

Alignment processes on the sphere

Amic Frouvelle
CEREMADE – Université Paris Dauphine

Joint works with :

Pierre Degond (Imperial College London) and Gaël Raoul (École Polytechnique)
Jian-Guo Liu (Duke University)

Young Researchers Workshop: “Stochastic and deterministic
methods in kinetic theory”

Duke University, November 28th – December 2nd, 2016

Context: alignment of self-propelled particles





- Unit speed, local interactions without leader
- Emergence of patterns

Purpose of this talk : alignment mechanisms of (kinetic) Vicsek and BDG models.

Focus on the alignment process only : no noise, no space !

Images © Benson Kua (flickr) and





Toy model in \mathbb{R}^n

Velocities $v_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Each velocity is attracted by the others, with strengths m_j such that $\sum_{j=1}^N m_j = 1$.

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^N m_j (v_j - v_i) = J - v_i \quad \text{where } J = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i v_i.$$

Toy model in \mathbb{R}^n

Velocities $v_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Each velocity is attracted by the others, with strengths m_j such that $\sum_{j=1}^N m_j = 1$.

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^N m_j (v_j - v_i) = J - v_i \quad \text{where } J = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i v_i.$$

Conservation of momentum : J is constant. We get

$$v_i(t) = J + e^{-t} a_i, \text{ with } a_i = v_i(0) - J \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^N m_i a_i = 0.$$

Toy model in \mathbb{R}^n

Velocities $v_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Each velocity is attracted by the others, with strengths m_j such that $\sum_{j=1}^N m_j = 1$.

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^N m_j (v_j - v_i) = J - v_i \quad \text{where } J = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i v_i.$$

Conservation of momentum : J is constant. We get

$$v_i(t) = J + e^{-t} a_i, \text{ with } a_i = v_i(0) - J \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^N m_i a_i = 0.$$

Gradient flow structure

If $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} m_i m_j \|v_i - v_j\|^2$, then $\mathcal{E} = \sum_i m_i \|v_i - J\|^2$.

Then $\nabla_{v_i} \mathcal{E} = -2m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt}$, and so $\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} = -2 \sum_i m_i \left| \frac{dv_i}{dt} \right|^2 = -2\mathcal{E}$.

Coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations

Velocities $v_i(t) \in \mathbb{S}$, the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n , for $1 \leq i \leq N$.
Each velocity is attracted by the others, with strengths m_j such that $\sum_{j=1}^N m_j = 1$, under the constraint that it stays on the sphere.
If $v \in \mathbb{S}$, we denote P_{v^\perp} the orthogonal projection on the tangent space of \mathbb{S} at v . So $P_{v^\perp} u = u - (v \cdot u)v$, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = P_{v_i^\perp} \sum_{j=1}^N m_j (v_j - v_i) = P_{v_i^\perp} J \quad \text{where } J = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i v_i.$$

We indeed get $\frac{d|v_i|^2}{dt} = 2v_i \cdot P_{v_i^\perp} J = 0$.

No more conservation here !

Same gradient flow structure

Define as in the toy model :

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_i m_j \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_i m_j (1 - v_i \cdot v_j) = 1 - |J|^2 \geq 0.$$

Same gradient flow structure

Define as in the toy model :

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_i m_j \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_i m_j (1 - v_i \cdot v_j) = 1 - |J|^2 \geq 0.$$

Notice that we have $\nabla_v(v \cdot u) = P_{v^\perp} u$, (for $v \in \mathbb{S}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and where ∇_v is the gradient on the sphere). So

$$\nabla_{v_i} \mathcal{E} = -2 \sum_{j=1}^N m_i m_j P_{v_i^\perp} v_j = -2m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt}.$$

Same gradient flow structure

Define as in the toy model :

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_i m_j \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_i m_j (1 - v_i \cdot v_j) = 1 - |J|^2 \geq 0.$$

Notice that we have $\nabla_v(v \cdot u) = P_{v^\perp} u$, (for $v \in \mathbb{S}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and where ∇_v is the gradient on the sphere). So

$$\nabla_{v_i} \mathcal{E} = -2 \sum_{j=1}^N m_i m_j P_{v_i^\perp} v_j = -2 m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt}.$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} \left(= -\frac{d|J|^2}{dt} \right) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \left| \frac{dv_i}{dt} \right|^2 = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (|J|^2 - (v_i \cdot J)^2) \leq 0.$$

Then $|J|$ is increasing, so if $J(0) \neq 0$, then $J(t) \neq 0$ for all t , and $\Omega(t) = \frac{J(t)}{|J(t)|}$ is well-defined.

Convergence, relatively to Ω

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d|J|^2}{dt} = |J|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (1 - (v_i \cdot \Omega)^2) \geq 0.$$

Hence $|J|^2$ is increasing, bounded and with bounded second derivative (we compute it and get that everything is continuous on \mathbb{S}). Therefore its derivative must converge to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Convergence, relatively to Ω

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d|J|^2}{dt} = |J|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (1 - (v_i \cdot \Omega)^2) \geq 0.$$

Hence $|J|^2$ is increasing, bounded and with bounded second derivative (we compute it and get that everything is continuous on \mathbb{S}). Therefore its derivative must converge to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

“Front” or “Back” particles

$$v_i(t) \cdot \Omega(t) \rightarrow \pm 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Convergence, relatively to Ω

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d|J|^2}{dt} = |J|^2 \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (1 - (v_i \cdot \Omega)^2) \geq 0.$$

Hence $|J|^2$ is increasing, bounded and with bounded second derivative (we compute it and get that everything is continuous on \mathbb{S}). Therefore its derivative must converge to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

“Front” or “Back” particles

$$v_i(t) \cdot \Omega(t) \rightarrow \pm 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Convergence of $\Omega(t)$? $\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = P_{\Omega^\perp} M \Omega$, with $M(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i P_{v_i^\perp}$ (a $n \times n$ matrix). Easy to show that $|\frac{d\Omega}{dt}|$ is L^2 in time, but L^1 ? ...

Case with all v_i "at the front"

If $v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow 1$ for all i , then $|J| = \sum_i m_i v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow 1$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = -|J| \Omega \cdot \frac{v_i + v_j}{2} \|v_i - v_j\|^2.$$

Case with all v_i "at the front"

If $v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow 1$ for all i , then $|J| = \sum_i m_i v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow 1$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = -|J| \Omega \cdot \frac{v_i + v_j}{2} \|v_i - v_j\|^2.$$

Exponential estimates

The quantities $(1 - v_i \cdot v_j) = \frac{1}{2} \|v_i - v_j\|^2$, $1 - |J|^2$, $1 - v_i \cdot \Omega$ and $|P_{v_i^\perp} \Omega|^2 = 1 - (v_i \cdot \Omega)^2$ are all bounded by Ce^{-2t} .

Case with all v_i "at the front"

If $v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow 1$ for all i , then $|J| = \sum_i m_i v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow 1$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = -|J| \Omega \cdot \frac{v_i + v_j}{2} \|v_i - v_j\|^2.$$

Exponential estimates

The quantities $(1 - v_i \cdot v_j) = \frac{1}{2} \|v_i - v_j\|^2$, $1 - |J|^2$, $1 - v_i \cdot \Omega$ and $|P_{v_i^\perp} \Omega|^2 = 1 - (v_i \cdot \Omega)^2$ are all bounded by Ce^{-2t} .

We then obtain

$$\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (1 - v_i \cdot \Omega) P_{\Omega^\perp} v_i = O(e^{-3t}),$$

which gives that $\Omega(t) \rightarrow \Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{S}$.

Asymptotic behaviour of each v_i

After some computations, using the previous estimates, we get

$$\frac{d^2 v_i}{dt^2} = -\frac{dv_i}{dt} - \left| \frac{dv_i}{dt} \right|^2 v_i + O(e^{-3t}).$$

This gives that $\frac{dv_i}{dt} = a_i e^{-t} + O(e^{-2t})$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using it with the expression above, we obtain a better estimate for v_i .

Asymptotic behaviour of each v_i

After some computations, using the previous estimates, we get

$$\frac{d^2 v_i}{dt^2} = -\frac{dv_i}{dt} - \left| \frac{dv_i}{dt} \right|^2 v_i + O(e^{-3t}).$$

This gives that $\frac{dv_i}{dt} = a_i e^{-t} + O(e^{-2t})$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using it with the expression above, we obtain a better estimate for v_i .

Theorem (if all particles are “front”):

There exists $\Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{S}$, and $a_i \in \{\Omega_\infty\}^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^N m_i a_i = 0$ and that, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$v_i(t) = (1 - |a_i|^2 e^{-2t}) \Omega_\infty + e^{-t} a_i + O(e^{-3t}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N,$$
$$\Omega(t) = \Omega_\infty + O(e^{-3t}).$$

We see that $\Omega(t)$ acts as a nearly conserved quantity, and we recover results asymptotically similar to the case of the toy model.

Only one can finish at the back

We denote $\lambda > 0$ the limit of $|J|$ (increasing). Recall that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = -J \cdot \frac{v_i + v_j}{2} \|v_i - v_j\|^2.$$

If $v_i(0) \neq v_j(0)$, we cannot have $v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow -1$ and $v_j \cdot \Omega \rightarrow -1$ (repulsion). Up to renumbering, only v_N is “going to the back”.

We then get $|J| \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} m_i - m_N = 1 - 2m_N = \lambda$ (so $m_N < \frac{1}{2}$).
Same as before: $\|v_i - v_j\| = O(e^{-\lambda t})$ (for $i, j \neq N$).

Only one can finish at the back

We denote $\lambda > 0$ the limit of $|J|$ (increasing). Recall that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_i - v_j\|^2 = -J \cdot \frac{v_i + v_j}{2} \|v_i - v_j\|^2.$$

If $v_i(0) \neq v_j(0)$, we cannot have $v_i \cdot \Omega \rightarrow -1$ and $v_j \cdot \Omega \rightarrow -1$ (repulsion). Up to renumbering, only v_N is “going to the back”.

We then get $|J| \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} m_i - m_N = 1 - 2m_N = \lambda$ (so $m_N < \frac{1}{2}$).
Same as before: $\|v_i - v_j\| = O(e^{-\lambda t})$ (for $i, j \neq N$).

Convexity argument

If all v_i are in the same hemisphere, they stay in it.
Therefore $v_N \in -\text{Cone}((v_i)_{1 \leq i < N})$.

We then get the same estimates (with e^{-t} replaced by $e^{-\lambda t}$, except the one in $O(e^{-3t})$ for $\frac{d\Omega}{dt}$, since $1 - v_N \cdot \Omega$ does not converge to 0).

Faster convergence of v_N

After some computations, we get

$$\frac{d^2 v_N}{dt^2} = \frac{dv_N}{dt} - \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right|^2 v_N + O(e^{-3\lambda t}).$$

Therefore we get $\frac{d}{dt} \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right| = \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right| + O(e^{-3\lambda t})$.

Faster convergence of v_N

After some computations, we get

$$\frac{d^2 v_N}{dt^2} = \frac{dv_N}{dt} - \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right|^2 v_N + O(e^{-3\lambda t}).$$

Therefore we get $\frac{d}{dt} \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right| = \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right| + O(e^{-3\lambda t})$.

Lemma: Tail of a perturbed ODE (exponential)

If $x \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x + O(e^{-\alpha t})$, with $\alpha > 0$.

If x is uniformly bounded, then $x(t) = O(e^{-\alpha t})$.

Faster convergence of v_N

After some computations, we get

$$\frac{d^2 v_N}{dt^2} = \frac{dv_N}{dt} - \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right|^2 v_N + O(e^{-3\lambda t}).$$

Therefore we get $\frac{d}{dt} \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right| = \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right| + O(e^{-3\lambda t})$.

Lemma: Tail of a perturbed ODE (exponential)

If $x \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\frac{dx}{dt} = x + O(e^{-\alpha t})$, with $\alpha > 0$.

If x is uniformly bounded, then $x(t) = O(e^{-\alpha t})$.

Therefore $\frac{dv_N}{dt} = O(e^{-3\lambda t})$.

This gives that $|P_{\Omega^\perp} v_N| = \frac{1}{|J|} \left| \frac{dv_N}{dt} \right| = O(e^{-3\lambda t})$.

Asymptotic behaviour of each v_i

Same method to get $\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = O(e^{-3\lambda t})$, except for the term in $(1 - v_N \cdot \Omega)P_{\Omega^\perp} v_N$ for which we use the previous analysis. We then get the same kind of asymptotic expansions.

Theorem (if v_N is the only “back” particle):

There exists $\Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{S}$, and $a_i \in \{\Omega_\infty\}^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for $1 \leq i < N$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} m_i a_i = 0$ and that, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}v_i(t) &= (1 - |a_i|^2 e^{-2\lambda t}) \Omega_\infty + e^{-\lambda t} a_i + O(e^{-3\lambda t}) \quad \text{for } i \neq N, \\v_N(t) &= -\Omega_\infty + O(e^{-3\lambda t}), \\\Omega(t) &= \Omega_\infty + O(e^{-3\lambda t}).\end{aligned}$$

Aggregation equation on the sphere

PDE for the empirical distribution

Define $f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{v_i(t)} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{S})$ (a probability measure on the sphere), then f is a weak solution of the following PDE:

$$\partial_t f + \nabla_v \cdot (f P_{v^\perp} J_f) = 0, \quad \text{where } J_f = \int_{\mathbb{S}} v df(v). \quad (1)$$

Aggregation equation on the sphere

PDE for the empirical distribution

Define $f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{v_i(t)} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{S})$ (a probability measure on the sphere), then f is a weak solution of the following PDE:

$$\partial_t f + \nabla_v \cdot (f P_{v^\perp} J_f) = 0, \quad \text{where } J_f = \int_{\mathbb{S}} v df(v). \quad (1)$$

Theorem

Given a probability measure f_0 , there exists a unique global (weak) solution to the aggregation equation given by (1).

Tools: optimal transport, or for this case harmonic analysis (Fourier for $n = 2$), which gives well-posedness in Sobolev spaces.

Properties of the model

Characteristics

Suppose that the function $J(t)$ is given, we define $\Phi_t(v)$ the solution of the following ODE:

$$\frac{d\Phi_t(v)}{dt} = P_{\Phi_t(v)^\perp} J(t) \quad \text{with } \Phi_0(v) = v.$$

Then the solution to the (linear) equation $\partial_t f + \nabla_v \cdot (f P_{v^\perp} J) = 0$ is given by $f(t) = \Phi_t \# f_0$ (push-forward): if $\psi \in C^0(\mathbb{S})$, then $\int_{\mathbb{S}} \psi(v) d(\Phi_t \# f_0)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \psi(\Phi_t(v)) df_0(v)$.

Properties of the model

Characteristics

Suppose that the function $J(t)$ is given, we define $\Phi_t(v)$ the solution of the following ODE:

$$\frac{d\Phi_t(v)}{dt} = P_{\Phi_t(v)^\perp} J(t) \quad \text{with } \Phi_0(v) = v.$$

Then the solution to the (linear) equation $\partial_t f + \nabla_v \cdot (f P_{v^\perp} J) = 0$ is given by $f(t) = \Phi_t \# f_0$ (push-forward): if $\psi \in C^0(\mathbb{S})$, then $\int_{\mathbb{S}} \psi(v) d(\Phi_t \# f_0)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} \psi(\Phi_t(v)) df_0(v)$.

We can perform computations exactly as for the particles:

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_f = \int_{\mathbb{S}} P_{v^\perp} J_f df(v) = \langle P_{v^\perp} \rangle_f J_f = M_f J_f.$$

Increase of $|J_f(t)|$, integrability of $J_f \cdot M_f J_f$. All moments are C^∞ .

Convergence of $\Omega(t)$

We have $\dot{\Omega} = \frac{d\Omega}{dt} = P_{\Omega^\perp} M_f \Omega$, which is L^2 in time, but L^1 ?

After a few computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} |\dot{\Omega}| &= |\dot{\Omega}| (1 - \Omega \cdot M_f \Omega - \langle (u \cdot P_{\Omega^\perp} v)^2 \rangle_f) \\ &\quad + 2|J| \langle (1 - (v \cdot \Omega)^2) u \cdot P_{\Omega^\perp} v \rangle_f, \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \frac{\dot{\Omega}}{|\dot{\Omega}|}$ (when it is well-defined, 0 otherwise).

Convergence of $\Omega(t)$

We have $\dot{\Omega} = \frac{d\Omega}{dt} = P_{\Omega^\perp} M_f \Omega$, which is L^2 in time, but L^1 ?
After a few computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} |\dot{\Omega}| &= |\dot{\Omega}| (1 - \Omega \cdot M_f \Omega - \langle (u \cdot P_{\Omega^\perp} v)^2 \rangle_f) \\ &\quad + 2|J| \langle (1 - (v \cdot \Omega)^2) u \cdot P_{\Omega^\perp} v \rangle_f, \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \frac{\dot{\Omega}}{|\dot{\Omega}|}$ (when it is well-defined, 0 otherwise).

Lemma: Tail of a perturbed ODE (integrability)

If $\frac{dx}{dt} = x + g$ where x is bounded, $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$,
then $x(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Therefore we get that $|\dot{\Omega}|$ is integrable, and then $\Omega(t) \rightarrow \Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{S}$.

Convergence of f

Proposition: unique back

Suppose that $J(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is given (and continuous), with $\Omega(t) = \frac{J(t)}{|J(t)|}$ converging to $\Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{S}$. Then there exists a unique $v_{\text{back}} \in \mathbb{S}$ such that the solution $v(t)$ of $\frac{dv}{dt} = P_{v^\perp} J(t)$ with $v(0) = v_{\text{back}}$ satisfies $v(t) \rightarrow -\Omega_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Conversely, if $v(0) \neq v_{\text{back}}$, then $v(t) \rightarrow \Omega_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Convergence of f

Proposition: unique back

Suppose that $J(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is given (and continuous), with $\Omega(t) = \frac{J(t)}{|J(t)|}$ converging to $\Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{S}$. Then there exists a unique $v_{\text{back}} \in \mathbb{S}$ such that the solution $v(t)$ of $\frac{dv}{dt} = P_{v^\perp} J(t)$ with $v(0) = v_{\text{back}}$ satisfies $v(t) \rightarrow -\Omega_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Conversely, if $v(0) \neq v_{\text{back}}$, then $v(t) \rightarrow \Omega_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem

Convergence in Wasserstein distance to $m\delta_{-\Omega_\infty} + (1-m)\delta_{\Omega_\infty}$, where m is the mass of $\{v_b\}$ with respect to the measure f_0 . In particular, if f_0 has no atoms, then $f \rightarrow \delta_{\Omega_\infty}$.

No rate ...

Toy model in \mathbb{R}^n : midpoint collision (sticky particles)

Speeds $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$), Poisson clocks: $v_i, v_j \rightsquigarrow \frac{v_i + v_j}{2}$.

Kinetic version (large N) : evolution of $f_t(v) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\partial_t f_t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_t(v+w) f_t(v-w) dw - f_t(v).$$

Toy model in \mathbb{R}^n : midpoint collision (sticky particles)

Speeds $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$), Poisson clocks: $v_i, v_j \rightsquigarrow \frac{v_i + v_j}{2}$.

Kinetic version (large N) : evolution of $f_t(v) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\partial_t f_t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{\frac{v_* + v'_*}{2}}(v) df_t(v_*) df_t(v'_*) - f(t, v).$$

Toy model in \mathbb{R}^n : midpoint collision (sticky particles)

Speeds $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$), Poisson clocks: $v_i, v_j \rightsquigarrow \frac{v_i + v_j}{2}$.

Kinetic version (large N) : evolution of $f_t(v) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\partial_t f_t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{\frac{v_* + v'_*}{2}}(v) df_t(v_*) df_t(v'_*) - f(t, v).$$

- Conservation of center of mass $\bar{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v df(v)$.
- Second moment $m_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v - \bar{v}|^2 df(v)$: $\frac{d}{dt} m_2 = -\frac{m_2}{2}$.

Exponential convergence towards a Dirac mass

$$W_2(f_t, \delta_{\bar{v}}) = W_2(f_0, \delta_{\bar{v}}) e^{-\frac{t}{4}}.$$

Toy model in \mathbb{R}^n : midpoint collision (sticky particles)

Speeds $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$), Poisson clocks: $v_i, v_j \rightsquigarrow \frac{v_i + v_j}{2}$.

Kinetic version (large N) : evolution of $f_t(v) \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\partial_t f_t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{\frac{v_* + v'_*}{2}}(v) df_t(v_*) df_t(v'_*) - f(t, v).$$

- Conservation of center of mass $\bar{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v df(v)$.
- Second moment $m_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v - \bar{v}|^2 df(v)$: $\frac{d}{dt} m_2 = -\frac{m_2}{2}$.

Exponential convergence towards a Dirac mass


$$W_2(f_t, \delta_{\bar{v}}) = W_2(f_0, \delta_{\bar{v}}) e^{-\frac{t}{4}}.$$

- Decreasing energy: $E(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} |v - u|^2 df(v) df(u)$ (equal to $2m_2$), no need for \bar{v} in this definition.

Midpoint model on the sphere

Kernel $K(v, v_*, v'_*)$: probability density that a particle at position v_* interacting with another one at v'_* is found at v after collision.

$$\partial_t f_t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}} K(v, v_*, v'_*) df_t(v_*) df_t(v'_*) - f_t(v).$$




When $v_* \neq v'_*$, $K(\cdot, v_*, v'_*) = \delta_{v_m}$,
where $v_m = \frac{v_* + v'_*}{\|v_* + v'_*\|}$.

Midpoint model on the sphere

Kernel $K(v, v_*, v'_*)$: probability density that a particle at position v_* interacting with another one at v'_* is found at v after collision.

$$\partial_t f_t(v) = \int_{\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}} K(v, v_*, v'_*) df_t(v_*) df_t(v'_*) - f_t(v).$$



When $v_* \neq v'_*$, $K(\cdot, v_*, v'_*) = \delta_{v_m}$,
where $v_m = \frac{v_* + v'_*}{\|v_* + v'_*\|}$.

Energy

$$E(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}} d(v, u)^2 df(v) df(u).$$

Link “Energy – Wasserstein”

Useful Lemma – Markov inequalities

For $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{S})$, there exists $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{S}$ such that for all $v \in \mathbb{S}$:

$$W_2(f, \delta_{\bar{v}})^2 \leq E(f) \leq 4 W_2(f, \delta_v)^2,$$

For such a \bar{v} and for all $\kappa > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\{v \in \mathbb{S}; d(v, \bar{v}) \geq \kappa\}} df(v) \leq \frac{1}{\kappa^2} E(f),$$

$$\int_{\{v \in \mathbb{S}; d(v, \bar{v}) \geq \kappa\}} d(v, \bar{v}) df(v) \leq \frac{1}{\kappa} E(f).$$

Evolution of the energy

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}} \alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) df(v_*) df(v'_*) df(u).$$

Local contribution to the variation of energy


$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} d(v, u)^2 K(v, v_*, v'_*) dv - \frac{d(v_*, u)^2 + d(v'_*, u)^2}{2}.$$

Evolution of the energy

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}} \alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) df(v_*) df(v'_*) df(u).$$

Local contribution to the variation of energy

$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} d(v, u)^2 K(v, v_*, v'_*) dv - \frac{d(v_*, u)^2 + d(v'_*, u)^2}{2}.$$



Configuration of Apollonius:


$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) = m^2 - \frac{b^2 + b'^2}{2}.$$

Evolution of the energy

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}} \alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) df(v_*) df(v'_*) df(u).$$

Local contribution to the variation of energy

$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} d(v, u)^2 K(v, v_*, v'_*) dv - \frac{d(v_*, u)^2 + d(v'_*, u)^2}{2}.$$



Configuration of Apollonius:

$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) = m^2 - \frac{b^2 + b'^2}{2}.$$

Flat case (we get $-a^2$):

$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) = -\frac{1}{4} d(v_*, v'_*)^2.$$

Error estimates in Apollonius' formula

Lemma: global estimate (only triangular inequalities)

For all v_* , v'_* , $u \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) \leq -\frac{1}{4}d(v_*, v'_*)^2 + 2d(v_*, v'_*) \min(d(v_*, u), d(v'_*, u)).$$

Error estimates in Apollonius' formula

Lemma: global estimate (only triangular inequalities)

For all $v_*, v'_*, u \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) \leq -\frac{1}{4}d(v_*, v'_*)^2 + 2d(v_*, v'_*) \min(d(v_*, u), d(v'_*, u)).$$

Lemma: local estimate, more precise

For all $\kappa_1 < \frac{2\pi}{3}$, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $\kappa \leq \kappa_1$, and all $v_*, v'_*, u \in \mathbb{S}$ with $\max(d(v_*, u), d(v'_*, u), d(v_*, v'_*)) \leq \kappa$, we have

$$\alpha(v_*, v'_*, u) \leq -\frac{1}{4}d(v_*, v'_*)^2 + C_1 \kappa^2 d(v_*, v'_*)^2.$$

Spherical Apollonius: $\frac{1}{2}(\cos b + \cos b') = \cos a \cos m$.

Decreasing energy – Control on displacement

We set $\bar{\omega} := \{v \in \mathbb{S}; d(v, \bar{v}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\kappa\}$, and we cut the triple integral in four parts following if v_*, v'_*, u is in $\bar{\omega}$ or not.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E(f) + \frac{1}{4} E(f) \leq \underbrace{C \kappa^2 E(f)}_{\text{Local lemma}} + \underbrace{12 \frac{E(f)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\kappa} + 24 \frac{E(f)^2}{\kappa^2}}_{\text{Global lemma + Markov (and Cauchy-Schwarz)}}.$$

Decreasing energy – Control on displacement

We set $\bar{\omega} := \{v \in \mathbb{S}; d(v, \bar{v}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\kappa\}$, and we cut the triple integral in four parts following if v_*, v'_*, u is in $\bar{\omega}$ or not.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E(f) + \frac{1}{4} E(f) \leq \underbrace{C \kappa^2 E(f)}_{\text{Local lemma}} + \underbrace{12 \frac{E(f)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\kappa} + 24 \frac{E(f)^2}{\kappa^2}}_{\substack{\text{Global lemma + Markov} \\ \text{(and Cauchy-Schwarz)}}}.$$

Same kind of cut to show that $\bar{v}(t)$ satisfies Cauchy's criteria (for $t \rightarrow \infty$) and converges with the same rate as $\sqrt{E(f)}$.

Decreasing energy – Control on displacement

We set $\bar{\omega} := \{v \in \mathbb{S}; d(v, \bar{v}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\kappa\}$, and we cut the triple integral in four parts following if v_*, v'_*, u is in $\bar{\omega}$ or not.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E(f) + \frac{1}{4} E(f) \leq \underbrace{C \kappa^2 E(f)}_{\text{Local lemma}} + \underbrace{12 \frac{E(f)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\kappa} + 24 \frac{E(f)^2}{\kappa^2}}_{\substack{\text{Global lemma + Markov} \\ \text{(and Cauchy-Schwarz)}}}.$$

Same kind of cut to show that $\bar{v}(t)$ satisfies Cauchy's criteria (for $t \rightarrow \infty$) and converges with the same rate as $\sqrt{E(f)}$.

Theorem: local stability of Dirac masses

There exists $C_1 > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for all solution $f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{S}))$ with initial condition f_0 satisfying $W_2(f_0, \delta_{v_0}) < \eta$ for a $v_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, there exists $v_\infty \in \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$W_2(f_t, \delta_{v_\infty}) \leq C_1 W_2(f_0, \delta_{v_0}) e^{-\frac{1}{4}t}.$$

Thanks!