $\chi_0(s)$  with  $\chi_0(s) = 1$  for s < 1 and = 0 for s > 2. We let  $\chi(r) = \chi_0(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} \delta^{-1} r)$ 

We interpolate the sphere and the catenoid in the form

$$z = P(r) = \chi(r)F(r) + (1 - \chi(r))G(r).$$

The computation of H(P) leads to

$$H(P) = 2\varepsilon + \Delta \chi(F - G) + 2\nabla \chi \nabla(F - G) + I.o.t.$$

$$\begin{split} N(x) &:= m\varepsilon^{3} \int_{B+} \frac{dy}{|x-y|} = N_{+}(x) + N_{-}(x) + N_{0}(x), \\ N_{+}(x) &= m\varepsilon^{3} \int_{B_{R}(0,0+R+d)} \frac{dy}{|x-y|} \\ N_{0}(x) &= m\varepsilon^{3} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \frac{dy}{|x-y|} \\ N_{-}(x) &= N_{+}(\bar{x}), \quad \bar{x} = (x_{1}, x_{2}, -x_{3}). \end{split}$$

Since  $N_+(x)$  is harmonic, and invariant under rotations on  $\partial B_R(0, 0 + R + d)$  we see that necessarily

$$N_+(x) = rac{meta}{|x-Q|}, \quad Q = (0,0,R+d), \quad |x-Q| > R.$$

$$N_{-}(x)=rac{meta}{|x-ar{Q}|}.$$

$$\Omega_0 = \{1 < r < R_0 = 2\delta\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{4}}, F(r) < z < G(r)\},\$$

$$N_0(x)\lesssim egin{cases} marepsilon^3|x| \, |\log(rac{|x|}{R_0})| & ext{if } |x| < 5R_0 \ rac{m\delta^2}{|x|}arepsilon^{rac{3}{2}} & ext{if } |x| \geq 5R_0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, letting  $\Sigma$  = the boundary of the union of the three regions. We look for a solution of the form

 $\Sigma_h = \{ y + h(y)\nu_{\Sigma}(y) / y \in \Sigma_0 \},\$ 

$$h(x) = \eta_{\Sigma_0} h_0 + \eta_{\Sigma^+} h_+(x) + \eta_{\Sigma^-} h_-(x)$$

where

 $\eta^+ = 1$  on  $\Sigma^+ \setminus \{$  small neighborhood of south pole $\}, \eta_0 = 1$  on  $\Sigma_0,$ 

support of  $\eta_{\Sigma^+}$  compact in  $\Sigma_+ \setminus \{south \ pole\}$  and support of  $\eta_0$  is slightly larger than  $\Sigma_0$ . *h* even with respect to the plane z = 0.



 $\mathcal{J}_{\Sigma^+}[\phi_{\Sigma^+}] = E_+ + A(\phi_{\Sigma^+}, \phi_{\Sigma^0}), \quad \mathcal{J}_{\Sigma_0}[\phi_{\Sigma^0}] = E_0 + B(\phi_{\Sigma^+}, \phi_{\Sigma^0})$ 

The solvability condition for  $\phi_{\Sigma^+}$  is at main order  $\int_{\Sigma^+} E^+ \nu_2 = 0$ . This yields a relation between the necksize of the catenoid and the mass. We have

$$E = \frac{m\beta}{|x-Q|} + \frac{m\beta}{|x-\bar{Q}|} + \Delta\chi(F-G) + 2\nabla\chi\nabla(F-G) + smaller \ terms + \varepsilon$$

Important: choice of  $\varepsilon$  in terms of *m*: At main order we need to solve an equation on the upper sphere  $\sigma^+$  of the type

### $J_{\Sigma_+}[h_{\Sigma^+}] = E$

We need, taking into account that  $\nu_3 \approx 1$  near the south pole,

$$0 = \int_{\Sigma_{+}} E\nu_{3} = \int_{\Sigma_{+}} \left[\frac{m\beta}{|x-Q|} + \frac{m\beta}{|x-\bar{Q}|}\right]\nu_{3}$$
$$+ \int_{\Sigma_{+}} (\Delta\chi(F-G) + 2\nabla\chi\nabla(F-G))\nu_{3}$$

Hence we need  $m\varepsilon^{-1} \sim 1$  or  $m \sim \varepsilon$ . We solve the gluing system by fixed point. We impose  $h_{\Sigma^+}(south \ pole) = 0$ 



# Thanks for your attention