Social evolution in structured populations



CNRS Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherche en Biologie, Paris

Phénomènes de propagation et d'organisation spatiale en biologie Journée thématique interdisciplinaire maths-bio Université Paris-Dauphine

4 décembre 2017







) picturesforcoloring.com













Evolutionary Ecology, 1992, 6, 352-356

Altruism in viscous populations – an inclusive fitness model

P.D. TAYLOR Department of Multamatics and distilics, Quasar's University. Ringston Om. K7L 3N6, Cannob

Summary

A viscour sponlation (Hamilton, 1964) is one in which the movement of organizes from their place of bars, is relatively slow. This viscouity has two important effects: one is that local interactions tend to be among relatives, and the other is that comparison for resources tends to be among relatives. The fact effect tends to promote and the scone to oppose the evolution of altruistic behaviour. In a simulation model of Wilson et al. (1992) these two factors appose to caucity bihanison can enother, this opposing the evolution of local altruistic behaviour. Here I show, with an inclusive fitness model, that the same result holds in a patchsmucrared population.

Keywords: afteuism; inclusive fitness; competition; viscosity

N_d demes

















The choice of life-cycle matters

Constant population size (N), so between two time steps,
$$\# \widehat{\square} = \# {\clubsuit}^{\circ}$$
.

Ohtsuki et al. 2006; Taylor, Day & Wild 2007; Taylor, Lillicrap, Cownden 2010

The choice of life-cycle matters

Constant population size (*N*), so between two time steps, $\# \square = \# \clubsuit$.

Wright-FisherMoran Birth-DeathMoran Death-Birth
$$N \stackrel{(1)}{=} \& N \stackrel{(2)}{=} %$$
 $1 \stackrel{(2)}{=} \& 1 \stackrel{(2)}{=} %$

Ohtsuki et al. 2006; Taylor, Day & Wild 2007; Taylor, Lillicrap, Cownden 2010

The choice of life-cycle matters

Constant population size (*N*), so between two time steps, $\# \square = \# \clubsuit$.



In homogeneously structured populations, with effects of social interactions on **fecundity**.

Ohtsuki et al. 2006; Taylor, Day & Wild 2007; Taylor, Lillicrap, Cownden 2010







What is the effect of population viscosity on the evolution of altruism when parentoffspring strategy transmission is **imperfect**?

Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

Mutation



Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

- Mutation
- Partial heritability



Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

- Mutation
- Partial heritability
- Cultural transmission (vertical)



Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

- Mutation
- Partial heritability
- Cultural transmission (vertical)

In the model











Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

- Mutation
- Partial heritability
- Cultural transmission (vertical)

In the model





Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

- Mutation
- Partial heritability
- Cultural transmission (vertical)

In the model





Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

- Mutation
- Partial heritability
- Cultural transmission (vertical)

In the model





$$\mu = \frac{\mu_{A} + \mu_{B}}{\mu_{B}}$$
$$\nu = \frac{\mu_{B}}{\mu_{A} + \mu_{B}}$$

Causes of imperfect strategy transmission

- Mutation
- Partial heritability
- Cultural transmission (vertical)

In the model





Notation

$$\mathbf{X}(t); \quad X_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

if site *i* occupied by
$$\frac{1}{20}$$
 at time *t* (1 \leq *i* \leq *N*)
if site *i* occupied by $\frac{1}{20}$ at time *t* (1 \leq *i* \leq *N*)

Notation

$$\mathbf{X}(t); \quad X_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

if site i occupied by
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 at time t (1 $\leq i \leq N$)if site i occupied by $\frac{1}{2}$ at time t (1 $\leq i \leq N$)



$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i] \qquad = (1-\mu)X_i + \mu\nu \times 1 + \mu(1-\nu) \times 0.$$

Expected trait of the offspring of individual *i*

Notation

$$\mathbf{X}(t); \quad X_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

1 if site *i* occupied by \bigcirc at time *t* ($1 \le i \le N$) 0 if site *i* occupied by \bigcirc at time *t* ($1 \le i \le N$)



$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i] \qquad = (1-\mu)X_i + \mu\nu$$

Expected trait of the offspring of individual *i*

.
Notation

$$\mathbf{X}(t); \quad X_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if site } i \text{ occupied by } \overset{\otimes}{=} \text{ at time } t \ (1 \le i \le N) \\ 0 & \text{if site } i \text{ occupied by } \overset{\otimes}{=} \text{ at time } t \ (1 \le i \le N) \end{cases}$$

Proportion of altruists in the population:

$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i.$$

We want to compute $\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]$, the expected proportion of altruists in the population.

Phenotype

$$\phi_i = \delta X_i,$$

and we assume that $\delta \ll 1$. (Selection is weak.)

Phenotype

$$\phi_i = \delta X_i,$$

and we assume that $\delta \ll 1$. (Selection is weak.)

Social interactions affect fecundity

$$f_i = 1 + \delta \left(b \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_i \setminus i} \frac{X_j}{n-1} - c X_i \right)$$

Phenotype

$$\phi_i = \delta X_i,$$

and we assume that $\delta \ll 1$. (Selection is weak.)

Social interactions affect fecundity

$$f_i = 1 + \delta \left(\begin{array}{c} b \\ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_i \setminus i} \frac{X_j}{n-1} - c \\ X_i \end{array} \right) \cdot$$

Phenotype

$$\phi_i = \delta X_i,$$

٠

and we assume that $\delta \ll$ 1. (Selection is weak.)

Social interactions affect fecundity

$$f_i = 1 + \delta \left(\underbrace{b \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_i \setminus i} \frac{X_j}{n-1}}_{\text{Proportion of altruists}} - \underbrace{c X_i}_{\text{Among the other deme-mates}} \right)$$

Phenotype

$$\phi_i = \delta X_i,$$

and we assume that $\delta \ll 1$. (Selection is weak.)

Social interactions affect fecundity

$$f_{i} = 1 + \delta \left(\begin{array}{c} b \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_{i} \setminus i} \frac{X_{j}}{n-1} - c \\ \end{array} \right) \cdot$$
Proportion of altruists
among the other deme-mates
The cost is only paid
by altruists

Notation

 $B_i = B_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta): \text{ expected } \# \text{ of offspring of individual } i;$ $D_i = D_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta): \text{ probability that } i \text{ dies.}$

Notation

 $B_i = B_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta)$: expected # of offspring of individual *i*; $D_i = D_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta)$: probability that *i* dies.

Expected proportion of altruists at t + 1 in the proportion of altruists, conditional on the state of the population at time t:

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}(t+1)|\mathbf{X}(t)] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[B_i(1-\mu)X_i + (1-D_i)X_i + B_i\mu\nu\right]$$

Notation

 $B_i = B_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta)$: expected # of offspring of individual *i*; $D_i = D_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta)$: probability that *i* dies.

Expected proportion of altruists at t + 1 in the proportion of altruists, conditional on the state of the population at time t:

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}(t+1)|\mathbf{X}(t)] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[B_i(1-\mu)X_i + (1-D_i)X_i + B_i\mu\nu\right]$$

- Take expectation and let $t o\infty$; consider stationary distribution ξ

$$0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{X \in \Omega} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \underbrace{B_i(1-\mu) - D_i}_{W_i} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \mu \nu \right] \xi(\mathbf{X}, \delta, \mu)$$

Notation

 $B_i = B_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta)$: expected # of offspring of individual *i*; $D_i = D_i(\mathbf{X}, \delta)$: probability that *i* dies.

Expected proportion of altruists at t + 1 in the proportion of altruists, conditional on the state of the population at time t:

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}(t+1)|\mathbf{X}(t)] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} [B_i(1-\mu)X_i + (\mathbf{1}-D_i)X_i + B_i\mu\nu]$$

▶ Take expectation and let $t \to \infty$; consider stationary distribution ξ

$$0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{X \in \Omega} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \underbrace{B_i(1-\mu) - D_i}_{W_i} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i \mu \nu \right] \xi(\mathbf{X}, \delta, \mu)$$

Selection is weak ($\delta \ll 1$) and reproductive values are all equal:

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{X \in \Omega} \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \xi(\mathbf{X}, 0, \mu) - \sum_{X \in \Omega} \mu B^* X_i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \delta} \right] + O(\delta^2),$$

Selection is weak ($\delta \ll 1$) and reproductive values are all equal:

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{X \in \Omega} \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \xi(\mathbf{X}, 0, \mu) - \sum_{X \in \Omega} \mu B^* X_i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \delta} \right] + O(\delta^2),$$

which we rewrite as

$${}^{AS}_{\delta\mu B^*} \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \right] + O(\delta^2).$$

Selection is weak ($\delta \ll 1$) and reproductive values are all equal:

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{X \in \Omega} \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \xi(\mathbf{X}, 0, \mu) - \sum_{X \in \Omega} \mu B^* X_i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \delta} \right] + O(\delta^2),$$

which we rewrite as
$$\delta \mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \right] + O(\delta^2).$$

Selection is weak ($\delta \ll 1$) and reproductive values are all equal:

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{X \in \Omega} \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \xi(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{0}, \mu) - \sum_{X \in \Omega} \mu B^* X_i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \delta} \right] + O(\delta^2),$$

which we rewrite as
$$\delta \mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \right] + O(\delta^2).$$

Selection is weak ($\delta \ll 1$) and reproductive values are all equal:

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{X \in \Omega} \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \xi(\mathbf{X}, 0, \mu) - \sum_{X \in \Omega} \mu B^* X_i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \delta} \right] + O(\delta^2),$$

which we rewrite as

$${}^{NS}_{\delta} \delta \mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \right] + O(\delta^2).$$

Using partial derivatives: phenotypes

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_k} \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \delta} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_k} X_k.$$

Selection is weak ($\delta \ll 1$) and reproductive values are all equal:

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{X \in \Omega} \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \xi(\mathbf{X}, 0, \mu) - \sum_{X \in \Omega} \mu B^* X_i \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \delta} \right] + O(\delta^2),$$

which we rewrite as

$${}^{N}\delta\mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} X_i \right] + O(\delta^2).$$

Using partial derivatives: phenotypes

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \delta} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_k} \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \delta} = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_k} X_k.$$

▶ We obtain

$$\delta\mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_k} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_0[X_i X_k]}_{P_{ik}} + O(\delta^2).$$

▶ In a subdivided population,

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_i} + (n-1)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}} + (N-n)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}} = 0$$

▶ In a subdivided population,

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_i} + (n-1)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}} + (N-n)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}} = 0,$$

So

$$\delta\mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_i}}_{-\mathcal{C}} + \underbrace{(n-1)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}}}_{\mathcal{B}} \underbrace{\frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{ii}} - P_{\text{out}}}}_{R} \right) (P_{ii} - P_{\text{out}}) + O(\delta^2).$$

▶ In a subdivided population,

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_i} + (n-1)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}} + (N-n)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}} = 0,$$

So

$$\delta\mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_i}}_{-\mathcal{C}} + \underbrace{(n-1)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}}}_{\mathcal{B}} \underbrace{\frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{out}}}{P_{\text{ii}} - P_{\text{out}}}}_{R} \right) (P_{ii} - P_{\text{out}}) + O(\delta^2).$$

▶ Then further decompose with partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial f_\ell} \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial \phi_k}$$

Rousset & Billiard (2000)

▶ In a subdivided population,

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_i} + (n-1)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}} + (N-n)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}} = 0,$$

So

$$\delta\mu B^* \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\delta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_i}}_{-\mathcal{C}} + \underbrace{(n-1)\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}}}_{\mathcal{B}} \underbrace{\frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{out}}}{P_{ii} - P_{\text{out}}}}_{R} \right) (P_{ii} - P_{\text{out}}) + O(\delta^2).$$

▶ Then further decompose with partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial W_i}{\partial \phi_k} = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial f_\ell} \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial \phi_k} \quad \text{and} \ \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial \phi_\ell} = -\mathbf{c}; \quad \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}} = \frac{\mathbf{b}}{n-1}; \quad \frac{\partial f_\ell}{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}} = \mathbf{0}.$$























At neutrality (i.e., in the absence of selection, $\delta = 0$),



Probability that the individuals at sites *i* and *j* are identical by descent (no mutation since their common ancestor)





Expected frequency of altruists in the population

Equation for Moran Death-Birth and Wright-Fisher

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \ \nu(1-\nu) \ \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} \ (1-Q_{out}) \times \\ \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$
Mutation-drift
equilibrium
$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

Mutation-drift
equilibrium Selection
$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

Mutation-drift
equilibrium Selection
strength

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

Mutation-drift
equilibrium Selection
strength
$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) - C + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

Mutation-drift
equilibrium Selection
strength
$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) - C + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

Mutation-drift
equilibrium Selection
strength
$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) - C + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

Mutation-drift
equilibrium Selection
strength

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{\mu} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) - C + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{\mu} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

How does relatedness R change with the emigration probability m?



$$(n = 4, N_d = 15)$$

How does relatedness R change with the emigration probability m?



$$(n = 4, N_d = 15)$$

How does relatedness R change with the emigration probability m?







 $(n = 4, N_d = 15)$

Mutation-drift Variance in the state of one site
equilibrium Selection

$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{\mu} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) - C + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{\mu} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$

$$R \searrow \qquad B$$

Mutation-drift Variance in the state of one site
equilibrium Selection
$$\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = \nu + \delta \nu(1-\nu) \frac{1-\mu}{\mu} (1-Q_{out}) \times \left(-c - (b-c) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) - C + \frac{Q_{in} - Q_{out}}{1-Q_{out}} \left[b - (b-c) (n-1) \left(\frac{(1-m)^2}{n} + \frac{m^2}{n(N_d-1)} \right) \right] \right)$$







$$(b = 15, c = 1, n = 4, N_d = 15, \delta = 0.005)$$





















How to explain this result? (Moran Death-Birth)

 $-\mathcal{C} + \frac{\mathcal{B}R}{\mathcal{B}R} > 0 \Leftrightarrow R > \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$

How to explain this result? (Moran Death-Birth)

 $-\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}R > 0 \Leftrightarrow R > \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$



How to explain this result? (Moran Death-Birth)

 $-\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{B}R > 0 \Leftrightarrow R > \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$



Is the result robust?

Strong selection



Strong selection



Heterogeneous deme sizes ($\overline{n} = 4$ as before, but $2 \le n \le 5$)



 $(b = 15, c = 1, \overline{n} = 4, N_d = 15, \delta = 0.005)$

Political implications



Take-Home Messages

 Under weak selection, it is possible to compute the expected frequency of social individuals, for any life-cycle, any regular population structure, any mutation probability.
 (D., 2017, JTB)
- Under weak selection, it is possible to compute the expected frequency of social individuals, for any life-cycle, any regular population structure, any mutation probability.
 (D., 2017, JTB)
- $\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] > \nu \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}R > \mathcal{C}.$

- Under weak selection, it is possible to compute the expected frequency of social individuals, for any life-cycle, any regular population structure, any mutation probability. (D., 2017, JTB)
- $\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] > \nu \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}R > \mathcal{C}.$
- ► In subdivided populations, $\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]$ can increase with the emigration probability *m* when strategy transmission is imperfect ($\mu > 0$). (D., *in review*.)

- Under weak selection, it is possible to compute the expected frequency of social individuals, for any life-cycle, any regular population structure, any mutation probability. (D., 2017, JTB)
- $\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] > \nu \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} R > \mathcal{C}.$
- ► In subdivided populations, $\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]$ can increase with the emigration probability *m* when strategy transmission is imperfect ($\mu > 0$). (D., *in review*.)
- This result seems to hold under stronger selection and in heterogeneous populations.

- Under weak selection, it is possible to compute the expected frequency of social individuals, for any life-cycle, any regular population structure, any mutation probability.
 (D., 2017, JTB)
- $\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] > \nu \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} R > \mathcal{C}.$
- ► In subdivided populations, $\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}]$ can increase with the emigration probability *m* when strategy transmission is imperfect ($\mu > 0$). (D., *in review*.)
- This result seems to hold under stronger selection and in heterogeneous populations.

Funding & Thanks



ANR-14-ACHN-0003-01

Amic Frouvelle & Emeric Bouin. for organizing the workshop and thank you for your attention!