Travelling fronts in stochastic Stokes' drifts and Brownian ratchets: homogenized functional inequalities and large time behaviour of the solutions

Jean Dolbeault (Ceremade, Université Paris-Dauphine) (a joint work with A. Blanchet and M. Kowalczyk)

 $http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/{\sim}dolbeaul$

July 9, 2009

Workshop on Asymptotic analysis in the calculus of variations and PDEs, PIMS, Vancouver

Travelling fronts in stochastic Stokes' drifts and Brownian ratchets: homogenized functional inequalities and large time behaviour of the solutions

Outline

- Introduction to ratchets models
- Homogenized functional inequalities
- Traveling and tilted ratchets: speed of the center of mass
- Rescaling and formal asymptotic expansion: effective diffusion

- Results
- Physical interpretation
- Concluding remarks

Introduction to ratchets models

► Molecular motors: how to produce motion at 1µm scale ? "life at low Rayleigh numbers" [Purcell], modelling in biology [Vale-Milligan]



▶ Physics of brownian ratchets [Reimann]: $f_t = \Delta f + \nabla \cdot (f \nabla \psi(t, x))$

Ratchet models: ψ is *t*-periodic

$$f_t = \Delta f + \nabla \cdot (f \nabla \psi(t, x))$$

Flashing ratchet: a model case

$$f_t = arepsilon(t) \, \Delta f + (1 - arepsilon(t))
abla \cdot ig(f \,
abla \psi()ig)$$



Figure: Potential and Gibbs state: $e^{-\psi}$ when $\varepsilon(t) \equiv 1/2$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで



[Chipot-Hastings-Kinderlehrer, Kinderlehrer-Kowlczyk, JD-Kinderlehrer-Kowlczyk] 1d case, no flux boundary conditions: $\varepsilon(t) f_x + f \psi(t,x)_x = 0$ on the boundary

$$f_t = \varepsilon(t) \Delta f + \nabla \cdot (f \nabla \psi(t, x))$$

The solution converges to a unique time-periodic solution



Mass has been transported (to the left) of the interval

Consider a solution of

$$g_t = \Delta g +
abla \cdot ig(g \,
abla \psi(t, x)ig) \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d \ , \ t > 0$$

with initial datum $g_0 \in L^1_+(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $||g_0||_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = 1$ and assume that ψ is doubly periodic: $\psi(t + T, x) = \psi(t, x)$

Conservation of mass

Contraction in relative entropy [Bartier-JD-IIIner-Kowalczyk]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi\left(\frac{g_1}{g_2}\right) \, g_2 \, dx = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \varphi''\left(\frac{g_1}{g_2}\right) \left| \nabla\left(\frac{g_1}{g_2}\right) \right|^2 \, g_2 \, dx$$

• Existence of a (unique) doubly periodic solution: $g_2 = e^{-\psi} / \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-\psi} dx, \ \varphi(s) = s \log s$

$$rac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}g_1\,\log\left(rac{g_1}{g_2}
ight)\,dx\leq -C_{ ext{LS}}\int_{\mathbb{T}^d}g_1\,\log\left(rac{g_1}{g_2}
ight)\,dx+\left\|rac{(g_2)_t}{g_2}
ight\|_{L^\infty}$$

- Existence of a (unique) doubly periodic solution: entropy estimate + fixed-point methods: existence of a doubly periodic solution
- Contraction: the doubly periodic solution attracts all other solutions

 If there is a logarithmic Sobolev inequality, then there is an exponential convergence in L¹ (Csiszár-Kullback inequality)

[JD-Kinderlehrer-Kowlczyk] [Bartier-JD-IIIner-Kowalczyk] Good reasons to use entropy methods

- Easy estimates (compared to L^{∞} or L^2 / Fourier estimates)
- Go well with mass conservation; gradient flow structure (Wasserstein distance [Jordan-Kinderlehrer-Otto])
- Robust (1): allows for not too smooth potentials
- Robust (2): easy to generalize to nonlinear models
- Robust (3): ok even if the asymptotic state is not known
- Give nice results in messy problems (with various time and length scales): "strong" two-scale convergence

But require a detailed knowledge of tricky functional inequalities

Stochastic Stokes' drift model

$$f_t = f_{xx} + \left(\psi'(x - \omega t) f\right)_x \tag{1}$$

 $\psi'(x - \omega t)$ is a traveling potential moving at constant speed ω , ψ is 1-periodic: $\psi(x + 1) = \psi(x)$ conservation of mass: $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t, x) dx = 1$ for any $t \ge 0$

Position of the center of mass: x̄(t) := ∫_ℝ x f(t, x) dx There exists a drift velocity or ballistic velocity c_ω such that

$$ig|rac{d}{dt}ar{x}(t)-c_{\omega}ig|=Oig(e^{-t/\gamma}ig)$$
 as $t o\infty$

A diffusive traveling front appears: effective diffusion coefficient ? asymptotic profile ? Ansatz: equation in self-similar variables

$$f(t,x) = \frac{1}{R(t)} u\left(\log R(t), \frac{x - c_{\omega} t}{R(t)}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad R(t) := \sqrt{1 + 2t}$$

- ... unlimited motion of brownian ratchets
 - position of the center of mass ?
 - profile of the solutions for large times ?
 - rate of convergence towards the asymptotic profile ?

Tools:

- homogenized functional inequalities (logarithmic Sobolev inequalities)
- cell problem
- time-dependent asymptotic expansions (time-dependent homogenization): effective diffusion
- Iogarithmic Sobolev inequalities control the convergence

Physics: efficiency

Homogenized functional inequalities

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Consider the Fokker-Planck equation with a drift corresponding to a harmonic potential modified by a periodic perturbation in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0_+$

$$u_t^{\varepsilon} = \Delta u^{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot \left[x \, u^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \, \nabla \phi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \, u^{\varepsilon} \right] \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \ t > 0$$
 (2)

It has a unique stationary solution with mass $\boldsymbol{1}$

$$u_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}(x) := Z_{\varepsilon}^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - \phi(x/\varepsilon)}$$

Homogenization and turbulence, weak 2-scale convergence: [Goudon-Poupaud] How to study the convergence of $u(t, \cdot)$ to u_{∞} ? Poincaré inequality / logarithmic Sobolev inequalities / entropy methods [Bakry-Emery, Arnold-Markowich-Toscani-Unterreiter]

$$d\mu_0 := Z_0^{-1} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx , \quad d\mu_\varepsilon := Z_\varepsilon^{-1} e^{-\phi(x/\varepsilon)} d\mu_0(x) = u_\infty^\varepsilon(x) dx$$

For any $p\in(1,2]$, consider for $v=u/u_\infty^arepsilon$

$$\mathsf{E}^{(p)}_arepsilon[u] := rac{1}{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[v^p - 1 - p \left(v - 1
ight)
ight] \, d\mu_arepsilon$$
 $\mathsf{E}^{(1)}_arepsilon[u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v \, \log v \, d\mu_arepsilon$

If u is a solution of (2), v solves the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

$$\mathbf{v}_t^{\varepsilon} = \Delta \mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon} - \left[\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \, \nabla \phi \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$$

Generalized Fisher information: $I_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u] := p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v^{p-2} |\nabla v|^2 d\mu_{\varepsilon}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathsf{E}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)] = -\mathsf{I}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)]$$

$$\quad \models \ \frac{d}{dt} \, \mathsf{E}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)] = - \, \mathsf{I}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)]$$

• Functional inequality: for some $C_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} > 0$

$$\frac{4}{p} C_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \mathsf{E}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u] \le \mathsf{I}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u]$$

decay of the entropy

$$\mathsf{E}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)] \leq \mathsf{E}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}[u^{\varepsilon}(0,\cdot)] \, e^{-\frac{4}{p} \, \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \, t} \quad t \geq 0$$

Generalized Csiszár-Kullback inequalities

$$\|u^{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot)-u^{\varepsilon}_{\infty}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d},u^{\varepsilon}_{\infty}d\mathsf{x})}\leq C \ e^{-\frac{2}{\rho}\mathcal{C}^{(p)}_{\varepsilon}t}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● のへで

One step further: Bakry-Emery method

 $u^p \mapsto u^2, \ u \mapsto u^{2/p}$

Logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$$\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} := \inf_{\substack{\nabla u \neq 0 \ d\mu_{\varepsilon} \text{ a.e.} \\ u \in H^{1}(d\mu_{\varepsilon})}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\nabla u|^{2} \ d\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |u|^{2} \log \left(\frac{|u|^{2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |u|^{2} \ d\mu_{\varepsilon}}\right) \ d\mu_{\varepsilon}}$$

Generalized Poincaré (Beckner) inequalities

$$\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} := \inf_{\substack{\nabla u \neq 0 \ d\mu_{\varepsilon} \text{ a.e.} \\ u \in H^{1}(d\mu_{\varepsilon})}} \frac{(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\nabla u|^{2} \ d\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |u|^{2} \ d\mu_{\varepsilon} - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |u|^{2/p} \ d\mu_{\varepsilon}\right)^{p}}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ○ □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Assume: $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-\phi(y)} dy = 1$ and use $u_{\mathsf{e}}(x) = x \cdot \mathsf{e}$ as a test function

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_{\mathsf{e}}|^2 \ d\mu_{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u_{\mathsf{e}}|^2 \ d\mu_{\varepsilon} = 1 \\ &\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_{\mathsf{e}}|^{2/p} \ d\mu_{\varepsilon} = \frac{2^{1/p}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \, \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_{\mathsf{e}}|^2 \ \log |u_{\mathsf{e}}|^2 \ d\mu_{\varepsilon} = \log 2 - 2 + \gamma \approx -0.729637 \end{split}$$

where $\gamma \approx$ 0.577216 is Euler's constant

Lemma

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq \kappa(p) := \frac{p-1}{1 - \frac{2^{1/p}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \, \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p}\right)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

Convex Sobolev inequality [Arnold et al.] or φ -entropy [Chafaï]

$$\int \left[\varphi(u) - \varphi(\bar{u}) - \varphi'(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u})\right] \, d\mu \leq \mathcal{C}_{\varphi} \int \varphi''(u) |\nabla u|^2 \, d\mu$$

 \bar{u} is the average of u with respect to $d\mu$: $\bar{u} := \int u \, d\mu$ and let $d\tilde{\mu}$ be a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to $d\mu$

$$e^{-b}\,d\mu\leq d ilde{\mu}\leq e^{-a}\,d\mu$$
 μ a.e.

Lemma If $\varphi \in C^3$ is a convex positive function, then

$$\begin{split} \int \left[\varphi(u) - \varphi(\tilde{u}) - \varphi'(\tilde{u})(u - \tilde{u})\right] d\tilde{\mu} &\leq e^{b-a} \mathcal{C}_{\varphi} \int \varphi''(u) |\nabla u|^2 d\tilde{\mu} \\ \text{Consequence}: \quad \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} &\geq \frac{p}{2} e^{-\operatorname{Osc}(\phi)} \end{split}$$

$$u_t^{\varepsilon} = \Delta u^{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot \left[x \, u^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \, \nabla \phi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \, u^{\varepsilon} \right] \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \ t > 0$$

Normalization: $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-\phi} dy = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} y \cdot \nabla_y (e^{-\phi}) dy = 0$ Assume that the solution can be written as

 $u^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = u^{(0)}\left(t,x,\frac{x-x_{0}}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon u^{(1)}\left(t,x,\frac{x-x_{0}}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^{2} u^{(2)}\left(t,x,\frac{x-x_{0}}{\varepsilon}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{3})$

where $y \mapsto u^{(i)}(t, x, y) =: v^{(i)}(t, x, y) e^{-\phi(y)}$ is periodic... <u>At order ε^{-2} :</u> $\Delta_y u^{(0)} + \nabla_y \cdot \left(u^{(0)} \nabla_y \phi(y) \right) = 0$

 $v^{(0)}$ does not depend on y

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

At order
$$\varepsilon^{-1}$$
:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{y} u^{(1)} + \nabla_{y} \cdot \left(u^{(1)} \nabla_{y} \phi(y) \right) &= -\nabla_{x} \cdot \left(2 \nabla_{y} u^{(0)} + \nabla_{y} \phi(y) u^{(0)} \right) \\ &= \nabla_{y} \phi(y) \cdot \nabla_{x} u^{(0)} \end{split}$$

that is

$$\nabla_{y} \cdot \left(e^{-\phi(y)} \left(\nabla_{y} v^{(1)} + \nabla_{x} v^{(0)} \right) \right) = 0$$

With $v^{(1)}(t, x, y) = \nabla_x v^{(0)}(t, x) \cdot w(t, y)$, $w(t, y) = (w_j(t, y))_{j=1}^d$ solves *cell equation*

$$\nabla_{y} \cdot \left(e^{-\phi(y)} \left(\nabla_{y} w_{j} + \mathbf{e}_{j} \right) \right) = 0$$

Thus we have obtained that

$$\nabla_{y} w_{j} = \left[\frac{e^{\phi}}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} e^{\phi(y)} dy} - 1\right] e_{j}, \ u^{(1)}(t, x, y) = \nabla_{x} v^{(0)}(x) \cdot w(t, y) e^{-\phi(y)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

At order
$$\varepsilon^0 = 1$$
 :

$$u_t^{(0)} = \nabla_y \cdot \left(e^{-\phi(y)} \nabla_y v^{(2)} \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\nabla_x v^{(0)} + x v^{(0)} \right) e^{-\phi(y)} + \nabla_x \cdot \left(2 \nabla_y u^{(1)} + \nabla_y \phi(y) u^{(1)} \right) + y v^{(0)} \cdot \nabla_y \left(e^{-\phi(y)} \right) .$$

Solvability condition: formally integrate with respect to $y \in \mathbb{T}^d$...

$$v_t^{(0)} = \mathsf{K} \,\Delta v^{(0)} + \nabla \cdot \left(x \, v^{(0)} \right)$$
$$\mathsf{K} := \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\phi(y)} \, dy \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-\phi(y)} \, dy}$$

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 回 < つへの

The solution $u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)$ of $u_t^{\varepsilon} = \Delta u^{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot \left[x \, u^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \, \nabla \phi \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \, u^{\varepsilon} \right]$ has been written as

$$u^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \left(v^{(0)}(t,x) + \varepsilon \nabla_{x} v^{(0)}(t,x) \cdot w\left(t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{2})\right) e^{-\phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}$$

where w is a solution of the cell problem and $v^{(0)}$ is a solution of a Fokker-Planck equation with diffusion coefficient K. As $t \to \infty$

$$u(t, x, y) = v^{(0)}(x, t) e^{-\phi(y)}(1 + O(\varepsilon))$$

$$v^{(0)}(t, x) \to v^{(0)}_{\infty}(x) = \frac{M}{(2 \pi \,\mathrm{K})^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2 \,\mathrm{K}}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

First result: homogenized inequalities

Theorem Assume that ϕ is a C^2 function on \mathbb{T}^d

$$\forall \ p \in (1,2] \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} = \mathsf{K} \, \mathcal{C}_{0}^{(p)}$$

Moreover, $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0_+} \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}^{(1)} \in [\mathsf{k} \, \mathcal{C}_0^{(1)}, \mathsf{K} \, \mathcal{C}_0^{(1)}]$ with $\mathsf{k} = e^{-\operatorname{Osc}(\phi)}$

$$\mathsf{K}^{-1} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\phi(y)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{-\phi(y)} \, dy$$

 $\mathcal{C}_0^{(p)}=p/2$; it is an open question to determine whether $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0_+}\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^{(1)}=\mathsf{K}\,\mathcal{C}_0^{(1)}$ or not

Corollary

Assume that ϕ is a $C^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. If u is a smooth solution of (2), $\exists A[u_0]$

$$\|u^{\varepsilon}-u^{\varepsilon}_{\infty}\|^{2}_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d},(u^{\varepsilon}_{\infty})^{1-p}dx)} \leq \mathsf{A} \, e^{-4 \, \mathcal{C}^{(p)}_{\varepsilon} t/p} \quad \forall \, t > 0$$

 $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)}/p = 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \mathsf{K} < 2 \text{ if } p \in (1,2] \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq 2 \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} < 2 \text{, } \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} 4\mathcal{$

Two-scale convergence

Proposition ([Allaire] – definition of "two-scale convergence") Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^d . If $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$, then there exists $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ such that, up to subsequences,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}(x) \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \, dx = \int \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^d} u_0(x, y) \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy \qquad (3)$$

for all smooth y-periodic function φ . Moreover, $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ weakly converges in $L^2(\Omega)$ to $u_*(x) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} u_0(x, y) dy$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \geq \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{T}^{d})} \geq \|u_{*}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$

Proposition ([Allaire])

Assume that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_*$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Then there exist a subsequence of $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$, still denoted $(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$, which two-scale converges to $u_* = u_*(x)$ Moreover, there exists a function $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega, H^1(\mathbb{T}^d))$ such that

$$\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{two-scale} \nabla_{x} u_{*}(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, y)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Lemma

The embedding $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, d\mu_{0}) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, d\mu_{0})$ is compact Proof. $||u_{n}||^{2}_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}, d\mu_{0})} \leq 1$, $u_{n} := v_{n}/\sqrt{\mu_{0}}$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{2} d\mu_{0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\nabla v_{n}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |x|^{2} |v_{n}|^{2} dx - \frac{d}{2} ||v_{n}||^{2}_{L^{2}}$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |u_{n}|^{2} \log |u_{n}|^{2} d\mu_{0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |v_{n}|^{2} \log |v_{n}|^{2} dx + \log Z_{0} ||v_{n}||^{2}_{L^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |x|^{2} |v_{n}|^{2} dx$... logarithmic Sobolev inequality, with $C_{0}^{(1)} = 1/2$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 \ d\mu_0 \geq \mathcal{C}_0^{(1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 \ \log\left(\frac{|u|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 \ d\mu_0}\right) \ d\mu_0 \quad \forall \ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_0)$$

 \square

Conclusion by Dunford-Pettis' theorem

Also valid for $d\mu_{arepsilon}...$ uniformly with respect to arepsilon

Lemma For any $p \in [1, 2]$, $C_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \leq \frac{p}{2} C_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}$

Theorem ([Beckner, Arnold-Bartier-JD])

$$\forall \ p \in (1,2] \quad \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2-p}{p}\right)^{\alpha} \right] \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} \quad \textit{with} \quad \alpha := \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}}{2 \, \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}}$$

Corollary If $C_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} C_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}$, then $C_{\varepsilon}^{(p)} = \frac{p}{2} C_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}$ for any $p \in [1, 2]$ There is a non-trivial minimizer u_{ε} to $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon} = 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_{\varepsilon}|^2 d\mu_{\varepsilon} = 1$ and $-\nabla \cdot \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - \phi(x/\varepsilon)} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right) = \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} u_{\varepsilon}(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - \phi(x/\varepsilon)}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d))$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x u_{\varepsilon} \left[\nabla_x \varphi(x) + \varepsilon \nabla_x \varphi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \nabla_y \varphi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] d\mu_{\varepsilon}$ $= \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_{\varepsilon} \left[\varphi(x) + \varepsilon \varphi_1\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] d\mu_{\varepsilon}$

 $\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\overset{\text{two-scale}}{\longrightarrow}} \nabla_{x} u_{*}(x) + \nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, y) , \ \mathcal{K}_{0}^{(2)} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_{+}} \mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}$ a two-scale homogenized equation:

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d} \left[\nabla_x u_*(x) + \nabla_y u_1(x, y) \right] \left[\nabla_x \varphi(x) + \nabla_y \varphi_1(x, y) \right] e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - \phi(y)} dx dy$$
$$= \mathcal{K}_0^{(2)} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d} u_*(x) \varphi(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2 - \phi(y)} dx dy$$

An evaluation with $\varphi = 0$ shows that u_1 is given as a solution of

$$\nabla_{y} \cdot \left[e^{-\phi(y)} \left(\nabla_{y} u_{1}(x, y) + \nabla_{x} u_{*}(x) \right) \right] = 0,$$
$$u_{1}(x, y) = \nabla_{x} u_{*}(x) \cdot w(y)$$
where $w = (w_{j})_{j=1}^{d}$ is the solution of the *cell equation*

$$abla_y u_1(x,y) = \left[rac{e^{\phi}}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\phi(y)} dy} - 1
ight]
abla_x u_*(x)$$

Test with $arphi=u_*$ (up to an appropriate regularization) and $arphi_1=0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla_{\mathsf{x}} u_*|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{\phi(y)} \, dy} \, d\mu_0 = \mathcal{K}_0^{(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u_*|^2 \, d\mu_0$$

We can also observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_* \ d\mu_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_\varepsilon \ d\mu_\varepsilon = 0$$

Altogether this proves that

$$\mathcal{K}_{0}^{(2)} \geq rac{\mathcal{C}_{0}^{(2)}}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} e^{\phi(y)} \, dy} = \mathsf{K} \, \mathcal{C}_{0}^{(2)}$$

To prove the reverse inequality, $\mathcal{K}_0^{(2)} \leq \mathsf{K}\,\mathcal{C}_0^{(2)},$ consider

$$\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) := u_{\mathsf{e}}(x) + \varepsilon \, \nabla_{x} u_{\mathsf{e}}(x) \, w\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

where $u_{e}(x) = x \cdot e$ and w is the solution to the *cell problem*

$$\mathcal{K}_0^{(2)} \leq \lim_{arepsilon o 0_+} rac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |
abla \widetilde{u}_arepsilon|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widetilde{u}_arepsilon|^2} d\mu_arepsilon - \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widetilde{u}_arepsilon \ d\mu_arepsilon
ight)^2} = {\sf K} \, \mathcal{C}_0^{(2)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

This completes the proof in case p = 2

A result inspired by [Rothaus]

Proposition

Let ϕ be a continuous function on \mathbb{T}^d and take $p\in(1,2)$, arepsilon>0. Either

$$\mathcal{C}^{(p)}_{\varepsilon} \leq rac{p}{2} \, \mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}$$

is achieved by some non trivial function, or

$$\mathcal{C}^{(p)}_{\varepsilon} = rac{p}{2} \, \mathcal{C}^{(2)}_{\varepsilon}$$

is not achieved by any non trivial function No minimizer: result follows from the case p = 2Otherwise, consider sequences of minimizers and apply the two-scale convergence approach

Traveling and tilted ratchets: speed of the center of mass

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

If f is a solution of (1)

$$f_t = f_{xx} + \left(\psi'(x - \omega t) f\right)_x$$

we observe that $\tilde{f}(t,x) = f(t,x-\omega t)$ is a solution of

$$ilde{f}_t = ilde{f}_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}} + \left(\left(\omega + \psi'
ight) ilde{f}
ight)_{\mathsf{x}}$$

a problem which is known as the *tilted Smoluchowski-Feynman ratchet* Tilted Brownian ratchets are actually much more general, since in the equation for \tilde{f} , ψ may still depend on t (flow reversals)



◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆>

Ξ.

Figure: x $\mapsto \omega x + \psi(x), \, \psi(x) = \cos x,$ and left: $\omega =$ 0.5, right $\omega =$ 1.25 The critical tilt: corresponds to $\omega = 1$

Instead of considering (1) $f_t = f_{xx} + (\psi'(x - \omega t) f)_x$, consider

$$\begin{cases} g_t = g_{xx} + (g \psi'(x - \omega t))_x & x \in S^1, t > 0, \\ g(t = 0, x) = g_0(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_0(x + k) & x \in S^1, \end{cases}$$
(4)

for which, by linearity of the equations, we get

$$g(t,x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(t,x+k) \quad \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ imes S^1$$

With $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_0 \, dx = 1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, \cdot) \, dx = 1$ for any $t \ge 0$, we can define the position of the center of mass by

$$\bar{x}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x f(t, x) \, dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ●

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{x}}{dt} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \, f_t \, dx \quad = \quad -d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi'(x - \omega \, t) \, f(t, x) \, dx \\ &= \quad -d \, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{S^1} \psi'(x - \omega \, t) \, f(t, x + k) \, dx \\ &= \quad -d \, \int_{S^1} \psi'(x - \omega \, t) \, g(t, x) \, dx \\ &\stackrel{\sim}{\underset{t \to \infty}{\sim}} \quad -d \, \int_{S^1} \psi'(x - \omega \, t) \, g_\infty(t, x) \, dx =: \mathbf{c}_\omega \end{aligned}$$

The time-periodic solution $g_{\infty}(t,x) = g_{\omega}(x - \omega t)$ solves the equation

$$(g_{\omega})_{xx} + ((\omega + \psi')g_{\omega})_{x} = 0$$

with periodic boundary conditions

Take a primitive: $x\mapsto (g_\omega)_x+(\omega+\psi')\,g_\omega=:A(\omega)$ is constant and

$$\omega - c_{\omega} = \omega \int_0^1 g_{\omega} dx + \int_0^1 \psi' g_{\omega} dx = A(\omega)$$

Some elementary but tedious computations show that $c_\omega < \omega$, $\lim_{\omega \to 0_+} c_\omega / \omega > 0$, c_ω is positive for large values of ω , and $\lim_{\omega \to \infty} c_\omega = 0$



Figure: Plots of the potential $\psi(x) = \sin x$ (left) and ψ : asymmetric smooth sawtooth potential (right)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



Figure: Plots of c_{ω} and c_{ω}^{0} (no diffusion) as functions of ω in the sinusoidal case (left) and in the case of the asymmetric smooth sawtooth potential (right, in logarithmic coordinates). In the sinusoidal case, the symmetry is reflected by the fact that $c_{-\omega} = -c_{\omega}$ (values corresponding to $\omega < 0$ are not represented). This is not true in the sawtooth case.

A characteristic property of the curve $\omega \mapsto c_{\omega}^0$ is the *critical tilt*, which is still present when diffusion is added

Rescaling and formal asymptotic expansion: effective diffusion

▲日▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ののの

If f is a solution of (1) $f_t = f_{xx} + (\psi'(x - \omega t) f)_x$ and

$$f(t,x) = \frac{1}{R(t)} u\left(\log R(t), \frac{x - c_{\omega} t}{R(t)}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad R(t) := \sqrt{1 + 2t}$$

In the new variables: $R = e^t$ and $z = R x - \frac{1}{2} (R^2 - 1) (\omega - c_\omega)$,

$$u_t = u_{xx} + (x u)_x + R \left(\left(\psi'(z) + c_\omega \right) u \right)_x$$

We will prove that

$$u(t,x) = g_{\omega}(z) h - \frac{1}{R} g_{\omega}^{(1)}(z) h_{x} + O\left(R^{-2}\right)$$

 g_ω depends only on the fast oscillating variable z, κ_ω is an effective diffusion constant

$$h_t = \kappa_\omega h_{xx} + (x h)_x$$

$$\begin{split} u_t &= u_{xx} + (x \, u)_x + R \left[\left(\psi' \left(R \, x - \frac{1}{2} \left(R^2 - 1 \right) A(\omega) \right) + c_\omega \right) \, u \right]_x, \\ R(t) &= e^t \, A(\omega) \neq 0... \text{ two-scale function U} \\ u(t, x) &= U(t, x; z) \quad \text{with} \quad z := R \, x - \frac{1}{2} \left(R^2 - 1 \right) A(\omega) \,, \\ U_t - U_{xx} - (x \, U)_x &= R^2 \left(U_{zz} + \left((\omega + \psi'(z)) \, U \right)_z \right) + R \left(2 \, U_z + \left(\psi'(z) + c_\omega \right) \, U \right) \end{split}$$

We will formally solve the equation order by order.

(i) To cancel the terms of order R^2 in the equation, U has to be proportional to g_ω

$$U(t, x; z) = g_{\omega}(z) h(t, x) + R^{-1} U^{(1)}(t, x; z) + O(R^{-2})$$

(ii) At order R, we find that

$$U^{(1)}(t,x;z) = g^{(1)}_{\omega}(z) h_{x}(t,x)$$

where $g_{\omega}^{(1)}$ is given as a solution of the equation

$$(g_{\omega}^{(1)})_{zz} + \left(\left(\omega + \psi'(z) \right) g_{\omega}^{(1)} \right)_{z} = -2 \left(g_{\omega} \right)_{z} - \left(\psi'(z) + c_{\omega} \right) g_{\omega}$$

There is a *solvability condition* at order R: the average on (0,1) of the right hand side of the equation is 0. Since all functions are periodic and $\int_0^1 g_\omega(z) \, dz = 1$, we recover the condition

$$\int_0^1 \psi'(z) g_\omega(z) \, dz + c_\omega = 0$$

Uniqueness under proper normalization $\int_0^1 g_{\omega}^{(1)}(z) dz = 0$ (iii) At order $R^0 = 1$, the solvability condition is:

$$h_t - h_{xx} - (x h)_x = h_{xx} \int_0^1 (\psi'(z) + c_\omega) g_\omega^{(1)}(z) dz$$

Hence we obtain a modified Fokker-Planck equation

 $h_t = \kappa_\omega h_{xx} + (x h)_x$

where the effective diffusion coefficient is given by

$$\kappa_{\omega} := 1 + \int_0^1 \psi'(z) g_{\omega}^{(1)}(z) dz$$

Lemma

Let χ be the unique periodic solution of

$$\chi'' - (\psi' + \omega) \ \chi' = \psi' + c_{\omega}$$

such that $\int_0^1 \chi \, dz = 0$. Then

$$\kappa_\omega = \int_0^1 |1+\chi'|^2 \, g_\omega \,\, dz \ > 0$$

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{\omega_{\mid \omega=0}} &= \left(\int_0^1 e^{\psi} \, dz \int_0^1 e^{-\psi} \, dz\right)^{-1} = \mathsf{K}^{-1} < 1 \text{ and } \lim_{\omega \to \infty} \kappa_{\omega} = 1 \\ \text{A proof by duality [Goudon-Poupaud]} \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ▲□ ◆ ��や

Fokker-Planck equation: convergence to the modified gaussian

$$\mathsf{U}(t,x;z) = g_{\omega}(z) h(t,x) + g_{\omega}^{(1)}(z) h_{x}(t,x) + O\left(R^{-2}\right),$$

The solution of $h_t = \kappa_\omega h_{xx} + (x h)_x$ converges to $h_\infty(x) := \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\kappa_\omega}}}{(2\pi\kappa_\omega)^{1/2}}$

Lemma

If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_0 \, dx = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_0 \, \log(h_0/h_\infty) \, dx < \infty$, then

$$\|h(t,\cdot)-h_\infty\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}=O\left(e^{-t}
ight)$$
 as $t o\infty$

Proof. The proof is based on the logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \log\left(\frac{h}{h_{\infty}}\right) \, dx \leq \frac{\kappa_{\omega}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \left| \left(\log\left(\frac{h}{h_{\infty}}\right) \right)_x \right|^2 \, dx$$

and on the Csiszár-Kullback inequality

$$\|h - h_{\infty}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h \log\left(\frac{h}{h_{\infty}}\right) dx$$

and $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h \log\left(\frac{h}{h_{\infty}}\right) dx = -\kappa_{\omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} h \left|\log\left(\frac{h}{h_{\infty}}\right)_{x}\right|^{2} dx$

Summarizing

$$u(t,x) = \mathsf{U}(t,x;z) = \left(g_{\omega}(z) - \frac{x}{\kappa_{\omega} R} g_{\omega}^{(1)}(z)\right) h_{\infty}(x) \left(1 + o(1)\right)$$

with $R = e^t$ and $z = R x - \frac{1}{2} (R^2 - 1) A(\omega)$

$$f(t,x) = \left[g_{\omega}(x-\omega t) - \frac{x-c(\omega)t}{\kappa_{\omega}\sqrt{1+2t}}g_{\omega}^{(1)}(x-\omega t)\right]\frac{h_{\infty}\left(\frac{x-c(\omega)t}{\sqrt{1+2t}}\right)}{\sqrt{1+2t}}\left(1+o(1)\right)$$



$$u_{t} = u_{xx} + (x u)_{x} + e^{t} \left[\left(\psi' \left(e^{t} x - \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{2t} - 1 \right) A(\omega) \right) + c_{\omega}(\omega) \right) u \right]_{x}$$

Theorem

Let d = 1, $\omega > 0$, and assume that ψ is C^2 , periodic + a technical condition. For any $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} e^{(\min(1,1/\Bbbk)-\delta))t} \|u(t)-u_\infty(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$

k to be specified... In the original variables

$$f_t = f_{xx} + \left(\psi'(x - \omega t) f\right)_x$$

Corollary

For any $\delta > 0$,

 $\limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{(\min(1,1/k) - \delta))/2} \|f(t) - f_{\infty}(t)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$

First step: u(t,x) = U(t,x;z) is a solution if and only if L U = 0

$$L_{0} U := U_{zz} + ((\omega + \psi'(z)) U)_{z}$$

$$L_{1} U := (2 U_{zz} + (\psi'(z) + c_{\omega}) U)_{x}$$

$$L_{2} U := U_{xx} + (x U)_{x} - U_{t}$$

$$L U := -(R^{2} L_{0} U + R L_{1} U + L_{2} U)$$

and $U := U_0 + R^{-1} U_1 + R^{-2} U_2$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{U}_0(t,x;z) := g_\omega(z) \, h(t,x) \\ \mathsf{U}_1(t,x;z) := g_\omega^{(1)}(z) \, h_x(t,x) \\ \mathsf{U}_2(t,x;z) := g_\omega^{(2)}(z) \, h_{xx}(t,x) \end{array}$$

 $0 = L U = \frac{1}{R} \left(L_1 U_2 + L_2 U_1 \right) + \frac{1}{R^2} L_2 U_2$

Second step: Consider
$$U_{\infty} := \frac{1}{Z(t)} \Big(U_{\infty,0} + R^{-1} U_{\infty,1} + R^{-2} U_{\infty,2} \Big)$$

 $U_{\infty,0}(t,x;z) := g_{\omega}(z) h_{\infty}(x), U_{\infty,1}(t,x;z) := g_{\omega}^{(1)}(z) h_{\infty,x}(x) \chi(e^{-t}x),$
 $U_{\infty,2}(t,x;z) := g_{\omega}^{(2)}(z) h_{\infty,xx}(x) \chi(e^{-t}x)$
 U_{∞} is only an approximate solution, we have

$$\mathsf{L}\,\mathsf{U}_{\infty} = \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z}\,\mathsf{U}_{\infty} + \frac{1}{R}\,\mathsf{F}$$

 $\mathsf{F}/\mathsf{U}_\infty$ is a polynomial of order four in x

$$\begin{split} u_{\infty}(t,x) &:= \mathsf{U}_{\infty} \left(t, x; e^{t} x - \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{2t} - 1 \right) A(\omega) \right) \\ \mathsf{f}(t,x) &:= \mathsf{F} \left(t, x; e^{t} x - \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{2t} - 1 \right) A(\omega) \right) \end{split}$$

$$u_t = u_{xx} + (\varphi'(t, x) u)_x$$

and

$$(u_{\infty})_{t} = (u_{\infty})_{xx} + (\varphi'(t, x) u_{\infty})_{x} - \frac{Z}{Z} u_{\infty} + e^{-t} f$$

with $\varphi'(t, x) = \psi(x - \omega t)$
$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \log\left(\frac{u}{u_{\infty}}\right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[1 + \log\left(\frac{u}{u_{\infty}}\right)\right] u_{t} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u}{u_{\infty}} (u_{\infty})_{t} dx$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\log\left(\frac{u}{u_{\infty}}\right)\right)_{x} \right|^{2} u dx + \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} + e^{-t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f}{u_{\infty}} u dx$$

÷

Corollary (homogenized logarithmic Sobolev inequality) Let $u_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}(x) := c_{\varepsilon} e^{-\phi(x/\varepsilon) - |x|^2/(2\kappa_{\omega})} dx$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\infty}^{\varepsilon} dx = 1$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive constant $\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}$ such that, for any nonnegative $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u dx = 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u \log\left(\frac{u}{u_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}}\right) \, dx \leq \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\log\left(\frac{u}{u_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}}\right) \right)_{x} \right|^{2} \, u \, dx$$

Moreover, $\mathsf{lim}\,\mathsf{sup}_{\varepsilon\to 0}\,\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon=:k/2$ satisfies

$$\kappa_\omega/\mathsf{K} \leq \mathtt{k} \leq \kappa_\omega \max_{[0,1]} g_\omega \cdot \left(\min_{[0,1]} g_\omega
ight)^{-1}$$

$$\mathsf{K}^{-1} = \int_0^1 g_\omega \ dz \int_0^1 g_\omega^{-1} \ dz \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\omega \to 0} \mathsf{K}/\kappa_\omega = 1$$

Choice: $\phi(z) = \log g_{\omega}(z)$, which is itself computed in terms of ψ

To control the error terms, we need to control fourth order moments in the rescaled variables

Proposition ([Dalibard])

If there exists m > d + 8 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|x|^2)^{m/2} u_0 \, dx < \infty$$

then

$$\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|x|^4\ u(t,x)\ dx<\infty$$

Physical interpretation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

At first order, u(t,x) behaves for large values of t like

$$u_{\infty}(t,x) = g_{\omega}(z) h_{\infty}(x) , \quad h_{\infty}(x) := \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi}{2\kappa_{\omega}}}}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa_{\omega}}}$$
where $z = e^{t} x - \frac{1}{2} (e^{2t} - 1) (\omega - c_{\omega})$

Figure: In the sinusoidal case, the limiting function u_{∞} is shown on the left, in self-similar-variables, while on the right, the diffuse, traveling front F_{∞} is plotted in the original variables for t = 0, 1, ... 20. Here we take $\omega = 5$ and (left) $u_{\infty}(t, x)$ is shown as a function of x for t = 2.

1...12



Figure: Plot of the diffusion coefficient κ_{ω} as a function of ω in the sinusoidal case (left) and in the smooth sawtooth potential case (right).

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲屋ト ▲屋ト

æ

The Péclet number Pe describes the competition between the directional drift and the stochastic diffusion of the particle

$$\mathsf{Pe} := rac{c_\omega \, \ell}{\kappa_\omega}$$

where ℓ is a typical length scale. Larger Pe number means that the drift predominates over diffusion



Figure: Plot of the Péclet number Pe as a function of ω in the sinusoidal case (left) and in the smooth sawtooth potential case (right).

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへ()・

The characteristic length scale

$$L := \frac{\ell}{\mathsf{Pe}}$$

can be compared with the diffusion scale at characteristic time T such that $\sqrt{\kappa_{\omega} T} = c_{\omega} T = L$: at that time, the percentage of the initial distribution which is still in the x < 0 region is given by $\int_{-\infty}^{0} \exp\left[-|x - L|^{2}/(2\kappa_{\omega}T)\right] dx = \frac{1}{2} \text{Erf}(1/\sqrt{2}) \approx 16\%.$

Figure: Definition of L and T can be understood as follow. If one starts with a Gaussian distribution centered at x = 0 and evolve it according to the effective Fokker-Planck equation, T is the time for which the solution (centered at L in the above plot) has a variance equal to L. The grey area represents 16% of the area below the solution at time t = T.

The characteristic time scale ${\rm T}=\kappa_\omega/c_\omega^2$ is related with the Péclet number

$$\mathrm{T} = rac{\ell}{c_\omega \operatorname{\mathsf{Pe}}} \; .$$

and can be compared to the time period of the potential $T_0:=\ell/\omega.$ Hence it is meaningful to consider

$$\mathrm{N} := \frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{T}_0} = \frac{\omega \, \kappa_\omega}{\ell \, c_\omega^2} = \frac{\omega}{c_\omega \, \mathsf{Pe}}$$

which measures the "time" in takes to achieve the equality $\sqrt{\kappa_\omega T} = c_\omega T$ in natural units, and to define the efficiency of the transport by

$$\mathsf{E} := \frac{1}{\mathrm{N}} = \frac{\ell \, c_{\omega}^2}{\omega \, \kappa_{\omega}} = \mathsf{Pe} \, \frac{c_{\omega}}{\omega}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへ⊙

Efficiency: plots



Figure: Plot of the efficiency E as a function of ω in the sinusoidal case (left) and in the smooth sawtooth potential case (right). We observe that in both cases, the maximum is extremely well defined. Dots (left) correspond (ω , E(ω)) taking the values (1, 0.210), (3, 0.385), (25, 0.021)



Figure: The effective profile F_{∞} is represented for ω taking the values 1, 3 and 25, which correspond to the dots in the above plots. Curves are plotted for $\omega = 1$ (left), 3 (center), 25 (right) for t = 0, 5, 10, etc., as long as $c_{\omega} t \leq 70$. The curve corresponding to $\omega = 3$ (center) is the most efficient, in the sense that $c_{\omega} t \approx 70$ is reached for a smaller value of t than for the other curves and the solution is kept more peaked. Computations are done in the case of the sinusoidal potential.

(日)

-

Concluding remarks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- ▶ Even for the simplest model, get sharp results: optimal constant in the homogenized Logarithmic Sobolev inequality, higher order expansions in the regime $\kappa_{\omega} < 1$
- Qualitative issues: prove $\kappa_{\omega} > K$, characterize flux reversal
- Numerical challenges (1): proof of the convergence of Monte-Carlo schemes
- Numerical challenges (2): beyond Monte-Carlo schemes: track intermediate regimes and measure the convergence at micro /macro levels

Main idea: by entropy methods, reduce the study of large time behaviours to functional inequalities in a singular limit, that can be studied using variational tools Extend the methods to carefully selected models

- Nonlinear diffusion
- Tilted periodic channel subject to gravitation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

► ...

- A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault, and M. Kowalczyk, Travelling fronts in stochastic Stokes' drifts, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 387 (2008), pp. 5741-5751.
- A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault, and M. Kowalczyk, Stochastic Stokes'drift, homogenized functional inequalities, and large time behavior of brownian ratchets, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 41 (2009), pp. 46 - 76.
- A.-L. Dalibard. Long time behavior of viscous scalar conservation laws with space periodic flux, to appear in Indiana University Mathematics Journal. hal-00345324