

A $C^{0,1}$ -Itô's Formula for Flows of Semimartingale Distributions

B. Bouchard

CEREMADE, Université Paris Dauphine - PSL

Joint work with Xiaolu Tan and Jixin Wang (Chinese University of Hong Kong)

Motivation

- Replace $C^{1,2}$ -regularity by $C^{0,1}$ when applying Itô's lemma in situations where regularity is difficult to obtain :
 - Path-dependent functionals.
 - McKean-Vlasov optimal control problems.

Motivation

- Replace $C^{1,2}$ -regularity by $C^{0,1}$ when applying Itô's lemma in situations where regularity is difficult to obtain :
 - Path-dependent functionals.
 - McKean-Vlasov optimal control problems.

- We know that it is possible for functionals on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ associated to classical Markovian problems.

The classical Markovian situation

Weak Dirichlet processes

- In the Markovian case : works by Russo and his co-authors, using the concept of weak Dirichlet processes and the stochastic calculus by regularization. See in particular Gozzi and Russo [4].

Weak Dirichlet processes

□ In the Markovian case : works by Russo and his co-authors, using the concept of weak Dirichlet processes and the stochastic calculus by regularization. See in particular Gozzi and Russo [4].

Definitions :

- Let X and Y be two real valued càdlàg processes. The co-quadratic variation $[X, Y]$ is defined by

$$[X, Y]_t := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (X_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - X_s)(Y_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - Y_s) ds,$$

whenever the limit exists in the sense of u.c.p.

Weak Dirichlet processes

□ In the Markovian case : works by Russo and his co-authors, using the concept of weak Dirichlet processes and the stochastic calculus by regularization. See in particular Gozzi and Russo [4].

Definitions :

- Let X and Y be two real valued càdlàg processes. The co-quadratic variation $[X, Y]$ is defined by

$$[X, Y]_t := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (X_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - X_s)(Y_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - Y_s) ds,$$

whenever the limit exists in the sense of u.c.p.

- X has finite quadratic variation, if $[X] := [X, X]$, exists and is finite a.s.

Weak Dirichlet processes

□ In the Markovian case : works by Russo and his co-authors, using the concept of weak Dirichlet processes and the stochastic calculus by regularization. See in particular Gozzi and Russo [4].

Definitions :

- Let X and Y be two real valued càdlàg processes. The co-quadratic variation $[X, Y]$ is defined by

$$[X, Y]_t := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (X_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - X_s)(Y_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - Y_s) ds,$$

whenever the limit exists in the sense of u.c.p.

- X has finite quadratic variation, if $[X] := [X, X]$, exists and is finite a.s.
- A is **orthogonal** if $[A, N] = 0$ for any real valued continuous local martingale N .

Weak Dirichlet processes

□ In the Markovian case : works by Russo and his co-authors, using the concept of weak Dirichlet processes and the stochastic calculus by regularization. See in particular Gozzi and Russo [4].

Definitions :

- Let X and Y be two real valued càdlàg processes. The co-quadratic variation $[X, Y]$ is defined by

$$[X, Y]_t := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t (X_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - X_s)(Y_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge t} - Y_s) ds,$$

whenever the limit exists in the sense of u.c.p.

- X has finite quadratic variation, if $[X] := [X, X]$, exists and is finite a.s.
- A is **orthogonal** if $[A, N] = 0$ for any real valued continuous local martingale N .
- X is a **weak Dirichlet process** if $X = X_0 + M + A$, where M is a local martingale and A is orthogonal such that $M_0 = A_0 = 0$.

$\mathbb{C}^{0,1}$ -Itô's formula

□ **Theorem (Gozzi and Russo [4])** : Let $X = X_0 + M + A$ be a continuous weak Dirichlet process with finite quadratic variation, $v \in C^{0,1}([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,

$$v(t, X_t) = v(0, X) + \int_0^t \partial_x v(s, X_s) dM_s + \Gamma_t, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

where Γ is a continuous orthogonal process.

$\mathbb{C}^{0,1}$ -Itô's formula

□ **Theorem (Gozzi and Russo [4])** : Let $X = X_0 + M + A$ be a continuous weak Dirichlet process with finite quadratic variation, $v \in C^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,

$$v(t, X_t) = v(0, X) + \int_0^t \partial_x v(s, X_s) dM_s + \Gamma_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where Γ is a continuous orthogonal process.

□ **Remark :**

- A version is available for processes with jumps, see Bandini and Russo [1].

$\mathbb{C}^{0,1}$ -Itô's formula

□ **Theorem (Gozzi and Russo [4])** : Let $X = X_0 + M + A$ be a continuous weak Dirichlet process with finite quadratic variation, $v \in C^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,

$$v(t, X_t) = v(0, X) + \int_0^t \partial_x v(s, X_s) dM_s + \Gamma_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where Γ is a continuous orthogonal process.

□ **Remark :**

- A version is available for processes with jumps, see Bandini and Russo [1].
- If $v(\cdot, X)$ is a martingale, then $\Gamma \equiv 0$ (e.g. replication price in finance, value function of an optimal control problem along the optimal path,...)

$\mathbb{C}^{0,1}$ -Itô's formula

□ **Theorem (Gozzi and Russo [4])** : Let $X = X_0 + M + A$ be a continuous weak Dirichlet process with finite quadratic variation, $v \in C^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then,

$$v(t, X_t) = v(0, X) + \int_0^t \partial_x v(s, X_s) dM_s + \Gamma_t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where Γ is a continuous orthogonal process.

□ **Remark :**

- A version is available for processes with jumps, see Bandini and Russo [1].
- If $v(\cdot, X)$ is a martingale, then $\Gamma \equiv 0$ (e.g. replication price in finance, value function of an optimal control problem along the optimal path,...)
- Can be extended to path-dependent functionals using the notion of Dupire's derivatives, see B., Loeper and Tan [2].

C^1 -Itô's formula for flows of semimartingale distributions

The setting

□ Consider a continuous semimartingale on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, satisfying the usual conditions,

$$X = X_0 + A + M^X, \quad \text{with} \quad M^X = M + \int_0^\cdot \sigma_s^\circ dM_s^\circ.$$

Define $\mathcal{G}^\circ = (\mathcal{G}_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$, where $\mathcal{G}_t^\circ := \sigma(M_s^\circ, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ and

$$\mathbb{E}^\circ[\xi] := \mathbb{E}[\xi | \mathcal{G}^\circ]$$

The setting

- Consider a continuous semimartingale on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, satisfying the usual conditions,

$$X = X_0 + A + M^X, \quad \text{with} \quad M^X = M + \int_0^\cdot \sigma_s^\circ dM_s^\circ.$$

Define $\mathcal{G}^\circ = (\mathcal{G}_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$, where $\mathcal{G}_t^\circ := \sigma(M_s^\circ, 0 \leq s \leq t)$ and

$$\mathbb{E}^\circ[\xi] := \mathbb{E}[\xi | \mathcal{G}^\circ]$$

- Consider a continuous weak Dirichlet process

$$Y = Y_0 + A^Y + M^Y,$$

with $[Y, Y]_T < \infty$.

The setting

□ Assumption :

- (i) σ° is \mathbb{F} -progressively measurable, and \exists sequence of stopping times $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ w.r.t. \mathcal{G}° s.t. $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$ a.s. and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[[M]_{\tau_n \wedge t} + |A|_{\tau_n \wedge t}^2 + \int_0^{\tau_n \wedge t} |\sigma_s^\circ|^2 d[M^\circ]_s \right] < +\infty, \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 1.$$

- (ii) M is orthogonal to N (i.e. $[M, N] = 0$), for all \mathcal{G}° -martingales N .
(iii) (H) -hypothesis condition :

$$\mathbb{E}[1_D | \mathcal{G}_t^\circ] = \mathbb{E}[1_D | \mathcal{G}^\circ], \text{ a.s., for all } D \in \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0.$$

Define the $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued process

$$m_t := \mathcal{L}(X_t | \mathcal{G}_t^\circ) = \mathcal{L}(X_t | \mathcal{G}^\circ), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Derivative with respect to the measure

□ Given $F : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\delta F / \delta m : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, be s.t.

$$F(m') - F(m) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta F}{\delta m}(tm' + (1-t)m, x) [m' - m](dx) dt$$

and set

$$D_m F(m, x) := \partial_x \frac{\delta F}{\delta m}(m, x).$$

Main result

□ We consider $F \in C^{0,1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that the following holds

Main result

□ We consider $F \in C^{0,1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that the following holds

□ **Assumption :** $\forall n \geq 1, T > 0$ and compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \exists C > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}^\circ \left[\left(D_m F(r, y, m_s^{n,\lambda,t}, X_s^{n,\eta,t}) \right)^2 \right] \leq C, \text{ a.s.,}$$

$$\forall (r, s, t) \in [0, 2T] \times [0, t] \times [0, T], (\lambda, \eta, y) \in [0, 1]^2 \times K,$$

where $m_s^{n,\lambda,t} := (1 - \lambda)m_{\tau_n \wedge s} + \lambda m_{\tau_n \wedge t}, X_s^{n,\eta,t} := (1 - \eta)X_{\tau_n \wedge s} + \eta X_{\tau_n \wedge t}.$

Main result

□ We consider $F \in C^{0,1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that the following holds

□ **Assumption :** $\forall n \geq 1, T > 0$ and compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \exists C > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}^\circ \left[\left(D_m F(r, y, m_s^{n,\lambda,t}, X_s^{n,\eta,t}) \right)^2 \right] \leq C, \text{ a.s.,}$$

$$\forall (r, s, t) \in [0, 2T] \times [0, t] \times [0, T], (\lambda, \eta, y) \in [0, 1]^2 \times K,$$

where $m_s^{n,\lambda,t} := (1 - \lambda)m_{\tau_n \wedge s} + \lambda m_{\tau_n \wedge t}, X_s^{n,\eta,t} := (1 - \eta)X_{\tau_n \wedge s} + \eta X_{\tau_n \wedge t}$.

□ **Theorem :** \exists a continuous orthogonal process Γ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, Y_t, m_t) = & F(0, Y_0, m_0) + \int_0^t \partial_y F(s, Y_s, m_s) dM_s^Y \\ & + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(s, \cdot, m_s, X_s) \sigma_s^\circ](Y_s) dM_s^\circ + \Gamma_t, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof

- We restrict to $F(t, m, y) = F(m)$.

Sketch of proof

- We restrict to $F(t, m, y) = F(m)$.
- Define

$$\Gamma_t := F(m_t) - \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s) \sigma_s^\circ] dM_s^\circ.$$

Sketch of proof

□ We restrict to $F(t, m, y) = F(m)$.

□ Define

$$\Gamma_t := F(m_t) - \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s) \sigma_s^\circ] dM_s^\circ.$$

We need to show that, for any continuous martingale N ,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t [\Gamma_{s+\varepsilon} - \Gamma_s] (N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s) ds \longrightarrow 0,$$

Sketch of proof

□ We restrict to $F(t, m, y) = F(m)$.

□ Define

$$\Gamma_t := F(m_t) - \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s) \sigma_s^\circ] dM_s^\circ.$$

We need to show that, for any continuous martingale N ,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t [\Gamma_{s+\varepsilon} - \Gamma_s] (N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s) ds \longrightarrow 0,$$

or equivalently

$$I_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t [F(m_{s+\varepsilon}) - F(m_s)] (N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s) ds \longrightarrow I_t,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_t &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s) \sigma_s^\circ] d[M^\circ, N]_s \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left(\int_s^{s+\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_u, X_u) \sigma_u^\circ] dM_u^\circ \right) (N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s) ds \end{aligned}$$

By definition of $D_m F$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t [F(m_{s+\varepsilon}) - F(m_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta m}(m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon}, x) [m_{s+\varepsilon} - m_s] (dx) d\lambda \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds \end{aligned}$$

where $m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon} := m_s + \lambda(m_{s+\varepsilon} - m_s)$

By definition of $D_m F$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t [F(m_{s+\varepsilon}) - F(m_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta m}(m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon}, X_{s+\varepsilon}) - \frac{\delta F}{\delta m}(m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon}, X_s) \right] d\lambda \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon} := m_s + \lambda(m_{s+\varepsilon} - m_s)$

By definition of $D_m F$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t [F(m_{s+\varepsilon}) - F(m_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon}, X_s^{\eta, \varepsilon}) (X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s) \right] d\eta d\lambda \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon} := m_s + \lambda(m_{s+\varepsilon} - m_s)$ and $X_s^{\eta, \varepsilon} := X_s + \eta(X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s)$.

By definition of $D_m F$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t [F(m_{s+\varepsilon}) - F(m_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon}, X_s^{\eta, \varepsilon}) (X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s) \right] d\eta d\lambda \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_s^{\lambda, \varepsilon} := m_s + \lambda(m_{s+\varepsilon} - m_s)$ and $X_s^{\eta, \varepsilon} := X_s + \eta(X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s)$.

We can show that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_t^\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_t^\varepsilon$, where

$$J_t^\varepsilon := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s, X_s) (X_{s+\varepsilon} - X_s) \right] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds.$$

We then write

$$J_t^\varepsilon = J_t^{1,\varepsilon} + J_t^{2,\varepsilon} + J_t^{3,\varepsilon},$$

where

$$J_t^{1,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s, X_s) (A_{s+\varepsilon} - A_s) \right] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds,$$

$$J_t^{2,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s, X_s) (M_{s+\varepsilon} - M_s) \right] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds,$$

$$J_t^{3,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s, X_s) \int_s^{s+\varepsilon} \sigma_r^\circ dM_r^\circ \right] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds.$$

We then write

$$J_t^\varepsilon = J_t^{1,\varepsilon} + J_t^{2,\varepsilon} + J_t^{3,\varepsilon},$$

where

$$J_t^{1,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s)(A_{s+\varepsilon} - A_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds,$$

$$J_t^{2,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s)(M_{s+\varepsilon} - M_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds,$$

$$J_t^{3,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s, X_s) \int_s^{s+\varepsilon} \sigma_r^\circ dM_r^\circ \right] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds.$$

Then $J_t^{1,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$, u.c.p., $J_t^{2,\varepsilon} = 0$,

We then write

$$J_t^\varepsilon = J_t^{1,\varepsilon} + J_t^{2,\varepsilon} + J_t^{3,\varepsilon},$$

where

$$J_t^{1,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s)(A_{s+\varepsilon} - A_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds,$$

$$J_t^{2,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ [D_m F(m_s, X_s)(M_{s+\varepsilon} - M_s)] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds,$$

$$J_t^{3,\varepsilon} := \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_s, X_s) \int_s^{s+\varepsilon} \sigma_r^\circ dM_r^\circ \right] \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds.$$

Then $J_t^{1,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$, u.c.p., $J_t^{2,\varepsilon} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_t^{3,\varepsilon} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_s^{s+\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_r, X_r) \sigma_r^\circ \right] dM_r^\circ \frac{N_{s+\varepsilon} - N_s}{\varepsilon} ds \\ &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^\circ \left[D_m F(m_r, X_r) \sigma_r^\circ \right] d[M^\circ, N]_r. \end{aligned}$$

A verification theorem for a class of McKean-Vlasov optimal control problems

A class of McKean-Vlasov optimal control problems

- Let $\Omega^0 = \Omega^1 := \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ with canonical process X^0 and W , canonical filtrations \mathbb{F}^0 and \mathbb{F}^1 , and Wiener measures \mathbb{P}_0^0 and \mathbb{P}_0^1 .

A class of McKean-Vlasov optimal control problems

- Let $\Omega^0 = \Omega^1 := \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ with canonical process X^0 and W , canonical filtrations \mathbb{F}^0 and \mathbb{F}^1 , and Wiener measures \mathbb{P}_0^0 and \mathbb{P}_0^1 .
- Let \mathcal{A}^0 denote the collection of \mathbb{F}^0 -progressively measurable process $\alpha : [0, T] \times \Omega^0 \longrightarrow A$, bounded.

A class of McKean-Vlasov optimal control problems

- Let $\Omega^0 = \Omega^1 := \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ with canonical process X^0 and W , canonical filtrations \mathbb{F}^0 and \mathbb{F}^1 , and Wiener measures \mathbb{P}_0^0 and \mathbb{P}_0^1 .
- Let \mathcal{A}^0 denote the collection of \mathbb{F}^0 -progressively measurable process $\alpha : [0, T] \times \Omega^0 \rightarrow A$, bounded.
- Define

$$\mathcal{P}_W^0(t, x^0) := \left\{ \mathbb{P}^0 \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega^0) : X^0 = x_t^0 + \int_t^\cdot \alpha_r^{\mathbb{P}^0} dr + \int_t^\cdot dW_r^{\mathbb{P}^0}, \mathbb{P}^0\text{-a.s.} \right. \\ \left. \mathbb{P}^0[X_{t \wedge \cdot}^0 = x_{t \wedge \cdot}^0] = 1, \text{ where } \alpha^{\mathbb{P}^0} \in \mathcal{A}^0 \right. \\ \left. \text{and } W^{\mathbb{P}^0} \text{ is a } (\mathbb{P}^0, \mathbb{F}^0)\text{-Brownian motion} \right\}.$$

A class of McKean-Vlasov optimal control problems

- Let $\Omega^0 = \Omega^1 := \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ with canonical process X^0 and W , canonical filtrations \mathbb{F}^0 and \mathbb{F}^1 , and Wiener measures \mathbb{P}_0^0 and \mathbb{P}_0^1 .
- Let \mathcal{A}^0 denote the collection of \mathbb{F}^0 -progressively measurable process $\alpha : [0, T] \times \Omega^0 \rightarrow A$, bounded.
- Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_W^0(t, x^0) &:= \left\{ \mathbb{P}^0 \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega^0) : X^0 = x_t^0 + \int_t^\cdot \alpha_r^{\mathbb{P}^0} dr + \int_t^\cdot dW_r^{\mathbb{P}^0}, \mathbb{P}^0\text{-a.s.} \right. \\ &\quad \mathbb{P}^0[X_{t\wedge\cdot}^0 = x_{t\wedge\cdot}^0] = 1, \text{ where } \alpha^{\mathbb{P}^0} \in \mathcal{A}^0 \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } W^{\mathbb{P}^0} \text{ is a } (\mathbb{P}^0, \mathbb{F}^0)\text{-Brownian motion} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_W(t, x^0) := \{ \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^0 \times \mathbb{P}_0^1 : \mathbb{P}^0 \in \mathcal{P}_W^0(t, x^0) \}.$$

□ For $t \in [0, T]$, $m \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_W(t, x^0)$, we consider the McKean-Vlasov SDE :

$$X_s^{t,\mathbb{P}} = \xi + \int_t^s \sigma_0(r, X_r^{t,\mathbb{P}}, \rho_r^{t,m,\mathbb{P}}) dX_r^0 + \int_t^s \sigma(r, X_r^{t,\mathbb{P}}, \rho_r^{t,m,\mathbb{P}}) dW_r, \quad m \times \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

with $\rho_r^{t,m,\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{L}^{m \times \mathbb{P}}(X_r^{t,\mathbb{P}} | \mathcal{F}_r^{X^0})$.

□ For $t \in [0, T]$, $m \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_W(t, x^0)$, we consider the McKean-Vlasov SDE :

$$X_s^{t,\mathbb{P}} = \xi + \int_t^s \sigma_0(r, X_r^{t,\mathbb{P}}, \rho_r^{t,m,\mathbb{P}}) dX_r^0 + \int_t^s \sigma(r, X_r^{t,\mathbb{P}}, \rho_r^{t,m,\mathbb{P}}) dW_r, \quad m \times \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

with $\rho_r^{t,m,\mathbb{P}} := \mathcal{L}^{m \times \mathbb{P}}(X_r^{t,\mathbb{P}} | \mathcal{F}_r^{X^0})$.

□ Controlled laws of the canonical process (X^0, W, X, ρ) are in

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}_W(t, m) := \left\{ (m \times \mathbb{P}) \circ (X^0, W, X^{t,\mathbb{P}}, \rho^{t,m,\mathbb{P}})^{-1} : \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_W(t, x^0), x^0 \in \Omega^0 \right\}.$$

□ The value function of the McKean-Vlasov control problem is :

$$V(t, m) := \sup_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(t, m)} J(t, \bar{\mathbb{P}}), \text{ with } J(t, \bar{\mathbb{P}}) := \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_t^T L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds + g(\rho_T) \right].$$

□ The value function of the McKean-Vlasov control problem is :

$$V(t, m) := \sup_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(t, m)} J(t, \bar{\mathbb{P}}), \text{ with } J(t, \bar{\mathbb{P}}) := \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_t^T L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds + g(\rho_T) \right].$$

□ Define

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ \phi : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d : \phi \text{ is bounded and Borel measurable} \right\},$$

and $H : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Hamiltonian, defined by

$$H(t, m, p) := \max_{a \in A} h(t, m, p, a),$$

$$h(t, m, p, a) := L(t, m, a) + a \int (\sigma_0 p)(t, m, y) m(dy).$$

Dual problems

- From now on, we fix the initial law to be m_0 .

Dual problems

- From now on, we fix the initial law to be m_0 .
- We introduce the dual problems :
 - (i) D_1 is the infimum over v_1 s.t.

$$v_1 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \phi, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt, \quad \bar{\mathbb{P}} \text{-a.s.}$$

for all $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$, for some $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$.

Dual problems

□ From now on, we fix the initial law to be m_0 .

□ We introduce the dual problems :

(i) D_1 is the infimum over v_1 s.t.

$$v_1 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \phi, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt, \quad \bar{\mathbb{P}} \text{-a.s.}$$

for all $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$, for some $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$.

(ii) D_2 is the infimum over v_2 s.t.

$$v_2 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T H(t, \rho_t, \phi) dt, \quad \bar{\mathbb{P}}_0 \text{-a.s.}$$

for some $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\bar{\mathbb{P}}_0$ is a probability measure under which the canonical process X^0 is a Brownian motion.

Dual problems

□ From now on, we fix the initial law to be m_0 .

□ We introduce the dual problems :

(i) D_1 is the infimum over v_1 s.t.

$$v_1 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \phi, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt, \quad \bar{\mathbb{P}} \text{-a.s.}$$

for all $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$, for some $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$.

(ii) D_2 is the infimum over v_2 s.t.

$$v_2 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T H(t, \rho_t, \phi) dt, \quad \bar{\mathbb{P}}_0 \text{-a.s.}$$

for some $\phi \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\bar{\mathbb{P}}_0$ is a probability measure under which the canonical process X^0 is a Brownian motion.

□ It is similar in spirit to B. and Dang [4] : stochastic target formulation of the optimal control problem.

□ We have $D_2 \geq D_1$ by definition.

□ We have $D_2 \geq D_1$ by definition.

□ Since

$$X^0 = x_0^0 + \int_0^\cdot \alpha_r^{\mathbb{P}^0} dr + \int_0^\cdot dW_r^{\mathbb{P}^0}$$

the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & v_1 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \\ & \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \phi, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt \end{aligned}$$

□ We have $D_2 \geq D_1$ by definition.

□ Since

$$X^0 = x_0^0 + \int_0^\cdot \alpha_r^{\mathbb{P}^0} dr + \int_0^\cdot dW_r^{\mathbb{P}^0}$$

the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\mathbb{P}^0} + \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) \alpha_t^{\mathbb{P}^0} dt \\ \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \phi, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt \end{aligned}$$

□ We have $D_2 \geq D_1$ by definition.

□ Since

$$X^0 = x_0^0 + \int_0^\cdot \alpha_r^{\mathbb{P}^0} dr + \int_0^\cdot dW_r^{\mathbb{P}^0}$$

the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\mathbb{P}^0} + \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) \alpha_t^{\mathbb{P}^0} dt \\ \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \phi, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt \end{aligned}$$

implies (since $h(t, m, p, a) = L(t, m, a) + \int (\sigma_0 p)(t, m, y) m(dy) a$)

$$v_1 \geq \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} [g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T L(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}})], \quad \text{for } \bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$$

□ We have $D_2 \geq D_1$ by definition.

□ Since

$$X^0 = x_0^0 + \int_0^\cdot \alpha_r^{\mathbb{P}^0} dr + \int_0^\cdot dW_r^{\mathbb{P}^0}$$

the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\mathbb{P}^0} + \int (\sigma_0 \phi)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) \alpha_t^{\mathbb{P}^0} dt \\ \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \phi, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt \end{aligned}$$

implies (since $h(t, m, p, a) = L(t, m, a) + \int (\sigma_0 p)(t, m, y) m(dy) a$)

$$v_1 \geq \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} [g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T L(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}})], \quad \text{for } \bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$$

and therefore

$$D_2 \geq D_1 \geq V(t, m_0).$$

Duality and verification

□ **Theorem :** Assume that $V \in C^{0,1}([0, T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and that $D_m V$ is uniformly bounded (or locally as above). Then,

$$V(0, m_0) = D_1 = D_2.$$

If in addition \exists a Borel measurable function $\hat{a} : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$H(\cdot, m, D_m V) = h(\cdot, m, D_m V, \hat{a}(\cdot, m)),$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, $\exists \hat{\mathbb{P}} \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$ s.t. $\alpha^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = \hat{a}(\cdot, \rho.)$, $d\hat{\mathbb{P}} \times dt$ a.e. and $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is optimal for $V(0, m_0)$.

Duality and verification

□ **Theorem :** Assume that $V \in C^{0,1}([0, T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and that $D_m V$ is uniformly bounded (or locally as above). Then,

$$V(0, m_0) = D_1 = D_2.$$

If in addition \exists a Borel measurable function $\hat{a} : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$H(\cdot, m, D_m V) = h(\cdot, m, D_m V, \hat{a}(\cdot, m)),$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, $\exists \hat{\mathbb{P}} \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$ s.t. $\alpha^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = \hat{a}(\cdot, \rho.)$, $d\hat{\mathbb{P}} \times dt$ a.e. and $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is optimal for $V(0, m_0)$.

□ **Remark :** If A is compact, existence of \hat{a} holds if L is upper-semicontinuous.

Proof of $D_2 \leq V(t, m_0)$

(a) We know that $S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} := V(\cdot, \rho_\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds$ is a super-martingale under any $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$.

Proof of $D_2 \leq V(t, m_0)$

(a) We know that $S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} := V(\cdot, \rho_\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds$ is a super-martingale under any $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$. Combined with our C^1 -Itô's formula, we obtain :

$$S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = V(0, m_0) + \int_0^\cdot \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$$

in which $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative

Proof of $D_2 \leq V(t, m_0)$

(a) We know that $S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} := V(\cdot, \rho_\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds$ is a super-martingale under any $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$. Combined with our C^1 -Itô's formula, we obtain :

$$S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = V(0, m_0) + \int_0^\cdot \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$$

in which $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative

(b) Since $V(T, \rho_T) = g(\rho_T)$ and $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative,

$$\begin{aligned} V(0, m_0) + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} \\ \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T L(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt \end{aligned}$$

Proof of $D_2 \leq V(t, m_0)$

(a) We know that $S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} := V(\cdot, \rho_\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds$ is a super-martingale under any $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$. Combined with our C^1 -Itô's formula, we obtain :

$$S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = V(0, m_0) + \int_0^\cdot \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$$

in which $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative

(b) Since $V(T, \rho_T) = g(\rho_T)$ and $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative,

$$\begin{aligned} & V(0, m_0) + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \\ & \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T [L(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) + \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}] dt \end{aligned}$$

Proof of $D_2 \leq V(t, m_0)$

(a) We know that $S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} := V(\cdot, \rho_\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds$ is a super-martingale under any $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$. Combined with our C^1 -Itô's formula, we obtain :

$$S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = V(0, m_0) + \int_0^\cdot \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$$

in which $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative

(b) Since $V(T, \rho_T) = g(\rho_T)$ and $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative,

$$\begin{aligned} V(0, m_0) + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \\ \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, D_m V, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of $D_2 \leq V(t, m_0)$

(a) We know that $S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} := V(\cdot, \rho_\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot L(s, \rho_s, \alpha_s^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) ds$ is a super-martingale under any $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$. Combined with our C^1 -Itô's formula, we obtain :

$$S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = V(0, m_0) + \int_0^\cdot \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dW_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$$

in which $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative

(b) Since $V(T, \rho_T) = g(\rho_T)$ and $A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ is non-negative,

$$\begin{aligned} V(0, m_0) + \int_0^T \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, \rho_t, y) \rho_t(dy) dX_t^0 \\ \geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T H(t, \rho_t, D_m V) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $V(0, m_0) \geq D_2$ by arbitrariness of $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$.

Proof of the verification argument

Set

$$\ell(t, m) := \int (\sigma_0 D_m V)(t, m, y) m(dy)$$

and note that $(A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}})_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)}$ in the decomposition

$$S^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = V(0, m_0) + \int_0^\cdot \ell(t, \rho_t) dW_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - A^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$$

satisfies

$$\inf_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)} \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}[A_T^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}] = 0.$$

by classical arguments.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(0, m_0) &= g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt + A_T^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - \int_0^T \ell(t, \rho_t) dX_t^0 \\
 &= g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}) dt + A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} - \int_0^T \ell(t, \rho_t) dX_t^0 \\
 &\geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt + A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} - \int_0^T \ell(t, \rho_t) dX_t^0
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $0 \leq A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \leq A_T^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ a.s. for $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$, and

$$0 = \inf_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)} \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} [A_T^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}] \geq \inf_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)} \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} [A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}] = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(0, m_0) &= g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt + A_T^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} - \int_0^T \ell(t, \rho_t) dX_t^0 \\
 &= g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}) dt + A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} - \int_0^T \ell(t, \rho_t) dX_t^0 \\
 &\geq g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}) dt + A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} - \int_0^T \ell(t, \rho_t) dX_t^0
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $0 \leq A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \leq A_T^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ a.s. for $\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)$, and

$$0 = \inf_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)} \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} [A_T^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}] \geq \inf_{\bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0)} \mathbb{E}^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} [A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}] = 0.$$

We indeed have (using the reverse Hölder's inequality)

$$A_T^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} = 0, \quad \bar{\mathbb{P}} - \text{a.s.} \quad \forall \bar{\mathbb{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}_W(0, m_0).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} V(0, m_0) &= \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T h(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}) dt - \int_0^T \ell(t, \rho_t) \alpha_t^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} dt \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[g(\rho_T) + \int_0^T L(t, \rho_t, \alpha_t^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Example

□ Assume that :

- $\sigma = \sigma_0 \equiv 1$,
- A is a convex,
- $L(t, m, a) = \bar{L}(a)$ is strictly concave.
- $g(m) = \bar{g}(\int \phi(y)m(dy))$ with $\bar{g} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in C_b^1 , and $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that is C_b^1 .

Example

□ Assume that :

- $\sigma = \sigma_0 \equiv 1$,
- A is a convex,
- $L(t, m, a) = \bar{L}(a)$ is strictly concave.
- $g(m) = \bar{g}(\int \phi(y)m(dy))$ with $\bar{g} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in C_b^1 , and $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that is C_b^1 .

Then, $V \in C^{0,1}([0, T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Example

□ Assume that :

- $\sigma = \sigma_0 \equiv 1$,
- A is a convex,
- $L(t, m, a) = \bar{L}(a)$ is strictly concave.
- $g(m) = \bar{g}(\int \phi(y)m(dy))$ with $\bar{g} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in C_b^1 , and $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that is C_b^1 .

Then, $V \in C^{0,1}([0, T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

□ An optimal control $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ exists (and is unique !)

Example

□ Assume that :

- $\sigma = \sigma_0 \equiv 1$,
- A is a convex,
- $L(t, m, a) = \bar{L}(a)$ is strictly concave.
- $g(m) = \bar{g}(\int \phi(y)m(dy))$ with $\bar{g} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in C_b^1 , and $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ that is C_b^1 .

Then, $V \in C^{0,1}([0, T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

□ An optimal control $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ exists (and is unique!) and we have

$$D_m V(0, m_0, x) = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\bar{g}' \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{\phi}(y + X_T^0) m_0(dy) \right) \nabla \bar{\phi}(x + X_T^0) \right]$$

where

$$\bar{\phi}(y) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^1_0}[\phi(y + W_T)].$$

Thank you !



Elena Bandini and Francesco Russo.

Weak Dirichlet processes with jumps.

Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 127-12 : 4139-4189, 2017.



Bruno Bouchard, Grégoire Loeper and Xiaolu Tan.

A $C^{0,1}$ -functional Itô's formula and its applications in mathematical finance.

Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 148, 299-323, 2022.



Bruno Bouchard, Grégoire Loeper and Xiaolu Tan.

Approximate viscosity solutions of path-dependent PDEs and Dupire's vertical differentiability.

The Annals of Applied Probability, 33.6B : 5781-5809, 2023.



Bruno Bouchard and Ngoc Minh Dang.

Optimal control versus stochastic target problems : an equivalence result.

Systems & control letters, 61(2) : 343-346, 2012.



Bruno Bouchard and Xiaolu Tan.

Understanding the dual formulation for the hedging of path-dependent options with price impact.

The Annals of Applied Probability, 32(3), 1705-1733, 2019.



Bruno Bouchard and Xiaolu Tan.

A quasi-sure optional decomposition and super-hedging result on the Skorokhod space.

Finance and Stochastics, 25(3), 505-528, 2021.



Bruno Bouchard and Maximilien Vallet.

Itô-Dupire's formula for $C^{0,1}$ -functionals of càdlàg weak Dirichlet processes.

arXiv preprint arXiv :2110.03406, 2021.



Jean-François Chassagneux, Dan Crisan, and François Delarue.

A probabilistic approach to classical solutions of the master equation for large population equilibria.
American Mathematical Society, 280(1379), 2022.



Rama Cont and David-Antoine Fournié.

Functional itô calculus and stochastic integral representation of martingales.
The Annals of Probability, 41(1) :109–133, 2013.



Bruno Dupire.

Functional Itô calculus.
Portfolio Research Paper, 04, 2009.



Fausto Gozzi and Francesco Russo.

Weak dirichlet processes with a stochastic control perspective.
Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 116(11) :1563–1583, 2006.



Xin Guo, Huyen Pham and Xiaoli Wei.

Itô's formula for flows of measures on semimartingales.
Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 159 :350-90, 2023.



Yuri F. Saporito.

The functional Meyer–Tanaka formula.
Stochastics and Dynamics, 18(04) :1850030, 2018.



Mehdi Talbi, Nizar Touzi and Jianfeng Zhang.

Dynamic programming equation for the mean field optimal stopping problem.
SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 61(4), 2140-2164, 2023.