

Quenched mass transport of particles towards a target

B. Bouchard

Ceremade - Univ. Paris-Dauphine, PSL University

Joint work with Boualem Djehiche and Idris Kharroubi

A short introduction to the stochastic target approach

General problem formulation

Soner and Touzi

□ Controlled process : A map : $(t, z, \nu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathcal{U} \mapsto Z^{t,z,\nu}$ a cadlag \mathbb{F} -adapted process satisfying $Z_t^{t,z,\nu} = z$.

General problem formulation

Soner and Touzi

- Controlled process : A map : $(t, z, \nu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathcal{U} \mapsto Z^{t,z,\nu}$ a cadlag \mathbb{F} -adapted process satisfying $Z_t^{t,z,\nu} = z$.
- Target : G a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} .

General problem formulation

Soner and Touzi

- Controlled process : A map : $(t, z, \nu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathcal{U} \mapsto Z^{t,z,\nu}$ a cadlag \mathbb{F} -adapted process satisfying $Z_t^{t,z,\nu} = z$.
- Target : G a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} .
- Problem : Compute

$$V(t) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } Z_T^{t,z,\nu} \in G \}.$$

Geometric Dynamic Principle

□ Recall

$$V(t) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } Z_T^{t,z,\nu} \in G\}.$$

Geometric Dynamic Principle

□ Recall

$$V(t) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } Z_T^{t,z,\nu} \in G \}.$$

□ **Theorem** : (Soner and Touzi) Let $\{\theta^\nu, \nu \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be a family of stopping times. Then,

$$V(t) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } Z_{\theta^\nu}^{t,z,\nu} \in V(\theta^\nu) \}.$$

Geometric Dynamic Principle

□ Recall

$$V(t) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } Z_T^{t,z,\nu} \in G \}.$$

□ **Theorem** : (Soner and Touzi) Let $\{\theta^\nu, \nu \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be a family of stopping times. Then,

$$V(t) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } Z_{\theta^\nu}^{t,z,\nu} \in V(\theta^\nu) \}.$$

□ In the Markovian diffusion case, Soner and Touzi discovered that it leads to a PDE characterization of the map $(t, z) \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{z \notin V(t)}$.

Focus on the “monotone” case

□ **Monotonicity assumption :**

- (i) $Z^{t,z,\nu} = (X^{t,x,\nu}, Y^{t,z,\nu}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, $z = (x, y)$,
- (ii) $(x, y) \in G$ implies $(x, y') \in G$ for $y' \geq y$.

Focus on the “monotone” case

□ **Monotonicity assumption :**

(i) $Z^{t,z,\nu} = (X^{t,x,\nu}, Y^{t,z,\nu}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, $z = (x, y)$,

(ii) $(x, y) \in G$ implies $(x, y') \in G$ for $y' \geq y$.

\Rightarrow Consequence : $(x, y) \in V(t)$ implies $(x, y') \in V(t)$ for $y' \geq y$.

Focus on the “monotone” case

□ **Monotonicity assumption :**

(i) $Z^{t,z,\nu} = (X^{t,x,\nu}, Y^{t,z,\nu}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, $z = (x, y)$,

(ii) $(x, y) \in G$ implies $(x, y') \in G$ for $y' \geq y$.

⇒ Consequence : $(x, y) \in V(t)$ implies $(x, y') \in V(t)$ for $y' \geq y$.

□ Value function : $v(t, x) := \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : (x, y) \in V(t)\}$.

Focus on the “monotone” case

□ **Monotonicity assumption :**

(i) $Z^{t,z,\nu} = (X^{t,x,\nu}, Y^{t,z,\nu}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, $z = (x, y)$,

(ii) $(x, y) \in G$ implies $(x, y') \in G$ for $y' \geq y$.

⇒ Consequence : $(x, y) \in V(t)$ implies $(x, y') \in V(t)$ for $y' \geq y$.

□ Value function : $v(t, x) := \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : (x, y) \in V(t)\}$.

□ **Theorem :** Let $\{\theta^\nu, \nu \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be a family of s.t. Then,

(GDP1) If $y > v(t, x)$, then there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$Y_{\theta^\nu}^{t,z,\nu} \geq v(\theta^\nu, X_{\theta^\nu}^{t,x,\nu})$$

(GDP2) If $y < v(t, x)$, then for all $\nu \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\mathbb{P} [Y_{\theta^\nu}^{t,z,\nu} > v(\theta^\nu, X_{\theta^\nu}^{t,x,\nu})] < 1.$$

PDE in the Markovian setting

□ “Waving hands” : $y = v(t, x)$ implies $dY_t^\nu \geq dv(t, X_t^\nu)$

PDE in the Markovian setting

□ “Waving hands” : $y = v(t, x)$ implies $dY_t^\nu \geq dv(t, X_t^\nu)$

□ **PDE** :

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{N}^\nu(t, x, v(t, x))} (\mu_Y(t, x, v(t, x), u) - \mathcal{L}_X^u v(t, x)) = 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_X^u v := \partial_t v + \mu_X \cdot Dv + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma_X \sigma_X^\top D^2 v]$$

$$\mathcal{N}^\nu(t, x, y) := \{u \in U : \sigma_Y(t, x, y, u) = Dv(t, x) \sigma_X(t, x, u)\}$$

when

$$dX = \mu_X(t, X, \nu)dt + \sigma_X(t, X, \nu)dB$$

$$dY = \mu_Y(t, X, Y, \nu)dt + \sigma_Y(t, X, Y, \nu)dB$$

Extensions

Constraint in expectations

B., Elie and Touzi

□ Problem :

$$V(t, p) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E} [\ell(Z_T^{t,z,\nu})] \geq p\}.$$

Constraint in expectations

B., Elie and Touzi

□ Problem :

$$V(t, p) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E} [\ell(Z_T^{t,z,\nu})] \geq p\}.$$

□ Reformulation :

$$V(t, p) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \exists (\nu, \alpha) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{A} \text{ s.t. } \ell(Z_T^{t,z,\nu}) \geq M_T^{t,p,\alpha} \},$$

where

$$M^{t,p,\alpha} := p + \int_t^\cdot \alpha_s dB_s.$$

Expectation maximization under constraint in expectations

B., Elie and Imbert

□ Problem :

$$\max \{ \mathbb{E} [L(Z_T^{t,z,\nu})], \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E} [\ell(Z_T^{t,z,\nu})] \geq \rho \}.$$

Expectation maximization under constraint in expectations

B., Elie and Imbert

□ Problem :

$$\max \{ \mathbb{E} [L(Z_T^{t,z,\nu})], \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E} [\ell(Z_T^{t,z,\nu})] \geq p \}.$$

□ Reformulation :

$$\max \{ \mathbb{E} [L(Z_T^{t,z,\nu})], (\nu, \alpha) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{A} \text{ s.t. } (\cdot, Z^{t,z,\nu}, M^{t,p,\alpha}) \in \mathcal{D} \}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(t, z, p) : z \in V(t, p)\}.$$

Quenched mass transport

Motivation

- Given an initial distribution, can we transport it along a controlled SDE to a given target?

Motivation

- Given an initial distribution, can we transport it along a controlled SDE to a given target?
- **Example :**
 - Let $\chi \sim \mu$ be the distribution of agronomic characteristics in a field at $t = 0$. “ $\chi(\omega)$ are the characteristics at the point ω of the field”.

Motivation

□ Given an initial distribution, can we transport it along a controlled SDE to a given target?

□ **Example :**

- Let $\chi \sim \mu$ be the distribution of agronomic characteristics in a field at $t = 0$. “ $\chi(\omega)$ are the characteristics at the point ω of the field”.
- Using a control ν (fertilization, etc.) leads to a cost
$$C^\nu = \int_0^{\cdot} c(\nu_s) ds.$$

Motivation

□ Given an initial distribution, can we transport it along a controlled SDE to a given target?

□ **Example :**

- Let $\chi \sim \mu$ be the distribution of agronomic characteristics in a field at $t = 0$. “ $\chi(\omega)$ are the characteristics at the point ω of the field”.
- Using a control ν (fertilization, etc.) leads to a cost
$$C^\nu = \int_0^\cdot c(\nu_s) ds.$$
- We want the terminal distribution of the characteristics $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^\nu}^B$ to belong to G .

Motivation

□ Given an initial distribution, can we transport it along a controlled SDE to a given target?

□ **Example :**

- Let $\chi \sim \mu$ be the distribution of agronomic characteristics in a field at $t = 0$. “ $\chi(\omega)$ are the characteristics at the point ω of the field”.
- Using a control ν (fertilization, etc.) leads to a cost $C^\nu = \int_0^\cdot c(\nu_s) ds$.
- We want the terminal distribution of the characteristics $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^\nu}^B$ to belong to G .
- They evolve according to

$$X^\nu = \chi + \int_0^\cdot b_s(X_s^\nu, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^\nu}^B, \nu_s) ds + \int_0^\cdot a_s(X_s^\nu, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^\nu}^B, \nu_s) dB_s.$$

Motivation

□ Given an initial distribution, can we transport it along a controlled SDE to a given target?

□ **Example :**

- Let $\chi \sim \mu$ be the distribution of agronomic characteristics in a field at $t = 0$. " $\chi(\omega)$ are the characteristics at the point ω of the field".
- Using a control ν (fertilization, etc.) leads to a cost $C^\nu = \int_0^\cdot c(\nu_s) ds$.
- We want the terminal distribution of the characteristics $\mathbb{P}_{X_T^\nu}^B$ to belong to G .
- They evolve according to

$$X^\nu = \chi + \int_0^\cdot b_s(X_s^\nu, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^\nu}^B, \nu_s) ds + \int_0^\cdot a_s(X_s^\nu, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^\nu}^B, \nu_s) dB_s.$$

- Initial required funds :

$$v(\mu) := \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \nu \text{ s.t. } Y_T^{y,\nu} \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_T^\nu}^B \in G\}$$

with $Y^{y,\nu} = y - C^\nu$.

Quenched SDEs

□ We consider the dynamics :

$$X_s^{t,\chi,\nu} = \chi + \int_t^s b_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) ds + \int_0^s a_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) dB_s$$

with the usual Lipschitz continuity properties (w.r.t. the 2-Wasserstein distance).

Quenched SDEs

□ We consider the dynamics :

$$X_s^{t,\chi,\nu} = \chi + \int_t^s b_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) ds + \int_0^s a_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) dB_s$$

with the usual Lipschitz continuity properties (w.r.t. the 2-Wasserstein distance).

□ (B, ξ) is the canonical variable on $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \times [0, 1]^d$ endowed with Wiener \otimes Uniform. \mathbb{P}^B denotes the law given B .

Quenched SDEs

□ We consider the dynamics :

$$X_s^{t,\chi,\nu} = \chi + \int_t^s b_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) ds + \int_0^s a_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) dB_s$$

with the usual Lipschitz continuity properties (w.r.t. the 2-Wasserstein distance).

□ (B, ξ) is the canonical variable on $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \times [0, 1]^d$ endowed with Wiener \otimes Uniform. \mathbb{P}^B denotes the law given B .

□ ν is U -valued, adapted to the completed filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$ generated by (B, ξ) (could restrict to the filtration generated by B , but results are slightly different). $\chi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$.

Quenched SDEs

- We consider the dynamics :

$$X_s^{t,\chi,\nu} = \chi + \int_t^s b_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) ds + \int_0^s a_s(X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}, \mathbb{P}_{X_s^{t,\chi,\nu}}^B, \nu_s) dB_s$$

with the usual Lipschitz continuity properties (w.r.t. the 2-Wasserstein distance).

- (B, ξ) is the canonical variable on $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \times [0, 1]^d$ endowed with Wiener \otimes Uniform. \mathbb{P}^B denotes the law given B .
- ν is U -valued, adapted to the completed filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$ generated by (B, ξ) (could restrict to the filtration generated by B , but results are slightly different). $\chi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$.
- Existence and uniqueness are standard, and the solution can be approximated by a particles system.

Problem formulation

- Let G be a closed element of the set \mathcal{P}_2 of square integrable laws on \mathbb{R}^d .

Problem formulation

□ Let G be a closed element of the set \mathcal{P}_2 of square integrable laws on \mathbb{R}^d .

□ The reachability set at t is defined as :

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \exists (\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G \right\},$$

where $X_t^2 = L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ and \mathcal{U} is the set of U -valued adapted processes.

Problem formulation

□ Let G be a closed element of the set \mathcal{P}_2 of square integrable laws on \mathbb{R}^d .

□ The reachability set at t is defined as :

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \exists (\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G \right\},$$

where $X_t^2 = L^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ and \mathcal{U} is the set of U -valued adapted processes.

□ Independence w.r.t. the representent : $\mu \in \mathcal{V}(t) \Leftrightarrow \forall \chi \in X_t^2 \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu, \exists \nu \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_{X_T^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in G$.

Dynamic programming

□ GDP : Fix $t \in [0, T]$ and θ a st. time with values in $[t, T]$. Then,

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \exists (\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B \in \mathcal{V}(\theta) \right\}.$$

Dynamic programming

□ GDP : Fix $t \in [0, T]$ and θ a st. time with values in $[t, T]$. Then,

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : \exists (\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{P}_\chi^B = \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t, \chi, \nu}}^B \in \mathcal{V}(\theta) \right\}.$$

□ In our exemple :

(GDP1) If $y > v(t, \mu)$, then there exists $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$Y_\theta^{t, y, \nu} \geq v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t, \chi, \nu}}^B)$$

(GDP2) If $y < v(t, \mu)$, then for all $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[Y_\theta^{t, y, \nu} > v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^{t, \chi, \nu}}^B) \right] < 1.$$

□ In general : set $v(t, \mu) = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}(t)}(\mu)$

(GDP1) If $v(t, \mu) = 0$, then there exists $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B) = 0$$

(GDP2) If $v(t, \mu) = 0$, then for all $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B) = 0 \right] < 1.$$

□ In general : set $v(t, \mu) = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}(t)}(\mu)$

(GDP1) If $v(t, \mu) = 0$, then there exists $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B) = 0$$

(GDP2) If $v(t, \mu) = 0$, then for all $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B) = 0 \right] < 1.$$

□ If v was smooth, this would mean

$$\sup_{u: \text{vol of } v \text{ at } t \text{ (given } \nu_t = u) = 0} (-\text{drift of } v \text{ at } t \text{ given } \nu_t = u) = 0$$

□ In general : set $v(t, \mu) = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}(t)}(\mu)$

(GDP1) If $v(t, \mu) = 0$, then there exists $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B) = 0$$

(GDP2) If $v(t, \mu) = 0$, then for all $(\chi, \nu) \in X_t^2 \times \mathcal{U}$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[v(\theta, \mathbb{P}_{X_\theta^t, \chi, \nu}^B) = 0 \right] < 1.$$

□ If v was smooth, this would mean

$$\sup_{u: \text{vol of } \nu \text{ at } t \text{ (given } \nu_t = u) = 0} (-\text{drift of } v \text{ at } t \text{ given } \nu_t = u) = 0$$

⇒ Appeal to the notion of viscosity solutions.

Derivatives on the lift space

- Use the classical approach initiated by Lions : lift to L^2 .

Derivatives on the lift space

- Use the classical approach initiated by Lions : lift to L^2 .
- For a function $w : \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define its lift as the function W from L^2 to \mathbb{R} such that

$$W(X) = w(\mathbb{P}_X), \quad \text{for all } X \in L^2.$$

Derivatives on the lift space

- Use the classical approach initiated by Lions : lift to L^2 .
- For a function $w : \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define its lift as the function W from L^2 to \mathbb{R} such that

$$W(X) = w(\mathbb{P}_X), \quad \text{for all } X \in L^2.$$

- We say that w is C^1 if W admits a continuous Fréchet derivative. In this case, there exists a measurable map $\partial_\mu w(\mathbb{P}_X) : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$DW(X) = \partial_\mu w(\mathbb{P}_X)(X).$$

Derivatives on the lift space

- Use the classical approach initiated by Lions : lift to L^2 .
- For a function $w : \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define its lift as the function W from L^2 to \mathbb{R} such that

$$W(X) = w(\mathbb{P}_X), \quad \text{for all } X \in L^2.$$

- We say that w is C^1 if W admits a continuous Fréchet derivative. In this case, there exists a measurable map $\partial_\mu w(\mathbb{P}_X) : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$DW(X) = \partial_\mu w(\mathbb{P}_X)(X).$$

- One can then define $\partial_\mu^2 w(\mu)(x, x')$ and $\partial_x \partial_\mu w(\mu)(x)$. If they are continuous and “bounded”, we say that $w \in C_b^2$.

Itô's formula

□ Set $\bar{w}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = w(\mu_X)$ with $\mu_X := N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{w}(x+h) &= W(X+H) = W(X) + \langle DW(X), H \rangle + o(|H|) \\ &= \bar{w}(x) + \langle \partial_\mu w(\mu_X)(X), H \rangle + o(|H|) \\ &= \bar{w}(x) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_\mu w(\mu_X)(x_i) h_i + o(|h|).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\partial_{x_i} \bar{w}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \partial_\mu w(\mu_X)(x_i).$$

□ Consider iid copies (with respect to ξ)

$$X^\ell = \chi^\ell + \int_t^\cdot b_s^\ell ds + \int_t^\cdot a_s^\ell dB_s,$$

and let $\bar{\mu}^N$ be the empirical measure. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} w(s, \bar{\mu}_s^N) &= w(t, \bar{\mu}_t^N) + \int_t^s \partial_t w(r, \bar{\mu}_r^N) dr + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \int_t^s \partial_\mu w(r, \bar{\mu}_r^N)(X_r^\ell) b_r^\ell dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \int_t^s \partial_\mu w(r, \bar{\mu}_r^N)(X_r^\ell) a_r^\ell dB_r \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \int_t^s \text{Tr} [\partial_x \partial_\mu w(r, \bar{\mu}_r^N)(X_r^\ell) a_r^\ell (a_r^\ell)^\top] dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{\ell, n=1}^N \int_t^s \text{Tr} [\partial_\mu^2 w(r, \bar{\mu}_r^N)(X_r^\ell, X_r^n) a_r^\ell (a_r^n)^\top] dr. \end{aligned}$$

□ Take \mathbb{E}_B and let $N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(s, \mathbb{P}_{X_s}^B) &= w(t, \mathbb{P}_X^B) \\
 &+ \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[\partial_t w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B) + \partial_\mu w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r) b_r \right] dr \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[\text{Tr} \left(\partial_x \partial_\mu w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r) a_r a_r^\top \right) \right] dr \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_B \left[\text{Tr} \left(\partial_\mu^2 w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r, \tilde{X}_r) a_r \tilde{a}_r^\top \right) \right] \right] dr \\
 &+ \int_t^s \mathbb{E}_B \left[\partial_\mu w(r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B)(X_r) a_r(X_r, \mathbb{P}_{X_r}^B, \nu_r) \right] dB_r
 \end{aligned}$$

where “tilde” stands for independent copy (given B).

HJB formulation

□ The value function $v : \mu \mapsto 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a viscosity solution (in the discontinuous viscosity solution sense) of

$$-\partial_t v(t, \mu) + H(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu \partial_x v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu^2 v(t, \mu)) = 0,$$

in which

$$H(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu \partial_x v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu^2 v(t, \mu)) := \sup_{u \in N(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu))} (-L_t^u[v](\mu))$$

with

$$N(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)) := \left\{ u \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^d; U) : \int \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)(x) a_t(x, \mu, u(x)) \mu(dx) = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_t^u[v](\mu) := & \int \int \left\{ b_t(x, \mu, u(x))^\top \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [\partial_x \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)(x) (a_t a_t^\top)(x, \mu, u(x))] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [\partial_\mu^2 v(t, \mu)(x, \tilde{x}) a_t(x, \mu, u(x)) a_t^\top(\tilde{x}, \mu, u(\tilde{x}))] \right\} \mu(dx) \mu(d\tilde{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Back to the example

□ The function

$$v(0, \mu) := \inf \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \nu \text{ s.t. } Y_T^{y, \nu} \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_{X_T^y}^B \in G\},$$

with $Y^{y, \nu} = y - \int_0^\cdot c(\nu_s) ds$, is a viscosity solution of

$$-\partial_t v(t, \mu) + H(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu \partial_x v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu^2 v(t, \mu)) = 0,$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu \partial_x v(t, \mu), \partial_\mu^2 v(t, \mu)) \\ := \sup_{u \in N(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu))} \left(-c(u) - L_t^{X, u}[v](\mu) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$N(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)) := \left\{ u \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^d; U) : \int \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)(x) a_t(x, \mu, u(x)) \mu(dx) = 0 \right\}$$

The case of a global control

□ If ν is required to be adapted to the filtration of the Brownian motion, we have the same formulation but with

$$N(t, \mu, \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)) := \left\{ u \in \mathbb{U} : \int \partial_\mu v(t, \mu)(x) a_t(x, \mu, u) \mu(dx) = 0 \right\}.$$

Thank you !



Bruno Bouchard, Romuald Elie, and Nizar Touzi.

Stochastic target problems with controlled loss.

SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 48(5) :3123–3150, 2009.



Rainer Buckdahn, Juan Li, Shige Peng, and Catherine Rainer.

Mean-field stochastic differential equations and associated pdes.

The Annals of Probability, 45(2) :824–878, 2017.



Pierre Cardaliaguet.

Notes on Mean Field Games (from P.-L. Lions' lectures at Collège de France).

<https://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~cardalia/MFG20130420.pdf>, 2012.



René Carmona and François Delarue.

The master equation for large population equilibriums.

In *Stochastic Analysis and Applications 2014*, pages 77–128. Springer, 2014.



Jean-François Chassagneux, Dan Crisan, and François Delarue.

A probabilistic approach to classical solutions of the master equation for large population equilibria.

arXiv preprint arXiv :1411.3009, 2014.



Andrea Cosso and Huyen Pham.

Zero-sum stochastic differential games of generalized mckean-vlasov type.

arXiv preprint arXiv :1803.07329, 2018.



Benjamin Jourdain, Sylvie Méléard, and Wojbor A. Woyczynski.

Nonlinear sdes driven by lévy processes and related pdes.

Latin American Journal of Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 4 :1–29, 2008.



H. Mete Soner and Nizar Touzi.

Dynamic programming for stochastic target problems and geometric flows.

Journal of the European Mathematical Society, 4(3) :201–236, 2002.