

---

# The two-dimensional Keller-Segel model after blow-up

Jean Dolbeault

[dolbeaul@ceremade.dauphine.fr](mailto:dolbeaul@ceremade.dauphine.fr)

CEREMADE

CNRS & Université Paris-Dauphine

<http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~dolbeaul>

(A JOINT WORK WITH C. SCHMEISER)

La Habana, February 26, 2008

<http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~dolbeaul/Preprints/Fichiers/DolbSchm-6.pdf>

# Outline

---

- Write a generalized weak formulation of the Keller-Segel model allowing for measure valued cell densities
  - the main mathematical problem is an appropriate definition of the only nonlinear term, the convective flux (the spatial dimension is two, is of essential importance)
- 
1. The model, a brief review of the literature
  2. A priori estimates for solutions, Poupaud's theory
  3. Limiting problem, measure valued solutions, strong formulation (formal)
  4. Local density profiles of the regularized problem approximating point aggregates

---

# **1. The model, a brief review of the literature**

# The Keller-Segel model

---

## Patlak-Keller-Segel model

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \varrho + \nabla \cdot (\varrho \nabla S - \nabla \varrho) &= 0 \\ -\Delta S &= \varrho\end{aligned}$$

... a nondimensionalized form

- ➊ dynamics of the chemical are much faster than those for the cell dynamics: a parabolic-elliptic system
- ➋ the chemotactic sensitivity, the cell diffusivity, the chemical diffusivity, and the reaction rate are constant

... initial data

$$\varrho_I \in L^1_+(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \varrho_I(x) dx < \infty$$

# The Keller-Segel model

---

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \varrho + \nabla \cdot (\varrho \nabla S - \nabla \varrho) &= 0 \\ -\Delta S &= \varrho\end{aligned}$$

preserves the mass

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho \, dx = 0$$

and has a Lyapunov functional: the free energy functional

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho \log \varrho \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S[\varrho] \varrho \, dx \right] = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho \left| \frac{\nabla \varrho}{\varrho} - \nabla S[\varrho] \right|^2 \, dx$$

$$S[\varrho](x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log |x - y| \varrho(y) \, dy$$

N.B.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S[\varrho] \varrho \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla S[\varrho]|^2 \, dx$  only if  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho \, dx = 0$

---

# A standard computation with moments

---

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \varrho(x, t) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \Delta \varrho(x, t) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\chi}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} 2x \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|^2} \varrho(x, t) \varrho(y, t) dx dy\end{aligned}$$

Two integrations by parts for the first term, one integration by parts for the second one and symmetrization w.r.t.  $x$  and  $y$  variables give

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \varrho(x, t) dx = 4M \left( 1 - \frac{M}{8\pi} \right)$$

$M = 8\pi$  is a threshold between two regimes

# Finite time blow-up

---

Existence of smooth solutions depends on the mass

$$M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho_I \, dx$$

- $M < 8\pi$ , a global bounded solution exists, vanishing occurs as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , [Jäger, Luckhaus], [Dolbeault, Perthame], [Blanchet, Dolbeault, Perthame]
- $M > 8\pi$ , blow-up in finite time occurs
- $M = 8\pi$ , a global solution exists in this case, which possibly becomes unbounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , [Biler, Karch, Laurençot, Nadzieja], [Blanchet, Carrillo, Masmoudi]

Aggregation: at the blow-up time, mass concentrates in a point, and then new dynamics has to be established, [Herrero, Velázquez], [Velázquez]

# Regularized models

---

- volume filling effects taken into account by a density dependent chemotactic sensitivity [Hillen, Painter], [Velázquez], [Dolak, Schmeiser],
- finite sampling radius, which results in a regularization of the chemical concentration [Hillen, Painter, Schmeiser]
- kinetic transport models, whose macroscopic limit is the Keller-Segel model [Chalub, Markowich, Perthame]
- density dependent chemotactic sensitivities, [Velázquez]

All these models have global solutions

The Keller-Segel model appears as a formal limit

In Velázquez' approach (formal asymptotics), the limit the cell density is the sum of a smooth part and of a finite number of point aggregates:  
locally in time existence

# Our regularization

---

Replace the Poisson equation  $\Delta S = -\varrho$  by

$$S_\varepsilon[\varrho](x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y| + \varepsilon) \varrho(y) dy$$

to get the regularized model

$$\partial_t \varrho^\varepsilon + \nabla \cdot (\varrho^\varepsilon \nabla S_\varepsilon[\varrho^\varepsilon] - \nabla \varrho^\varepsilon) = 0$$

Similar to the finite-sampling-radius model [Hillen, Painter, Schmeiser]

$$\varrho^\varepsilon(t = 0) = \varrho_I \in L_+^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

[F. Poupaud, Diagonal defect measures, adhesion dynamics and Euler equations, *Meth. Appl. Anal.* **9** (2002), pp. 533–561] (two-dimensional incompressible Euler equations and Keller-Segel model without diffusion of cells)

---

---

## 2. A priori estimates for solutions, Poupaud's theory

# A priori estimates and diagonal defect measures

---

**Theorem 1** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the regularized problem has a global solution satisfying*

$$\|\varrho^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \|\varrho_I\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} := M$$

$$\|\varrho^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right)$$

*with an  $\varepsilon$ -independent constant  $c$*

Existence of a local solution, mass conservation are known, and by [Hillen, Painter, Schmeiser]

$$|\nabla S_\varepsilon[\varrho^\varepsilon](x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\varrho^\varepsilon(y, t) dy}{|x - y| + \varepsilon} \leq \frac{M}{2\pi\varepsilon}$$

## The nonlinear term

---

For  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \varrho \nabla S_\varepsilon[\varrho] dx = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(x - y)}{|x - y|(|x - y| + \varepsilon)} \varrho(x) \varrho(y) dx dy$$

holds, implying the uniform-in- $\varepsilon$  estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \varrho^\varepsilon \nabla S_\varepsilon[\varrho^\varepsilon] dx \right| \leq \frac{M^2}{4\pi} |\varphi|_{1,\infty}$$

Let

$$m^\varepsilon(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}^\varepsilon(x - y) \varrho^\varepsilon(t, x) \varrho^\varepsilon(t, y) dy \quad \text{with } \mathcal{K}^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x^{\otimes 2}}{|x|(|x| + \varepsilon)}$$

of matrix valued functions. Following [Poupaud], we consider  $\varrho^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$  and  $m^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$  as time dependent measures  $\varrho^\varepsilon(t)$  and  $m^\varepsilon(t)$ .

---

# Tight equicontinuity

---

**Lemma 1** [Poupaud] The families  $\{\varrho^\varepsilon(t)\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  and  $\{m^\varepsilon(t)\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  are tightly bounded locally uniformly in  $t$ , and  $\{\varrho^\varepsilon(t)\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  is tightly equicontinuous in  $t$ .

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \varrho^\varepsilon dx \right| \leq c |\varphi|_{2,\infty}$$

with  $c$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $t$ . This implies equicontinuity in  $W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)'$ :

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \varrho^\varepsilon(t, x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \varrho^\varepsilon(s, x) dx \right| \leq C(\varphi) |t - s|$$

Now let  $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^2)$ :  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\exists \varphi_\delta \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  s.t.  $\|\varphi - \varphi_\delta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \delta$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \varrho^\varepsilon(t, x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \varrho^\varepsilon(s, x) dx \right| \leq 2\delta M + C(\varphi_\delta) |t - s|$$

$\implies$  tight equicontinuity of  $\varrho^\varepsilon(t)$

---

# Limits

---

Use Prokhorov's criterium: tight boundedness and equicontinuity of  $\varrho^\varepsilon(t)$  provides compactness

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(x, y) \varrho^\varepsilon(t, x) \varrho^\varepsilon(t, y) dx dy \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(x, y) \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) dx dy$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(t, x) m^\varepsilon(t, x) dx dt \rightarrow \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(t, x) m(t, x) dx dt$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_b([t_1, t_2] \times \mathbb{R}^2)$  in the second case. Because of the discontinuity of the limiting kernel in  $\mathcal{K}$ , define the defect measure

$$\nu(t, x) = m(t, x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{K}(x - y) \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) dy$$

with  $\mathcal{K}(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{K}^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x^{\otimes 2}}{|x|^2}$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{K}(0) = 0$

---

# Atomic support

---

The atomic support (an at most countable set) of  $\varrho(t)$  is

$$S_{at}(\varrho(t)) := \{a \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varrho(t)(\{a\}) > 0\}$$

It is an at most countable set

**Lemma 2** [Poupaud]  $\nu$  is symmetric, nonnegative, and satisfies

$$\text{tr}(\nu(t, x)) \leq \sum_{a \in S_{at}(\varrho(t))} (\varrho(t)(\{a\}))^2 \delta(x - a)$$

---

The limit of  $\varrho^\varepsilon$  is thus characterized by the pair  $(\varrho, \nu)$

$\mathcal{M}$ : spaces of Radon measures

$\mathcal{M}_1^+$ : subset of nonnegative bounded measures

For an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the set of time dependent admissible measures with diagonal defects is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{DM}^+(I; \mathbb{R}^2) &= \left\{ (\varrho, \nu) : \varrho(t) \in \mathcal{M}_1^+(\mathbb{R}^2) \forall t \in I, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(I \times \mathbb{R}^2)^{2 \times 2}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \varrho \text{ is tightly continuous with respect to } t, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \nu \text{ is a nonnegative, symmetric, matrix valued measure,} \right. \\ &\quad \text{tr}(\nu(t, x)) \leq \sum_{a \in S_{at}(\varrho(t))} (\varrho(t)(\{a\}))^2 \delta(x - a) \end{aligned}$$

---

### **3. Limiting problem, measure valued solutions, strong formulation (formal)**

# Regularized measures

---

$$\frac{(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))(x - y)}{|x - y|(|x - y| + \varepsilon)} = \mathcal{K}^\varepsilon(x - y) \nabla \varphi(x) + L^\varepsilon(\varphi)(x, y)$$

with

$$L^\varepsilon(\varphi)(x, y) = \frac{(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y) - (x - y) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x))(x - y)}{|x - y|(|x - y| + \varepsilon)}$$

converges uniformly to the continuous  $L^0(\varphi)(x, y)$  for any test function  
 $\varphi \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(t, x) \varrho^\varepsilon(t, x) \nabla S^\varepsilon[\varrho^\varepsilon](t, x) dx dt &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} m^\varepsilon(t, x) \nabla \varphi(t, x) dx dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho^\varepsilon(t, x) \varrho^\varepsilon(t, y) L^\varepsilon(\varphi)(t, x, y) dx dy dt \end{aligned}$$

---

# Limiting problem

---

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(t, x) j[\varrho, \nu](t, x) dx dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (\varphi(t, x) - \varphi(t, y)) K(x - y) \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) dx dy dt \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nu(t, x) \nabla \varphi(t, x) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

for  $\varphi \in C_b^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2)$

**Theorem 2** *For every  $T > 0$ ,  $\varrho^\varepsilon$  converges tightly and uniformly in time to  $\varrho(t)$  and there exists  $\nu(t)$  such that  $(\varrho, \nu) \in \mathcal{DM}^+((0, T); \mathbb{R}^2)$  is a generalized solution of*

$$\partial_t \varrho + \nabla \cdot (j[\varrho, \nu] - \nabla \varrho) = 0$$

$\varrho(t = 0) = \varrho_I$  holds in the sense of tight continuity

---

## Strong formulation (formal)

---

**Ansatz.**  $\varrho = \bar{\varrho} + \hat{\varrho}$ ,  $\hat{\varrho}(t, x) = \sum_{n \in N} M_n(t) \delta_n(t, x)$ ,  $\delta_n(t, x) = \delta(x - x_n(t))$   
 $(\varrho, \nu) \in \mathcal{DM}^+((0, T); \mathbb{R}^2) \implies \nu(t, x) = \sum_{n \in N} \nu_n(t) \delta_n(t, x)$ ,  $\text{tr}(\nu_n) \leq M_n^2$

$$j[\varrho, \nu] = \bar{\varrho} \nabla S_0[\bar{\varrho} + \hat{\varrho}] + \sum_n M_n \delta_n \nabla S_0 \left[ \bar{\varrho} + \sum_{m \neq n} M_m \delta_m \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_n M_n \nu_n \nabla \delta_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{\varrho} &+ \nabla \cdot (\bar{\varrho} \nabla S_0[\bar{\varrho}] - \nabla \bar{\varrho}) + \nabla \bar{\varrho} \cdot \nabla S_0[\hat{\varrho}] \\ &+ \sum_n \delta_n (\dot{M}_n - \bar{\varrho} M_n) \\ &- \sum_n M_n \nabla \delta_n \left( \dot{x}_n - \nabla S_0 \left[ \bar{\varrho} + \sum_{m \neq n} M_m \delta_m \right] \right) \\ &+ \sum_n \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \nu_n : \nabla^2 \delta_n - M_n \Delta \delta_n \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

---

The last row this gives

$$\nu_n = 4\pi M_n \text{id}$$

As a consequence of  $\text{tr}(\nu_n) = 8\pi M_n \leq M_n^2$ , point masses have to be at least  $8\pi$  (there is only a finite number of them)

$$\partial_t \bar{\varrho} + \nabla \cdot (\bar{\varrho} \nabla S_0[\bar{\varrho}] - \nabla \bar{\varrho}) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla \bar{\varrho} \cdot \sum_n M_n \frac{x - x_n}{|x - x_n|^2} = 0$$

$$\dot{M}_n = \bar{\varrho}(x = x_n) M_n$$

$$\dot{x}_n = \nabla S_0[\bar{\varrho}](x = x_n) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m \neq n} M_m \frac{x_n - x_m}{|x_n - x_m|^2}$$

Note that  $\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\varrho} dx + \sum_n M_n \right) = 0$

---

## Comparison with Velázquez' results

---

Formal limit of [Velázquez]: there is a factor ( $\leq 1$ ) in front of the right hand side of the force term for  $x_n$ , which depends on the details of the regularization

A local-in-time existence result can be found in [Velázquez]

In general, one has to expect blow-up events in the smooth part  $\bar{\varrho}$  and/or collisions of point aggregates in finite time. At such points in time, a restart is required with either an additional point aggregate after a blow-up event or with a smaller number of point aggregates after a collision. A rigorous theory producing global solutions by such a procedure is still missing

# Long time behaviour

---

Assume again

$$\nu(t, x) = 4\pi \operatorname{id} \sum_{a \in S_{at}(\varrho(t))} \varrho(t)(\{a\}) \delta(x - a)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \varrho_I dx < \infty$$

With  $\hat{M} = \sum_{a \in S_{at}(\varrho(t))} \varrho(t)(\{a\})$  and  $\overline{M} = M - \hat{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \varrho dx &= 4M - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} (1 - \chi_D) \varrho \otimes \varrho dy dx - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{tr}(\nu) dx \\ &= \overline{M} \left( 4 - \frac{M}{2\pi} - \frac{\hat{M}}{2\pi} \right) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{a \neq b, a, b \in S_{at}(\varrho(t))} \varrho(t)(\{a\}) \varrho(t)(\{b\}) \end{aligned}$$

---

For  $M > 8\pi$ , the r.h.s is the sum of two nonpositive terms: the second order moment is a Lyapunov function.

The dissipation term only vanishes when  $\overline{M} = 0$ , and when the atomic support of  $\varrho(t)$  consists of only one point located at

$$X_I = \frac{1}{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x \varrho_I dx$$

Example 1.  $\overline{\varrho} = 0$ , two aggregates:

$\varrho(t, x) = M_1 \delta(x - x_1(t)) + M_2 \delta(x - x_2(t))$ . The asymptotic state is reached in finite time

$$t = \frac{\pi |x_1(0) - x_2(0)|^2}{M_1 + M_2}$$

by collision of the aggregates

---

---

**Example 2.** Initial mass  $\overline{M}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\varrho}(t=0) dx < M_{crit} = \sup_{q>1} \frac{4}{q C_q}$  of the smooth part, one aggregate. The corresponding solution may exist only on a finite time interval

$$\partial_t \bar{\varrho} + \nabla \cdot (\bar{\varrho} \nabla S_0[\bar{\varrho}] - \nabla \bar{\varrho}) - \frac{M_1}{2\pi} \nabla \bar{\varrho} \cdot \frac{x-x_1}{|x-x_1|^2} = 0$$

$$\dot{M}_1 = \bar{\varrho}(x=x_1) M_1$$

$$\dot{x}_1 = \nabla S_0[\bar{\varrho}](x=x_1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\varrho}^q dx = (q-1) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\varrho}^{q+1} dx - \frac{4}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{\varrho}^{q/2}|^2 dx \right) - \bar{\varrho}(x=x_1)^q M_1$$

$$\text{Gagliardo-Nirenberg: } \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^{2(1+1/q)} dx \leq C_q \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^{2/q} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\varrho}^q dx \leq (q-1) \left( C_q \overline{M} - \frac{4}{q} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{\varrho}^{q/2}|^2 dx - \bar{\varrho}(x=x_1)^q M_1 \leq 0$$

$$M_{crit} = 8\pi ?$$

---

## 4. Local density profiles of the regularized problem approximating point aggregates

# Local density profiles

---

For fixed  $t$  and  $a \in S_{at}(\varrho(t))$ , let  $\varepsilon\xi = x - a$  and  $\varepsilon^2\varrho^\varepsilon = R^\varepsilon$

$$\varepsilon^2 \partial_t R^\varepsilon + \nabla_\xi \cdot (R^\varepsilon \nabla_\xi S_1[R^\varepsilon] - \nabla_\xi R^\varepsilon) = 0$$

$R^\varepsilon$  is uniformly bounded, implying compactness of  $\nabla_\xi S_1[R^\varepsilon]$ . The  $L^\infty$ -weak\* limit  $R$  of  $R^\varepsilon$  (take subsequences, formal) satisfies

$$\nabla_\xi \cdot (R \nabla_\xi S_1[R] - \nabla_\xi R) = 0$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\xi - \eta| + 1} R(\xi) R(\eta) d\eta d\xi \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R(\xi) d\xi \right)^2$$

This shows that either  $R$  vanishes or its mass is not smaller than  $8\pi$

---

# Free energy

---

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varepsilon[\varrho] &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \varrho \log \varrho - \frac{1}{2} \varrho S_\varepsilon[\varrho] \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho \log \varrho dx + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \log(|x-y| + \varepsilon) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_\varepsilon[\varrho^\varepsilon] = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho^\varepsilon |\nabla(\log \varrho^\varepsilon - S_\varepsilon[\varrho^\varepsilon])|^2 dx$$

With an arbitrary  $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $R(\xi) = \varepsilon^2 \varrho(a + \varepsilon \xi)$  we have

$$F_\varepsilon[\varrho] = \left( 2M - \frac{M^2}{4\pi} \right) \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + F_1[R]$$

# Free energy

---

**Lemma 3** Let  $R \in L^1_+(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be radial,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(1 + |x|) R(x) dx < \infty$ ,  
 $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R dx$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(1 + |x - y|) R(y) dy \geq \frac{M}{4\pi} \log|x| \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$L^1_{+,M} := \{R \in L^1_+(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} R d\xi = M\}, J_M := \inf_{R \in L^1_{+,M}} F_1[R] \geq -\infty$$

**Theorem 3**  $J_M = -\infty$  for  $M < 8\pi$ , and  $J_M > -\infty$  for  $M \geq 8\pi$ . If  $M > 8\pi$ , there exists a radial nonincreasing minimizer

Logarithmic HLS inequality, [Carlen, Loss]

Riesz symmetrization inequality

Variational method

## References

---

- P. Biler, G. Karch, P. Laurençot, T. Nadzieja, The  $8\pi$ -problem for radially symmetric solutions of a chemotaxis model in the plane, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **29** (2006), pp. 1563–1583.
- A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault, B. Perthame, Two-dimensional Keller-Segel model: optimal critical mass and qualitative properties of the solutions, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* No. 44 (2006), 32 pp.
- A. Blanchet, N. Masmoudi, J. Carrillo, Infinite time aggregation for the critical Patlak-Keller-Segel model in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , to appear in *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (2007).
- E. Carlen, M. Loss, Competing symmetries, the logarithmic HLS inequality and Onofri's inequality on  $S^n$ , *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **2** (1992), pp. 90–104.
- F.A.C.C. Chalub, P. Markowich, B. Perthame, C. Schmeiser, Kinetic models for chemotaxis and their drift-diffusion limits, *Monatsh. Math.* **142** (2004), pp. 123–141.
- M. del Pino, J. Dolbeault, Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **81** (2002), pp. 847–875.
- Y. Dolak, C. Schmeiser, The Keller-Segel model with logistic sensitivity function and small diffusivity, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66** (2005), pp. 286–308.

- 
- J. Dolbeault, B. Perthame, Optimal Critical Mass in the two dimensional Keller-Segel model in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser I* **339** (2004), pp. 611–616.
  - M. A. Herrero and J. J. L. Velázquez, A blow-up mechanism for a chemotaxis model, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **24** (1997), pp. 633–683.
  - T. Hillen and K. Painter, Global existence for a parabolic chemotaxis model with prevention of overcrowding, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **26** (2001), pp. 280–301.
  - T. Hillen, K. Painter, C. Schmeiser, Global existence for chemotaxis with finite sampling radius, *DCDS-B* **7** (2007), 125–144.
  - W. Jäger, S. Luckhaus, On explosions of solutions to a system of partial differential equations modelling chemotaxis, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **329** (1992), pp. 819–824.
  - E.F. Keller, L.A. Segel, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, *J. Theor. Biol.* **26** (1970), pp. 399–415.
  - E. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd edition, Grad. Stud. in Math. 14, AMS, Providence, RI, 2001.
  - C.S. Patlak, Random walk with persistence and external bias, *Bull. Math. Biophys.* **15** (1953), pp. 311–338.
-

- 
- F. Poupaud, Diagonal defect measures, adhesion dynamics and Euler equations, *Meth. Appl. Anal.* **9** (2002), pp. 533–561.
  - J.J.L. Velázquez, Point dynamics in a singular limit of the Keller-Segel model I: motion of the concentration regions, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **64** (2004), pp. 1198–1223.
  - J.J.L. Velázquez, Point dynamics in a singular limit of the Keller-Segel model II: formation of the concentration regions, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **64** (2004), pp. 1224–1248.
  - J.J.L. Velázquez, Well-posedness of a model of point dynamics for a limit of the Keller-Segel system, *J. Diff. Equ.* **206** (2004), pp. 315–352.