

A unified approach to *a priori* estimates for supersolutions of BSDEs in general filtrations

B. Bouchard, D. Possamai, X. Tan, and C. Zhou

Ceremade - Univ. Paris-Dauphine

International Conference on Stochastic Analysis and
Applications Hammamet, 18-24 October 2015

Aim : a-priori estimates for super-solutions

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T g_s(Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s - \int_t^T dM_s + \int_t^T dK_s$$

when

- $\xi \in \mathbf{L}^p$, $g(0) \in \mathbf{L}^p(dt \times d\mathbb{P})$, $p > 1$, g Lip. in (y, z) (can certainly consider extensions).
- Filtration satisfies usual assumptions.

Here

- $Y \in \mathbf{S}_p$: càdlàg adapted, $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{[0, T]} |Y|^p] < \infty$.
- $Z \in \mathbf{H}_p$: $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] < \infty$
- $M \in \mathbf{M}_p$: càdlàg mart., orthogonal to W , $\mathbb{E}[|M|_T^{\frac{p}{2}}] < \infty$
- $K \in \mathbf{I}_p$: non-decreasing predictable, $\mathbb{E}[|K_T|^p] < \infty$.

Motivation

Obtain a general Doob-Meyer type decomposition for
 g -supermartingale in \mathbf{L}_p .

See X. Tan's and Y. Ouknine's talks later in this week.

On \mathbf{L}^p solutions, see : Briand, Delyon, Hu, Pardoux, and Stoica (02),
Kruse and Popier (14), Klimsiak (13, 14).

Usual approach goes wrong

For \mathbf{L}_2 estimates : apply Itô's Lemma to $e^{\alpha t} Y_t^2$, for some $\alpha > 0$, and use

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T g_s(Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s - \int_t^T dM_s + \int_t^T dK_s.$$

Problem : If \mathbb{F} is not quasi left-continuous, then $[M, K]$ shows up !

The case of (classical) super-martingales

Lemma [Meyer 68] For all $p > 1$, $\exists C_p$ s.t. for all strong (l  dl  g) supermartingale $X \in \mathbf{S}_p$ with decomposition

$$X_t = X_0 + M_t - A_t,$$

one has

$$\|A\|_{\mathbf{I}_p} \leq C_p \|X\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}.$$

Proof for $p = 2$, A continuous, $X_t = \mathbb{E}[A_T - A_t | \mathcal{F}_t]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\|_{\mathbf{I}_2}^2 &= \mathbb{E}[A_T^2] = \mathbb{E}[2 \int_0^T (A_T - A_t) dA_t] = \mathbb{E}[2 \int_0^T X_t dA_t] \\ &\leq 2 \|X\|_{\mathbf{S}_2} \|A\|_{\mathbf{I}_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Extension to super-solutions

Assume that

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T g_s(Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s - \int_t^T dM_s + \int_t^T dK_s$$

with

- $\xi \in \mathbf{L}^p$, $g(0) \in \mathbf{L}^p(dt \times d\mathbb{P})$, $p > 1$.
- Filtration satisfies usual assumptions.

Then,

$$\|Z\|_{\mathbf{H}_p}^p + \|M\|_{\mathbf{M}_p}^p + \|K\|_{\mathbf{I}_p}^p \leq C_p \left(\|\xi\|_{\mathbf{L}_b}^p + \|Y\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p + \|g(0)\|_{\mathbf{H}_p}^p \right).$$

Difference of super-solutions

Consider two super-solutions $(Y^i, Z^i, M^i)_{i=1,2}$ associated to $(g^i)_{i=1,2}$ and $(\xi^i)_{i=1,2}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta Z\|_{\mathbf{H}_p}^p + \|\delta(M - K)\|_{\mathbf{M}_p}^p \\ & \leq C \left(\|\delta\xi\|_{\mathbf{L}_p}^p + \|\delta Y\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^p + \|\delta Y\|_{\mathbf{S}_p}^{p/2 \wedge (p-1)} + \|\delta g(Y^1, Z^1)\|_{\mathbf{H}_p}^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Example : reflected BSDE

Let S be a càdlàg process s.t. $S^+ := S \vee 0 \in \mathbf{S}_p$. Then, existence and uniqueness holds in $\mathbf{S}_p \times \mathbf{H}_p \times \mathbf{M}_p$ for

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T g_s(Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s \cdot dW_s - \int_t^T dM_s + \int_t^T dK_s,$$

s.t.

$$\begin{cases} Y \geq S, & \text{on } [0, T], \\ \int_0^T (Y_{s-} - S_{s-}) dK_s = 0. \end{cases}$$