Weak Dynamic Programming for Viscosity Solutions

B. Bouchard

Ceremade, Univ. Paris-Dauphine, and, Crest, Ensae

May 2009

Joint work with Nizar Touzi, CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

• Consider the control problem in standard form

$$V(t,x)$$
 := $\sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}} J(t,x;
u)$, $J(t,x;
u) := \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_T^{
u})|X_t^{
u}=x
ight]$

◆□ > < 個 > < E > < E > E の < @</p>

• Consider the control problem in standard form

$$V(t,x) := \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} J(t,x;\nu) , \quad J(t,x;\nu) := \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_T^{\nu})|X_t^{\nu}=x\right]$$

• To derive the related HJB equation, one uses the DPP

$$^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau, X_{\tau}^{\nu}) | X_{t}^{\nu} = x \right]^{\prime\prime}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Consider the control problem in standard form

$$V(t,x) := \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} J(t,x;\nu) , \quad J(t,x;\nu) := \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_T^{\nu})|X_t^{\nu}=x\right]$$

• To derive the related HJB equation, one uses the DPP

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E}\left[V(\tau, X_{\tau}^{\nu}) | X_t^{\nu} = x\right]^{\prime\prime}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Usually not that easy to prove

• Consider the control problem in standard form

$$V(t,x) \hspace{2mm} := \hspace{2mm} \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}} J(t,x;
u) \hspace{2mm}, \hspace{2mm} J(t,x;
u) \hspace{2mm} := \hspace{2mm} \mathbb{E} \left[f(X^{
u}_T) | X^{
u}_t = x
ight]$$

To derive the related HJB equation, one uses the DPP

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau, X^{\nu}_{\tau}) | X^{\nu}_t = x
ight]^{\prime\prime}$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうめん

- Usually not that easy to prove
- a Heavy measurable selection argument? $(t,x) \mapsto \nu^{\varepsilon}(t,x)$ s.t. $J(t,x;\nu^{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \ge V(t,x) - \varepsilon$

• Consider the control problem in standard form

$$V(t,x) \hspace{2mm} := \hspace{2mm} \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}} J(t,x;
u) \hspace{2mm}, \hspace{2mm} J(t,x;
u) \hspace{2mm} := \hspace{2mm} \mathbb{E} \left[f(X^{
u}_T) | X^{
u}_t = x
ight]$$

To derive the related HJB equation, one uses the DPP

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E}\left[V(\tau, X^{\nu}_{\tau}) | X^{\nu}_t = x
ight]^{\prime\prime}$$

- Usually not that easy to prove
- a Heavy measurable selection argument?

 $(t,x)\mapsto
u^arepsilon(t,x) ext{ s.t. } J(t,x;
u^arepsilon(t,x))\geq V(t,x)-arepsilon$

b Continuity of the value function $?(t, x) \in B_{r_i}(t_i, x_i) \mapsto \nu^{\varepsilon}(t_i, x_i)$ s.t. $J(t, x; \nu^{\varepsilon}(t_i, x_i)) \ge J(t_i, x_i; \nu^{\varepsilon}(t_i, x_i)) - \varepsilon \ge V(t_i, x_i) - 2\varepsilon \ge V(t, x) - 3\varepsilon$

• Consider the control problem in standard form

$$V(t,x) := \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}} J(t,x;
u) , \ \ J(t,x;
u) := \mathbb{E}\left[f(X^{
u}_T)|X^{
u}_t = x
ight]$$

To derive the related HJB equation, one uses the DPP

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau, X_{\tau}^{\nu}) | X_t^{\nu} = x
ight]^{\prime\prime}$$

- Usually not that easy to prove
- a Heavy measurable selection argument?

 $(t,x)\mapsto
u^arepsilon(t,x) ext{ s.t. } J(t,x;
u^arepsilon(t,x))\geq V(t,x)-arepsilon$

b Continuity of the value function $?(t, x) \in B_{r_i}(t_i, x_i) \mapsto \nu^{\varepsilon}(t_i, x_i)$ s.t. $J(t, x; \nu^{\varepsilon}(t_i, x_i)) \ge J(t_i, x_i; \nu^{\varepsilon}(t_i, x_i)) - \varepsilon \ge V(t_i, x_i) - 2\varepsilon \ge V(t, x) - 3\varepsilon$

Our aim is to provide a <u>weak version</u>, much easier to prove.

Framework

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … 釣�?

• $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}, \mathbb{P}), T > 0.$

Framework

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}, \mathbb{P}), T > 0.$
- Controls :

 \mathcal{U}_0 , a collection of \mathbb{R}^d -valued progressively measurable processes.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ 日 ・ うらぐ

Framework

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}, \mathbb{P}), T > 0.$
- Controls :

 \mathcal{U}_0 , a collection of \mathbb{R}^d -valued progressively measurable processes.

• Controlled process :

$$(\tau,\xi;\nu) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{U}_0 \longmapsto X^{\nu}_{\tau,\xi} \in \mathbb{H}^0_{\mathrm{rcll}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

with $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \{(\tau,\xi) : \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{[0,T]} \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{L}^0_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}.$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ 日 ・ うらぐ

Reward and Value functions

• Reward function

$$J(t,x;\nu) := \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)\right)\right]$$

defined for controls $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ in

$$\mathcal{U} := \Big\{ \nu \in \mathcal{U}_0 : \mathbb{E} \big[|f(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T))| \big] < \infty \ \forall \ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \Big\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … 釣�?

Reward and Value functions

• Reward function

$$J(t,x;\nu) := \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)\right)\right]$$

defined for controls $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ in

$$\mathcal{U} := \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{U}_0 : \mathbb{E}\left[|f(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T))| \right] < \infty \ \forall \ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \right\}.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ 日 ・ うらぐ

 Admissibility : a control *ν* ∈ *U* is *t*-admissible if it is independent of *F_t*. We denote by *U_t* the collection of such processes.

Reward and Value functions

• Reward function

$$J(t,x;\nu) := \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)\right)\right]$$

defined for controls $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ in

$$\mathcal{U} := \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{U}_0 : \mathbb{E}\left[|f(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T))| \right] < \infty \ \forall \ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \right\}.$$

- Admissibility : a control *ν* ∈ *U* is *t*-admissible if it is independent of *F_t*. We denote by *U_t* the collection of such processes.
- Value function :

$$V(t,x) := \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} J(t,x;\nu) \quad \text{for} \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ 日 ・ うらぐ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ 日 ・ うらぐ

For all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t,x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t,x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .

A2 (Causality)
$$\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t : \nu = \tilde{\nu} \text{ on } A \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X_{t,x}^{\nu} = X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}} \text{ on } A.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

- A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t,x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .
- **A2** (Causality) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t : \nu = \tilde{\nu} \text{ on } A \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X_{t,x}^{\nu} = X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}} \text{ on } A.$

A3 (Stability under concatenation) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t, \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,\mathcal{T}]}^t :$ $\nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,\mathcal{T}]} \in \mathcal{U}_t .$

- For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:
- A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t,x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .
- **A2** (Causality) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t : \nu = \tilde{\nu} \text{ on } A \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X_{t,x}^{\nu} = X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}} \text{ on } A.$
- **A3** (Stability under concatenation) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t, \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t :$ $\nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,T]} \in \mathcal{U}_t$.
- A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$:

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

- A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t,x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .
- **A2** (Causality) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t : \nu = \tilde{\nu} \text{ on } A \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X_{t,x}^{\nu} = X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}} \text{ on } A.$
- **A3** (Stability under concatenation) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t, \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t :$ $\nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,T]} \in \mathcal{U}_t .$
- A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$: a. For \mathbb{P} -a.e $\omega \in \Omega$, $\exists \ \tilde{\nu}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{U}_{\theta(\omega)}$ s.t.

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) \leq J(\theta(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega); \tilde{\nu}_{\omega})$

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

- A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t,x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .
- **A2** (Causality) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t : \nu = \tilde{\nu} \text{ on } A \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X_{t,x}^{\nu} = X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}} \text{ on } A.$
- **A3** (Stability under concatenation) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t, \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t :$ $\nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,T]} \in \mathcal{U}_t .$

A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) ∀ θ ∈ T^t_[t,T]:
a. For P-a.e ω ∈ Ω, ∃ ν̃_ω ∈ U_{θ(ω)} s.t.

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\mathcal{T})\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) \leq J(\theta(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega); \tilde{\nu}_{\omega})$

b. $\forall t \leq s \leq T$, $\theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,s]}^t$, $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_s$, and $\bar{\nu} := \nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,T]}$:

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\bar{\nu}}(\mathcal{T})\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) = J(\theta(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega);\tilde{\nu}) \text{ for } \mathbb{P}-\text{a.e. } \omega \in \Omega.$

• Aim : Prove the DPP for $au \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ (independent on \mathcal{F}_t)

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau))\right]^{\prime\prime}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• Aim : Prove the DPP for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ (independent on \mathcal{F}_t)

$$V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) \right]^{\prime \prime}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Easy inequality : $V(t,x) \leq \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) \right]$

• Aim : Prove the DPP for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ (independent on \mathcal{F}_t)

$$V'V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) \right]''$$

Easy inequality : V(t,x) ≤ sup_{ν∈Ut} ℝ [V(τ, X^ν_{t,x}(τ))]
 Proof :

$$V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T))|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]\right]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Aim : Prove the DPP for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ (independent on \mathcal{F}_t)

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau))\right]^{\prime\prime}$$

Easy inequality : V(t,x) ≤ sup_{ν∈Ut} ℝ [V(τ, X^ν_{t,x}(τ))]
 Proof :

$$V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau} \right] \right]$$

where for some $\tilde{\nu}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{U}_{\tau(\omega)}$

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T))|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right](\omega) \leq J(\tau(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)(\omega); \tilde{\nu}_{\omega})$

• Aim : Prove the DPP for $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ (independent on \mathcal{F}_t)

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau))\right]^{\prime\prime}$$

Easy inequality : V(t,x) ≤ sup_{ν∈Ut} ℝ [V(τ, X^ν_{t,x}(τ))]
 Proof :

$$V(t,x) = \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{t,x}^{
u}(T))|\mathcal{F}_{ au}
ight]
ight]$$

where for some $ilde{
u}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{U}_{ au(\omega)}$

$$egin{array}{lll} \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{t,x}^{
u}(\mathcal{T}))|\mathcal{F}_{ au}
ight](\omega) &\leq & J(au(\omega),X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)(\omega); ilde{
u}_{\omega}) \ &\leq & V(au(\omega),X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)(\omega)) \end{array}$$

• Aim : Prove the DPP for $au \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ (independent on \mathcal{F}_t)

$${}^{\prime\prime}V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau))\right]^{\prime\prime}$$

• Easy inequality :

$$V(t,x) \leq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(au, X^{
u}_{t,x}(au))
ight]$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

• Aim : Prove the DPP for $\tau \in \mathcal{I}^t_{[t,T]}$ (independent on \mathcal{F}_t)

$$V(t,x) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) \right]^{\prime \prime}$$

• Easy inequality :

$$V(t,x) \leq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(au, X_{t,x}^{
u}(au))
ight]$$

More difficult one :

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(au, X_{t,x}^{
u}(au))
ight]$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Proof : Using Vitali's covering Lemma, find $(t_i, x_i, r_i, \nu_i)_{i \ge 1}$ such that,

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Proof : Using Vitali's covering Lemma, find $(t_i, x_i, r_i, \nu_i)_{i \ge 1}$ such that,

$$J(t, x; \nu^{i}) + \varepsilon \ge J(t_{i}, x_{i}; \nu^{i}) \ge V(t_{i}, x_{i}) - \varepsilon \ge V(t, x) - 2\varepsilon,$$

on $(t_{i} - r_{i}, t_{i}] \times B_{r_{i}}(x_{i})$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Proof : Using Vitali's covering Lemma, find $(t_i, x_i, r_i, \nu_i)_{i \ge 1}$ such that,

$$J(t,x;
u^i)+arepsilon\geq J(t_i,x_i;
u^i)\geq V(t_i,x_i)-arepsilon\geq V(t,x)-2arepsilon,$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうめん

on $(t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_{r_i}(x_i)$ and also on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, a partition of $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof : Using Vitali's covering Lemma, find $(t_i, x_i, r_i, \nu_i)_{i \ge 1}$ such that,

$$J(t,x;
u^i)+arepsilon\geq J(t_i,x_i;
u^i)\geq V(t_i,x_i)-arepsilon\geq V(t,x)-2arepsilon,$$

on $(t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_{r_i}(x_i)$ and also on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, a partition of $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Given $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$, define

$$u^arepsilon \ := \ \mathbf{1}_{[t, au]}
u + \mathbf{1}_{(au, au]} \sum_{i\geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(au, X^
u_{t, ax}(au))
u^i \; .$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうめん

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … 釣�?

Proof : Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] = \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right)$$

Proof : Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] &= \sum_{i\geq 1}J(\tau,X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau);\nu^{i})\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}\left(\tau,X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i\geq 1}\left(V(\tau,X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau))-3\varepsilon\right)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}\left(\tau,X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … 釣�?

Proof : Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] &= \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \left(V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &= V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … 釣�?

Proof : Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] &= \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \left(V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &= V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon \end{split}$$

and

$$V(t,x) \geq J(t,x;\nu^{\varepsilon})$$

Proof : Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] &= \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \left(V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &= V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} V(t,x) & \geq & J(t,x;\nu^{\varepsilon}) \\ & = & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right]\right] \end{array}$$

Proof : Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right] &= \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \left(V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)\right) \\ &= V(\tau, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\tau)) - 3\varepsilon \end{split}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} V(t,x) &\geq & J(t,x;
u^arepsilon) \ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{
u^arepsilon}(\mathcal{T})
ight)|\mathcal{F}_{ au}
ight]
ight] \ &\geq & \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(au,X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)
ight)
ight] - 3arepsilon \,. \end{aligned}$$
The lower-semicontinuity of J(·; ν) is very important in this proof

◆□ > < 個 > < E > < E > E 9 < 0</p>

 The lower-semicontinuity of J(·; ν) is very important in this proof : It is in general not difficult to obtain.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 The lower-semicontinuity of J(·; ν) is very important in this proof : It is in general not difficult to obtain.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

• The upper-semicontinuity of V is also very important

- The lower-semicontinuity of J(·; ν) is very important in this proof : It is in general not difficult to obtain.
- The upper-semicontinuity of V is also very important : It is much more difficult to obtain, especially when controls are not uniformly bounded (singular control).

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ = ・ ・ 日 ・ うへつ

Observation

To derive the PDE in the viscosity sense, try to obtain :

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(au, X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)
ight]$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Observation

To derive the PDE in the viscosity sense, try to obtain :

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(au, X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)
ight]$$

but one only needs :

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(au, X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)) \right]$$

for all smooth function such that (t, x) achieves a minimum of $V - \varphi$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Observation

To derive the PDE in the viscosity sense, try to obtain :

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[V(au, X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)
ight]$$

but one only needs :

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(au, X_{t,x}^{
u}(au)) \right]$$

for all smooth function such that (t, x) achieves a minimum of $V - \varphi$. φ being smooth it should be much easier to prove!!

Assume that for all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$

$$\liminf_{(t',x')\to(t,x),\ t'\leq t}J(t',x';\nu)\geq J(t,x;\nu).$$

Assume that for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$

$$\liminf_{(t',x')\to(t,x),\ t'\leq t}J(t',x';\nu)\geq J(t,x;\nu).$$

Theorem : Fix $\{\theta^{\nu}, \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t\} \subset \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ a family of stopping times. Then, for any upper-semicontinuous function φ such that $V \geq \varphi$ on $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}^{\varphi}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[arphi(heta^
u, X^
u_{t,x}(heta^
u))
ight],$$

where $\mathcal{U}_t^{\varphi} = \{ \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t : \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))^+ \right] < \infty \text{ or } \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))^- \right] < \infty \}.$

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

Assume that for all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_t$

$$\liminf_{(t',x')\to(t,x),\ t'\leq t}J(t',x';\nu)\geq J(t,x;\nu).$$

Theorem : Fix $\{\theta^{\nu}, \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t\} \subset \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ a family of stopping times. Then, for any upper-semicontinuous function φ such that $V \geq \varphi$ on $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}^{arphi}_t} \mathbb{E}\left[arphi(heta^
u,X^
u_{t,x}(heta^
u))
ight],$$

where $\mathcal{U}_t^{\varphi} = \{ \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t : \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))^+ \right] < \infty \text{ or } \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))^- \right] < \infty \}.$ **Remark** : The minimum can be taken to be local if $\{ (\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})), \ \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t \}$ is bounded in \mathbb{L}^{∞} .

Assume that for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$

$$\liminf_{(t',x')\to(t,x),\ t'\leq t}J(t',x';\nu)\geq J(t,x;\nu).$$

Theorem : Fix $\{\theta^{\nu}, \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t\} \subset \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$ a family of stopping times. Then, for any upper-semicontinuous function φ such that $V \geq \varphi$ on $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t^{arphi}} \mathbb{E}\left[arphi(heta^
u, X_{t,x}^
u(heta^
u))
ight],$$

where $\mathcal{U}_t^{\varphi} = \{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t : \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))^+\right] < \infty \text{ or } \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))^-\right] < \infty\}.$ **Remark** : The minimum can be taken to be local if $\{(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})), \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{L}^{∞} . In practice φ is taken to be $C^{1,2}$.

Proof.

Proof. For $i \ge 1$, fix $r_i > 0$ and $\nu^i \in \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$ such that

$$J(t,x;\nu^{i})+\varepsilon \geq J(t_{i},x_{i};\nu^{i}) \geq V(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t,x)-2\varepsilon,$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, a partition of $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. For $i \ge 1$, fix $r_i > 0$ and $\nu^i \in \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$ such that

$$J(t,x;\nu^{i})+arepsilon\geq J(t_{i},x_{i};\nu^{i})\geq V(t_{i},x_{i})-arepsilon\geq arphi(t_{i},x_{i})-arepsilon\geq arphi(t,x)-2arepsilon,$$

on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, a partition of $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Given $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$, define

$$u^arepsilon \ := \ \mathbf{1}_{[t, heta^
u]}
u + \mathbf{1}_{(heta^
u, T]} \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(heta^
u, X^
u_{t,x}(heta^
u))
u^i \; .$$

Proof. For $i \ge 1$, fix $r_i > 0$ and $\nu^i \in \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$ such that

$$J(t,x;\nu^{i})+\varepsilon \geq J(t_{i},x_{i};\nu^{i}) \geq V(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t,x)-2\varepsilon,$$

on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, a partition of $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Given $\nu \in U_t$, define

$$u^arepsilon \ := \ \mathbf{1}_{[t, heta^
u]}
u + \mathbf{1}_{(heta^
u, T]} \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(heta^
u, X^
u_{t,x}(heta^
u))
u^i \; .$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{\nu}\right] = \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})\right)$$

Proof. For $i \ge 1$, fix $r_i > 0$ and $\nu^i \in \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$ such that

$$J(t,x;\nu^{i})+\varepsilon \geq J(t_{i},x_{i};\nu^{i}) \geq V(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t,x)-2\varepsilon,$$

on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, a partition of $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Given $\nu \in U_t$, define

$$u^arepsilon \ := \ \mathbf{1}_{[t, heta^
u]}
u + \mathbf{1}_{(heta^
u, T]} \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(heta^
u, X^
u_{t,x}(heta^
u))
u^i \; .$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{\nu}\right] &= \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \left(\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})) - 3\varepsilon\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})\right) \end{split}$$

Proof. For $i \ge 1$, fix $r_i > 0$ and $\nu^i \in \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$ such that

$$J(t,x;\nu^{i})+\varepsilon \geq J(t_{i},x_{i};\nu^{i}) \geq V(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t_{i},x_{i})-\varepsilon \geq \varphi(t,x)-2\varepsilon,$$

on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, a partition of $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Given $\nu \in U_t$, define

$$u^arepsilon \ := \ \mathbf{1}_{[t, heta^
u]}
u + \mathbf{1}_{(heta^
u,T]} \sum_{i\geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(heta^
u, X^
u_{t,x}(heta^
u))
u^i \; .$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu^{\varepsilon}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{\nu}\right] = \sum_{i\geq 1} J(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}); \nu^{i}) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})\right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \left(\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})) - 3\varepsilon\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}\left(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})\right)$$

$$= \varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu})) - 3\varepsilon$$

Proof. For $i \ge 1$, fix $r_i > 0$ and $\nu^i \in \mathcal{U}_{t_i}$ such that on $A_i := (t_i - r_i, t_i] \times B_i$, disjoint sets that cover $[t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Given $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$, define

$$u^arepsilon \ := \ \mathbf{1}_{[t, heta^
u]}
u + \mathbf{1}_{(heta^
u, au]} \sum_{i\geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_i}(heta^
u, X^
u_{t,x}(heta^
u))
u^i \ .$$

and

$$egin{aligned} V(t,x) &\geq & J(t,x;
u^arepsilon) \ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{
u^arepsilon}(T)
ight)|\mathcal{F}_{ heta}^
u
ight]
ight] \ &\geq & \mathbb{E}\left[arphi\left(heta^
u,X_{t,x}^
u(heta^
u)
ight)
ight] - 3arepsilon \ . \end{aligned}$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Using test functions makes the proof straightforward :

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t^{\varphi}} \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu}, X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))\right] \leq V(t,x)$$

Using test functions makes the proof straightforward :

$$\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{U}_t^\varphi}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta^\nu,X_{t,x}^\nu(\theta^\nu))\right]\leq V(t,x)\leq \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{U}_t}\mathbb{E}\left[V^*(\theta^\nu,X_{t,x}^\nu(\theta^\nu))\right]$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Using test functions makes the proof straightforward :

$$\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{U}_t^{\varphi}}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta^{\nu},X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))\right]\leq V(t,x)\leq \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{U}_t}\mathbb{E}\left[V^*(\theta^{\nu},X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}))\right]$$

Remark : If $\{X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta^{\nu}), \nu \in \mathcal{U}_t\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{L}^{∞} , one can approximate V_* from below by smooth functions and obtain :

 $\sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{U}_t}\mathbb{E}\left[V_*(\theta^\nu,X_{t,x}^\nu(\theta^\nu))\right]\leq V(t,x)\leq \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{U}_t}\mathbb{E}\left[V^*(\theta^\nu,X_{t,x}^\nu(\theta^\nu))\right]$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ = ・ ・ 日 ・ うへつ

Example : Framework

• Controlled process

$$dX(r) = \mu(X(r), \nu_r) dr + \sigma(X(r), \nu_r) dW_r$$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Example : Framework

• Controlled process

$$dX(r) = \mu(X(r), \nu_r) dr + \sigma(X(r), \nu_r) dW_r$$

• $\mathcal{U} =$ square integrable progressively measurable processes with values in $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Example : Framework

Controlled process

$$dX(r) = \mu(X(r), \nu_r) dr + \sigma(X(r), \nu_r) dW_r$$

• $\mathcal{U} =$ square integrable progressively measurable processes with values in $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• f is l.s.c with f^- with linear growth, μ and σ Lipschitz continuous.

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

For all
$$(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$$
 and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:
L.s.c. $(t', x') \rightarrow (t, x) \Rightarrow X_{t', x'}^{\nu}(T) \rightarrow X_{t, x}^{\nu}(T)$ in \mathbb{L}^2
 $\Rightarrow \liminf \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t', x'}^{\nu}(T)) \right] \ge \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t, x}^{\nu}(T)) \right].$

<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

For all
$$(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$$
 and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:
L.s.c. $(t', x') \to (t, x) \Rightarrow X_{t', x'}^{\nu}(T) \to X_{t, x}^{\nu}(T)$ in \mathbb{L}^2
 $\Rightarrow \liminf \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t', x'}^{\nu}(T)) \right] \ge \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t, x}^{\nu}(T)) \right].$
A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t, x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .

For all
$$(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$$
 and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:
L.s.c. $(t', x') \to (t, x) \Rightarrow X_{t', x'}^{\nu}(T) \to X_{t, x}^{\nu}(T)$ in \mathbb{L}^2
 $\Rightarrow \liminf \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t', x'}^{\nu}(T)) \right] \ge \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t, x}^{\nu}(T)) \right]$.
A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t, x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .
A2 (Causality) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t : \nu = \tilde{\nu}$ on $A \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X_{t, x}^{\nu} = X_{t, x}^{\tilde{\nu}}$ on A .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

For all
$$(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$$
 and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:
L.s.c. $(t', x') \to (t, x) \Rightarrow X_{t',x'}^{\nu}(T) \to X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)$ in \mathbb{L}^2
 $\Rightarrow \liminf \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t',x'}^{\nu}(T)) \right] \ge \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)) \right]$.
A1 (Independence) The process $X_{t,x}^{\nu}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_t .
A2 (Causality) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t : \nu = \tilde{\nu}$ on $A \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow X_{t,x}^{\nu} = X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}}$ on A .
A3 (Stability under concatenation) $\forall \ \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_t, \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t :$
 $\nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,T]} \in \mathcal{U}_t$.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … 釣�?

Example : Verification of the assumptions For all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$: A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t, T]}^t$:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$: a. For \mathbb{P} -a.e $\omega \in \Omega$, $\exists \ \tilde{\nu}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{U}_{\theta(\omega)}$ s.t.

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) \leq J(\theta(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega); \tilde{\nu}_{\omega})$

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \ \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,\mathcal{T}]}^t$: a. For \mathbb{P} -a.e $\omega \in \Omega, \exists \ \tilde{\nu}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{U}_{\theta(\omega)}$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\mathcal{T})\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) \leq J(\theta(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega); \tilde{\nu}_{\omega})$$

Proof. Canonical space : $W(\omega) = \omega$. Set $T_s(\omega) := (\omega_r - \omega_s)_{r \ge s}$ and $\omega^s := (\omega_{r \land s})_{r \ge 0}$.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\nu}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) = \int f\left(X_{\theta(\omega),X_{t,x}^{\nu(\omega^{\theta(\omega)}+\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\omega))}}(T)(\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\omega))\right)d\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\omega))$$

$$= \int f\left(X_{\theta(\omega),X_{t,x}^{\nu(\omega^{\theta(\omega)}+\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\tilde{\omega}))}}(T)(\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\tilde{\omega}))\right)d\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\omega})$$

$$= J(\theta(\omega),X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega);\tilde{\nu}_{\omega})$$

where, $\tilde{\nu}_{\omega}(\tilde{\omega}) := \nu(\omega^{\theta(\omega)} + \mathbf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\tilde{\omega})) \in \mathcal{U}_{\theta(\omega)}.$

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$:

b. $\forall t \leq s \leq T$, $\theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,s]}^t$, $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_s$, and $\bar{\nu} := \nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,T]}$:

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\bar{\nu}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) = J(\theta(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega); \tilde{\nu}) \text{ for } \mathbb{P}-\text{a.e. } \omega \in \Omega.$

For all $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{U}_t$:

A4 (Consistency with deterministic initial data) $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,T]}^t$:

b. $\forall t \leq s \leq T$, $\theta \in \mathcal{T}_{[t,s]}^t$, $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{U}_s$, and $\bar{\nu} := \nu \mathbf{1}_{[0,\theta]} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}_{(\theta,T]}$:

 $\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) = J(\theta(\omega), X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega); \tilde{\nu}) \text{ for } \mathbb{P}-\text{a.e. } \omega \in \Omega.$

(日) (伊) (日) (日) (日) (0) (0)

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) = \int f\left(X_{\theta(\omega),X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}(\omega^{\theta(\omega)}+\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\tilde{\omega}))}(T)(\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\tilde{\omega}))\right)d\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\omega}),$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{t,x}^{\tilde{\nu}}(T)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{\theta}\right](\omega) = \int f\left(X_{\theta(\omega),X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega)}^{\tilde{\nu}(\mathsf{T}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\tilde{\omega}))}(T)(\mathsf{T}_{\theta(\omega)}(\tilde{\omega}))\right)d\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\omega}) \\ = J(\theta(\omega),X_{t,x}^{\nu}(\theta)(\omega);\tilde{\nu}).$$

Example : Super-solution property

• Want to prove that V_* is a viscosity super-solution of

$$\inf_{u\in U}(-\mathcal{L}^u V_*)\geq 0$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

Example : Super-solution property

• Want to prove that V_* is a viscosity super-solution of

$$\inf_{u\in U}(-\mathcal{L}^uV_*)\geq 0$$

$$V(t,x) \geq \sup_{
u \in \mathcal{U}_t^arphi} \mathbb{E}\left[arphi(heta^
u,X_{t,x}^
u(heta^
u))
ight]$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?
• $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* - \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* - \varphi)$

• $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* - \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* - \varphi)$

• Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.

• $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* - \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* - \varphi)$

- Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.
- Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t,x) := \varphi(t,x) |t t_0|^4 |x x_0|^4$.

- $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* \varphi)$
- Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.
- Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t,x) := \varphi(t,x) |t t_0|^4 |x x_0|^4$.
- Then, $-\mathcal{L}^u \tilde{\varphi}(t,x) \leq 0$ on $B_r := \{ |t-t_0| \leq r, |x-x_0| \leq r \}.$

- $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* \varphi)$
- Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.
- Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t,x) := \varphi(t,x) |t t_0|^4 |x x_0|^4$.
- Then, $-\mathcal{L}^u \tilde{\varphi}(t,x) \leq 0$ on $B_r := \{ |t-t_0| \leq r, |x-x_0| \leq r \}.$

• Fix $(t_n, x_n, V(t_n, x_n)) \to (t_0, x_0, V_*(t_0, x_0)).$

- $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* \varphi)$
- Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.
- Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t,x) := \varphi(t,x) |t t_0|^4 |x x_0|^4$.
- Then, $-\mathcal{L}^u \tilde{\varphi}(t,x) \leq 0$ on $B_r := \{ |t-t_0| \leq r, |x-x_0| \leq r \}.$
- Fix $(t_n, x_n, V(t_n, x_n)) \to (t_0, x_0, V_*(t_0, x_0)).$
- Set $\theta_n := \inf \left\{ s \ge t_n : (s, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(s)) \notin B_r \right\}$ so that

$$V(t_n, x_n) + \iota_n = \tilde{\varphi}(t_n, x_n) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{\varphi}(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n))\right]$$

for some $\iota_n \to 0$

- $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* \varphi)$
- Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.
- Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t,x) := \varphi(t,x) |t t_0|^4 |x x_0|^4$.
- Then, $-\mathcal{L}^u \tilde{\varphi}(t,x) \leq 0$ on $B_r := \{ |t-t_0| \leq r, |x-x_0| \leq r \}.$
- Fix $(t_n, x_n, V(t_n, x_n)) \to (t_0, x_0, V_*(t_0, x_0)).$
- Set $\theta_n := \inf \left\{ s \ge t_n : (s, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(s)) \notin B_r \right\}$ so that

$$V(t_n, x_n) + \iota_n = \tilde{\varphi}(t_n, x_n) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{\varphi}(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n)) \right]$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n)) \right] - r^4$$

for some $\iota_n \to 0$

- $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* \varphi)$
- Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.
- Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t,x) := \varphi(t,x) |t t_0|^4 |x x_0|^4$.
- Then, $-\mathcal{L}^u \tilde{\varphi}(t,x) \leq 0$ on $B_r := \{ |t-t_0| \leq r, |x-x_0| \leq r \}.$
- Fix $(t_n, x_n, V(t_n, x_n)) \to (t_0, x_0, V_*(t_0, x_0)).$
- Set $\theta_n := \inf \left\{ s \ge t_n : (s, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(s)) \notin B_r \right\}$ so that

$$\begin{split} V(t_n, x_n) + \iota_n &= \tilde{\varphi}(t_n, x_n) &\leq & \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{\varphi}(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n))\right] \\ &\leq & \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n))\right] - r^4 \end{split}$$

for some $\iota_n \to 0$, i.e. $V(t_n, x_n) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n)) \right] - r^4/2.$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

- $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $0 = (V_* \varphi)(t_0, x_0) = \min(V_* \varphi)$
- Assume that $-\mathcal{L}^u \varphi(t_0, x_0) < 0$, for some $u \in U$.
- Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t,x) := \varphi(t,x) |t t_0|^4 |x x_0|^4$.
- Then, $-\mathcal{L}^u \tilde{\varphi}(t,x) \leq 0$ on $B_r := \{ |t-t_0| \leq r, |x-x_0| \leq r \}.$
- Fix $(t_n, x_n, V(t_n, x_n)) \to (t_0, x_0, V_*(t_0, x_0)).$
- Set $\theta_n := \inf \left\{ s \ge t_n : (s, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(s)) \notin B_r \right\}$ so that

$$\begin{split} V(t_n, x_n) + \iota_n &= \tilde{\varphi}(t_n, x_n) &\leq & \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{\varphi}(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n))\right] \\ &\leq & \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n))\right] - r^4 \end{split}$$

for some $\iota_n \to 0$, i.e. $V(t_n, x_n) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n))\right] - r^4/2.$

• While $V(t_n, x_n) \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\theta_n, X^u_{t_n, x_n}(\theta_n))\right]$ by the weak DPP.



• Optimal control with running gain





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … 釣�?

- Optimal control with running gain
- Optimal stopping



- Optimal control with running gain
- Optimal stopping
- Mixed optimal control/stopping, impulse control, ...

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?