

**Exercise 1. [10pts]**

**Select the correct answers**

1. By assumption, the  $(X^i, Y^i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket}$  in the learning sample, in supervised learning are such :
  - a) the  $(X^i, Y^i)$  have all the same laws and are independent
  - b) the  $(X^i, Y^i)$  have the same laws but may be dependent
  - c) the  $X^i$  have all a normal distribution
  
2. if  $(X^i)_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket}$  is a learning sample without any labelling.
  - a) we are in the framework of supervised learning
  - b) we are in the framework of unsupervised learning
  - c) generally we try to derive a structure for the  $X^i$
  
3. if  $R_n(f_n)$  is the classification error and  $R(f_n)$  is the prediction error then
  - a)  $R(f_n) < R_n(f_n)$
  - b)  $E(R(f_n)) \geq R_n(f_n)$
  - c)  $R(f_n) \geq E[R_n(f_n)]$
  - d)  $E(R(f_n)) \leq R_n(f_n)$
  
4. in Vapnik Chervonenkis's formula
  - a)  $P\left(R(f_n) \leq R_n(f_n) + \phi_{n,\eta}\left(\frac{VC(\mathcal{F})}{n}\right)\right) \geq 1 - \eta$
  - b)  $P\left(R_n(f_n) \leq R(f_n) + \phi_{n,\eta}\left(\frac{VC(\mathcal{F})}{n}\right)\right) \geq 1 - \eta$
  - c)  $P\left(R_n(f_n) \leq R(f_n) + \phi_{n,\eta}\left(\frac{VC(\mathcal{F})}{n}\right)\right) \geq 1 - \frac{\eta}{n}$
  - a)  $P\left(R(f_n) \leq R_n(f_n) + \phi_{n,\eta}\left(\frac{VC(\mathcal{F})}{n}\right)\right) \geq 1 - \frac{\eta}{n}$
  
5. in the Vapnik Chervonenkis's formula
  - a)  $\phi_{n,\eta}(t)$  is a function which is decreasing with  $t$
  - b)  $\phi_{n,\eta}(t)$  is a function which is increasing with  $t$
  - c)  $\phi_{n,\eta}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$  tends to zero when  $n$  tends to  $\infty$
  
6. in Structural Risk Minimisation with  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_k \cdots$  the classifier  $f_{n,k}$  from class  $\mathcal{F}_k$  is chosen if
  - a) it minimizes over  $k$ ,  $R_n(f_{n,k}) + \phi_{n,\eta}\left(\frac{VC(\mathcal{F}_k)}{n}\right)$
  - b) it minimizes over  $k$ ,  $R_n(f_{n,k})$
  - c) it minimizes over  $k$ ,  $R_n(f_{n,k}) - \phi_{n,\eta}\left(\frac{VC(\mathcal{F}_k)}{n}\right)$

---

1. Pierre Brugière University Paris Dauphine PSL

7. if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a family of independent vectors then
- $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  can be classified in all possible ways with hyperplanes
  - 0 can be separated from  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with a hyperplane
  - 0 can be separated from the convex envelope of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with a hyperplane
8. if a family of classifiers  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by  $d$  parameters  $d \geq 1$  then
- $VC(\mathcal{F}) < d + 2$
  - $VC(\mathcal{F}) = d + 1$
  - there may be some cases for which  $VC(\mathcal{F}) = +\infty$
9. what is the distance between the point represented by the vector  $z$  and the hyperplane of equation  $\langle w, x \rangle = b$
- $\frac{|b + \langle w, z \rangle|}{\|w\|^2}$
  - $\frac{|\langle w, z \rangle - b|}{\|w\|}$
  - $\frac{|\langle w, z \rangle - b|}{\|w\|^2}$
10. what is the distance between the two hyperplanes of equations :
- $\langle w, x \rangle = b$  and  $\langle -w, x \rangle + c = 0$
- $\frac{|b - c|}{\|w\|^2}$
  - $\frac{|b + c|}{\|w\|}$
  - $\frac{|b - c|}{\|w\|}$
11. in  $\mathbf{R}^d$
- the VC dimension of hyperplane classifiers of margin 1 is  $d + 1$
  - the VC dimension of hyperplane classifiers within a ball of radius 1 is  $d + 1$
  - the VC dimension of hyperplane classifiers of radius 1 and margin 0.1 is less than  $d + 1$  when  $d$  is large
12. in a C-SVM
- all the support vectors are always on the borders of the separating hyperplanes
  - it is possible that some support vectors are not correctly classified
  - it is impossible that some support vectors lay between the two separating hyperplanes
13. which of these inequalities is correct :
- $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \left[ \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(y, z) \right] \leq \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left[ \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} g(y, z) \right]$
  - $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \left[ \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(y, z) \right] \geq \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left[ \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} g(y, z) \right]$

14. which of the following assertions are true :
- a) if the KKT conditions are satisfied the primal and dual problems have the same value
  - b) if the primal and dual problems have the same value the KKT conditions are satisfied
  - c) the KKT conditions enable to calculate some of the parameters of the separating hyperplanes
15. which of the following assertions are true for the Gaussian Kernel  $K_\sigma$  and associated transformation  $\phi_\sigma$  :
- a) all the transformed points  $\phi_\sigma(x_i)$  are on sphere or radius 1
  - b) for any sample  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket}$  it is possible to find  $\sigma$  such that the classification with the Gaussian Kernel  $K_\sigma$  is perfect
  - c) a notion of margin can be associated to Gaussian Kernel classifiers
16. single class SVMs can be used
- a) to estimate the support of a distribution
  - b) to define different clusters amongst a sample
  - c) to solve a regression problem
17. if  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a kernel with values in  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{RK})$  is a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space for  $K$  then
- a) for all  $f \in H$ ,  $\langle K(x, \cdot), f \rangle_{RK} = f(x)$
  - b)  $K(x_1, x_2)^2 \leq K(x_1, x_1)K(x_1, x_2)$
  - c) for all  $f \in H$ ,  $f(x)^2 \leq K(x, x)\langle f, f \rangle_{RK}$
18. in the discount factor curve construction problem studied in the course
- a) the regularisation term is linked to a scalar product on the function space
  - b) the regularisation term transforms a non parametric problem into a parametric problem
  - c) without the regularisation term the problem would not be well defined
19. in the discount factor curve construction problem studied in the course
- a) the spline functions are of degrees 2
  - b) the spline functions have knots on the cash flow dates of the instruments used as inputs
  - c) the coefficients of the spline functions are the solutions of a ridge regression problem
  - d) the coefficients of the spline functions are the solutions of a Lasso regression problem
20. in the Smith and Nelson model the regularisation term is of the form
- a)  $\int f''^2(s)ds$
  - b)  $\int f''^2(s) + \sigma^2 f'^2(s)ds$

$$c) \int f''(s) + \sigma^2 f'(s) ds$$

**Exercise [10pts]**

Let  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket}$  be a learning sample derived from  $(X, Y)$  with  $X \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $Y \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Let's consider the C-SVM defined by

$$(P_C) \begin{cases} \inf_{w, b, \xi} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \\ y_i[\langle w, x_i \rangle + b] \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

1. [2pts] Show that if  $(w^*, b^*, \xi^*)$  is a solution of  $(P_C)$  then necessarily :  
 $\forall i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket, \xi_i^* = \max(0, 1 - y_i[\langle w^*, x_i \rangle + b^*])$

From now on we assume that for all  $C > 0$ ,  $(P_C)$  has a unique solution in  $(w, b, \xi)$  reached for  $(w_C^*, b_C^*, \xi_C^*)$  and we consider the problem  $(Q_\lambda)$  defined by :

$$(Q_\lambda) : \inf_{w, b} \sum_{i=1}^n \max(0, 1 - y_i[\langle w, x_i \rangle + b]) + \lambda \|w\|^2$$

2. [2pt] if we assume that for all  $\lambda > 0$   $(Q_\lambda)$  has a unique solution in  $(w, b)$  reached for  $(\tilde{w}_\lambda, \tilde{b}_\lambda)$  show that :  

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{w}_\lambda = w_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^* \text{ and} \\ \tilde{b}_\lambda = b_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^* \end{cases}$$
3. [2pt] (independent from the rest) determine a function  $f : \mathbf{R}^d \longrightarrow [-1, 1]$  solution of :

$$\arg \min_f E(\max(0, 1 - Y f(X)))$$

Let  $P_{w,b}$  be defined by,  $P_{w,b}(Y = 1|X = x) = \sigma(\langle w, x \rangle + b)$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  is the sigmoid function defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}$$

4. [0.5pt] show that  $P_{w,b}(Y = -1|X = x) = \sigma(-\langle w, x \rangle - b)$
5. [0.5pt] write the expression of the log likelihood of the sample under  $P_{w,b}$ , i.e write the expression of :  $\ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n P_{w,b}(Y = y_i|X = x_i) \right)$  as a function of the parameters  $w, b$  and the observations  $(x_i, y_i)$
6. [1pt] show that the problem  $(LR_\beta)$  of maximising the log likelihood of the sample under  $P_{w,b}$  penalised by a cost  $\beta > 0$  on the value of  $\|w\|^2$

can be written as

$$(LR_\beta) : \inf_{w,b} \sum_{i=1}^{i=l} l(y_i, \langle w, x_i \rangle + b) + \beta \|w\|^2$$

where  $l(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a function that you will explicit

7. [1pt] what relationship do you see between solving the problem  $(LR_\beta)$  (which is a penalised logistic regression problem) and solving the problem  $(P_C)$  which is a C-SVM problem?
8. [1pt] After running a C-SVM if you were asked to define a probability for a point  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  to belong to a class  $y \in \{-1, 1\}$  what formula would you think of, based on the parameters  $w_C^*$  and  $b_C^*$  you have calculated?