Orbitally stable states in generalized Hartree-Fock theory

Jean Dolbeault

Ceremade (UMR CNRS no. 7534), Université Paris-Dauphine, Place de Lattre de Tassigny, F-75775 Paris Cédex 16, France. E-mail: dolbeaul@ceremade.dauphine.fr

Patricio Felmer

Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática, and Centro de Modelamiento Matemático (CNRS UMI 2807), Universidad de Chile, Blanco Encalada 2120, Piso 5, Santiago, Chile. E-mail: pfelmer@dim.uchile.cl

Mathieu Lewin

Département de Mathématiques (UMR CNRS no. 8088), Université de Cergy-Pontoise, Site de Saint-Martin, 2, avenue Adolphe Chauvin, 95302 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex France.

E-mail: Mathieu.Lewin@math.cnrs.fr

July 8, 2008

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to a generalized Hartree-Fock model in the euclidean space. For large classes of free energy functionals, minimizers are obtained as long as the total charge of the system does not exceed a threshold which depends on a parameter that we call the temperature in analogy with models based on a thermodynamical approach. The usual Hartree-Fock modelis recovered in the zero temperature limit. An orbital stability result for the Cauchy problem is deduced from the variational approach.

Keywords: compact self-adjoint operators; trace-class operators; mixed states; occupation numbers; Lieb-Thirring inequality; Schrödinger operator; asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues; free energy; temperature; entropy; Hartree-Fock model; self-consistent potential; orbital stability; nonlinear equation; loss of compactness

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q40 (81V45; 47G20; 81Q10; 82B10)

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a generalized Hartree-Fock model which is a simple extension of the zero-temperature Hartree-Fock model 24 . The minimization of the free energy determines an equilibrium state in the presence of a thermal noise, whose effect is to populate electronic states corresponding to excited energy levels. Compared to the energy functional of the standard Hartree-Fock model, the free energy functional of the generalized Hartree-Fock model has an additional term, which is the product of T with an entropy term. As a consequence, minimizers are mixed states density operators, and our functional provides a measurement of the level of mixing, relatively to the optimal density operator. This is why we call the functional a *free energy*. The standard Hartree-Fock model corresponds to the limit case T=0, for which the optimal density operator is a pure state.

The generalized Hartree-Fock model has been studied 6,26 in the case of an entropy based on the function $\beta(\nu) = \nu \log \nu + (1-\nu) \log (1-\nu)$. It is known in the literature as the Hartree-Fock model with temperature. In this case the free energy is unbounded from below when the model is considered in the euclidean space.

We shall consider other functionals which are bounded from below in terms of the external potential V. This can be seen using interpolation estimates of Gagliardo-Nirenberg type for systems, which are equivalent to Lieb-Thirring inequalities 13 . The result of course depends on the convex function on which the entropy is based. We shall not make a direct use of such inequalities, but our approach will rely on classes of generating functions for which the free energy is well defined and semi-bounded if V(x) = Z/|x|.

We shall add another ingredient, which comes from the modeling in quantum mechanics. We will impose that occupation numbers take values in [0,1] because the electrons are fermions. This can be formalized by considering entropies based on convex functions with support in [0,1] and by assuming that they take infinite values on $\mathbb{R}\setminus[0,1]$. In this paper, we shall adopt the language of density operators: a state of the system is represented by a trace-class self-adjoint operator γ satisfying $0 \le \gamma \le 1$. Occupation numbers n_i are the eigenvalues of the density operator: $\gamma = \sum_{i \ge 1} n_i |u_i\rangle\langle u_i|$. When only a finite number of eigenvalues is non-zero, the operator is of finite rank. We shall say that it describes a pure state when all non-zero occupation numbers are equal to 1, i.e. γ is an orthogonal projector. Minimizers of the Hartree-Fock model are of this form 21 , but this will not be the case in general when the temperature T > 0 is big enough: in this case the corresponding minimizer is a mixed state, that has some non-integer eigenvalues.

A practical consequence of the positivity of the occupation numbers is that the exchange term is dominated by the Poisson term in the Hartree-Fock energy functional. In the case of a bounded domain, not much is changed when the temperature is turned on. A pure Hartree model (without the exchange term), which is usually called the Schrödinger-Poisson system, has already been studied ^{27,14}.

The purpose of this paper is to deal with the whole euclidean space and we shall see that many families of free energies can be considered, each of them giving rise to minimizers under some conditions which eventually depend on the temperature. This is not surprising. Similar phenomena have indeed been observed in other models of mechanics, for instance in kinetic theory. The most studied example is probably the case of self-gravitating gases in astrophysics. In such models, relaxation mechanisms are not so well known, but stationary states can be observed and the corresponding free energy functional, which is also called the energy-Casimir functional, can then be recovered quite easily. Stationary solutions being characterized as minimizers of the free energy, orbital stability appears as a simple consequence

for the collisionless version of the equation ³⁰. In presence of collisions, the picture is compatible with hydrodynamic or diffusive limits, which provides a very natural link with nonlinear diffusion equations ¹⁵. Similar results are known in other frameworks like fluid mechanics ² or nonlinear Schrödinger equations ¹⁰. The striking observation is that many different stationary states can be used simultaneously for measuring the orbital stability of a given solution to the Cauchy problem.

It is because the entropy and energy-based methods have been widely studied in the recent years in the context of PDEs, especially in kinetic theory and for nonlinear diffusion equations ¹, that we use the same vocabulary here. There is indeed a deep connection with these theories, which can be observed at least at the formal level by performing a semi-classical analysis. Of course, the notion of entropy, free energy and temperature that we use here do not have complete justifications as it would be the case for $\beta(\nu) = \nu \log \nu + (1-\nu) \log(1-\nu)^{36,39}$, but they allow us to introduce special classes of solutions when the classical theory would completely fail. Hence we can certainly consider the model as a toy model of temperature type for finding special solutions with remarkable stability properties. The convexity structure of our approach connects these solutions of "temperature type" with functionals of "free energy type" which are well adapted to the measurement of stability properties.

In this paper, we will focus on the mathematical aspects of this model. We consider free energy functionals of the form

$$\gamma \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{HF}}(\gamma) - TS(\gamma)$$
 (1.1)

where \mathcal{E}^{HF} is the Hartree-Fock energy and the entropy takes the form

$$S(\gamma) := -\operatorname{tr}(\beta(\gamma)),$$

 β being a convex function on [0,1]. We study under which conditions (for instance on the total number of electrons, or global charge) such a free energy has a minimizer.

Next we consider the stability of the usual time-dependent equation describing the evolution of a density operator γ ,

$$i\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = [H_{\gamma}, \gamma] , \qquad (1.2)$$

which is called the von Neumann equation. Here H_{γ} is a self-adjoint operator depending on γ but not on the function β . Orbital stability of the solution obtained by minimizing (1.1) is then a straightforward consequence of the variational method and the existence theory for the Cauchy problem ^{12,11}. Since we consider a large class of entropies based on various functions β , we thereby construct a large class of associated stable states of the time-dependent equation (1.2).

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we state our main results and only give the shortest proofs. Other proofs are detailed in Section 3.

2. Results

2.1. Definition of the free energy

The Hartree-Fock energy, written in terms of the density matrix γ , reads

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{HF}}(\gamma) := \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)\gamma\right) - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\gamma}(x)}{|x|} \, dx + \frac{1}{2} D(\rho_{\gamma}, \rho_{\gamma}) - \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x, y)|^2}{|x - y|} \, dx \, dy \, . \tag{2.1}$$

The first term of the right hand side of (2.1) is the kinetic energy of the electrons and the second is the electrostatic interaction with a classical nucleus of charge Z, located at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We use the notation

$$D(f,g) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(x) g(y)}{|x - y|} \ dx \, dy$$

for the classical Coulomb interaction between two densities of charge f and g. Such a quantity is well-defined as soon as, for instance, $f,g\in L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality 23 . The last two terms of the r.h.s. of (2.1) describe the interaction between the electrons. The classical electrostatic interaction $D(\rho_{\gamma},\rho_{\gamma})/2$ is usually called the *direct term*. The last term of (2.1) is a purely quantum term, which is a consequence of the Pauli exclusion principle, called the *exchange term*. The Hartree-Fock energy (2.1) was first studied from a mathematical point of view by Lieb and Simon 24 and then by various other authors 25,6,5 .

The generalized Hartree-Fock model 6 relies on the free energy, which is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) := \mathcal{E}^{HF}(\gamma) + T \operatorname{tr} (\beta(\gamma)) . \tag{2.2}$$

The function β in (2.1) is a convex function defined on [0,1] with values in \mathbb{R} . To take into account the constraint on the occupation numbers, we extend it to $+\infty$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus [0,1]$. The quantity $-\operatorname{tr} \left(\beta(\gamma)\right)$ is the entropy and T is the temperature. In quantum mechanics, a common choice is $\beta(\nu) = \nu \log \nu + (1-\nu) \log(1-\nu)$, but the model makes sense only on a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^3 or for finite-rank density matrices 26 . We shall investigate other entropies 13 for which the problem can be studied in the whole euclidean space.

In the whole paper, we assume that

(A1) β is a strictly convex C^1 function on (0,1),

(A2)
$$\beta(0) = 0$$
 and $\beta \ge 0$ on $[0, 1]$.

Then we introduce a modified Legendre transform of β

$$g(\lambda) := \underset{0 \le \nu \le 1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(\lambda \, \nu + \beta(\nu) \right) \, ,$$

i.e.

$$g(\lambda) = \sup \left\{ \inf \left\{ (\beta')^{-1}(-\lambda), 1 \right\}, 0 \right\}.$$

Notice that g is a nonincreasing function with $0 \le g \le 1$. Also define

$$\beta^*(\lambda) := \lambda q(\lambda) + (\beta \circ q)(\lambda)$$
.

As we will be interested in the minimization of the energy (2.1) under a charge constraint of the form tr $\gamma = q$, we can substract $T \beta'(0)$ tr γ to the definition of the free energy (2.2). Hence we shall assume from now on that

(A3) β is a nonnegative C^1 function on [0,1) and $\beta'(0)=0$,

without much loss of generality. With this assumption, $g_{\mathbb{R}^+} \equiv 0$ and g is positive on $(-\infty,0)$. Then we also assume that β^* satisfies the following condition,

(A4)
$$\sum_{j\geq 1} j^2 |\beta^*(-Z^2/(4Tj^2))| < \infty$$
.

The role of this T-dependent condition is to ensure that the ground state free energy is finite, using an estimate based on the eigenvalues of the no-spin hydrogen atom. We recall that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta - Z/|x|$ are $-Z^2/(4j^2)$ and that each eigenvalue is degenerated with multiplicity j^2 .

Example 2.1. A typical example ¹³ is

$$\beta(\nu) = \nu^m$$

which satisfies (A1)-(A4) as long as 1 < m < 3. In this special case

$$g(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \min\left\{ \left(\frac{-\lambda}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, 1 \right\} & \text{if} \quad \lambda < 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta^*(\lambda) = -(m-1)\left(\frac{-\lambda}{m}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}$$
 if $-m < \lambda < 0$.

We define next an adapted functional setting for the definition of the energy in terms of density matrices. Let us introduce the following space of operators

$$\mathfrak{H}:=\left\{\gamma:L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\mid \gamma=\gamma^*,\ \gamma\in\mathfrak{S}_1\,,\ \sqrt{-\Delta}\,|\gamma|\,\sqrt{-\Delta}\in\mathfrak{S}_1\right\}\,,$$

where we have denoted by \mathfrak{S}_1 the space of trace-class operators 29,32 . This is a Banach space when endowed with the norm

$$\|\gamma\|_{\mathfrak{H}} = \operatorname{tr} |\gamma| + \operatorname{tr} \left(\sqrt{-\Delta} |\gamma| \sqrt{-\Delta}\right).$$

We also introduce the convex closed subset of $\mathfrak H$ defined by

$$\mathcal{K} := \{ \gamma \in \mathfrak{H} \mid 0 \le \gamma \le 1 \} .$$

Since β is convex and $\beta(0) = 0$, we have $0 \le \beta(\nu) \le \beta(1) \nu$ on [0,1]. Hence for any $\gamma \geq 0$, we have $0 \leq \beta(\gamma) \leq \beta(1) \gamma$. This proves that $\beta(\gamma) \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ when $\gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and therefore tr $(\beta(\gamma))$ is well-defined for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$.

For any nonnegative operator γ , we use the shorthand notation

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)\gamma\right) := \operatorname{tr}\left(\sqrt{-\Delta}\gamma\sqrt{-\Delta}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}.$$

Of course $\operatorname{tr}((-\Delta)\gamma)$ is finite when $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$.

For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$, we define the associated density of charge:

$$\rho_{\gamma}(x) = \gamma(x, x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

where $\gamma(x,y)$ is the kernel of the trace-class operator γ . Using the spectral decomposition of γ , the following classical inequality is easily proved:

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{K}, \qquad \left\| \nabla \sqrt{\rho_{\gamma}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} \leq \operatorname{tr} \left(\sqrt{-\Delta} \gamma \sqrt{-\Delta} \right).$$

Hence we have

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{K} , \qquad \left\| \sqrt{\rho_{\gamma}} \right\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} \leq \| \gamma \|_{\mathfrak{H}} .$$

and, as a consequence, $\rho_{\gamma} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. This also shows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\gamma}(x)}{|x|} \, dx < \infty$ and $D(\rho_{\gamma}, \rho_{\gamma}) < \infty$, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality 23 . Finally, from $\gamma \geq 0$, it follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for sequences that

$$|\gamma(x,y)|^2 \le \rho_{\gamma}(x)\,\rho_{\gamma}(y)$$
 for a.e. $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, (2.3)

so that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x,y)|^2}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy \le D(\rho_{\gamma}, \rho_{\gamma}) < \infty \, .$$

These considerations show that \mathcal{E}_Z^β introduced in (2.1) is a well-defined funtional on \mathcal{K} . We are also interested in minimizing \mathcal{E}_Z^β under a constraint corresponding to a closed convex subset of \mathcal{K} ,

$$\mathcal{K}_q := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{K} \mid \operatorname{tr} \gamma = q \} .$$

2.2. The linear case

We now recall the properties of the linear case corresponding to

$$\mathcal{F}_{Z}^{\beta}(\gamma) := \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)\gamma\right) - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\rho_{\gamma}(x)}{|x|} dx + T \operatorname{tr}\left(\beta(\gamma)\right). \tag{2.4}$$

This corresponds to the case where the last two terms in (2.1) are removed, i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}_Z^\beta(\gamma) = \mathcal{F}_Z^\beta(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} D(\rho_\gamma, \rho_\gamma) - \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\gamma(x, y)|^2}{|x - y|} \, dx \, dy .$$

Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A4). Then a straightforward minimization ¹³ gives

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) = \sum_{j \ge 1} j^2 \beta^* \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{T}\right) > -\infty , \qquad (2.5)$$

1 < m < 5/3	$5/3 \le m < 3$	$m \ge 3$
$q_{\max}^{\lim}(T) < \infty$	$q_{\max}^{\lim}(T) = \infty$	Linear energy (2.4)
Existence iff	Existence	unbounded
$0 \le q \le q_{\max}^{\lim}(T)$	$\forall q \geq 0$	from
		below
Energy (2.4) bounded from below		

Table 1. Existence and non-existence of minimizers with a finite trace for (2.7) for $\beta(\nu) = \nu^m$.

where $\lambda_j = -\frac{Z^2}{4j^2}$ are the negative eigenvalues of $-\Delta - Z/|x|$ with multiplicity j^2 . A ground state for (2.5) is formally given by

$$\gamma = g\left(\frac{1}{T}\left(-\Delta - \frac{Z}{|x|}\right)\right)$$
.

However, this state does not necessarily belong to K. Although its kinetic energy is finite by (2.5), its trace

$$q_{\max}^{\lim}(T) := \operatorname{tr}\left(g\left(\frac{1}{T}\left(-\Delta - \frac{Z}{|x|}\right)\right)\right) = \sum_{j \ge 1} j^2 g\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{T}\right) \in (0, \infty]$$
 (2.6)

could in principle be infinite. Then the minimization problem with constraint

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_q} \mathcal{F}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) \tag{2.7}$$

admits a minimizer for all $q \geq 0$ if $q_{\max}^{\text{lin}} = \infty$, whereas it has a minimizer if and only if $q \in [0, q_{\max}^{\text{lin}}]$ if $q_{\max}^{\text{lin}} < \infty$. In all cases, this minimizer solves the equation

$$\gamma = g\left(\frac{1}{T}(-\Delta - \frac{Z}{|x|} - \mu)\right)$$

for some $\mu \leq 0$, a Lagrange multiplier which is chosen to ensure that the condition $\operatorname{tr} \gamma = q$ is satisfied. The function $\mu \mapsto q(\mu) := \operatorname{tr} \left(g \left((-\Delta - Z/|x| - \mu)/T \right) \right)$ is indeed non decreasing and satisfies $q(\mu) = 0$ for $\mu < 0$, $|\mu|$ large enough and $q(\mu) \to q_{\text{max}}^{\text{lin}}$ when $\mu \to 0$. The range of the function $q(\mu)$ gives all possible q's for which there is a minimizer for (2.7).

When $q_{\text{max}}^{\text{lin}}$ is finite, it can take very small values, depending on the temperature T. For instance, let us take $\beta(\nu) = \nu^m$ as in Example 2.1. In this case we see that $q_{\rm max}^{\rm lin} < \infty$ if and only if m < 5/3 , as summarized in Table 1. For $T > Z^2/(4\,m)$,

$$q_{\max}^{\lim}(T) = \left(\frac{Z^2}{4Tm}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \sum_{j\geq 1} j^{2-\frac{2}{m-1}} = \left(\frac{Z^2}{4Tm}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \zeta\left(2\frac{m-2}{m-1}\right)$$
(2.8)

where ζ denotes the Riemann zeta function. We observe that $q_{\max}^{\lim}(T) \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$. We shall observe a similar result in the nonlinear case.

2.3. Minimization of the free energy

As a consequence of (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain that \mathcal{E}_Z^{β} is bounded from below on \mathcal{K} for any β satisfying (A1)-(A4). Hence we can define

$$I_Z^{\beta}(q) := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{K} \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}(\gamma) = q \right\}$$
 (2.9)

and

$$I_Z^{\beta} := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{K} \right\} = \inf_{q \geq 0} I^{\beta}(q) .$$

It is easily seen that \mathcal{E}_Z^β is continuous for the topology of \mathfrak{H} . If we do not put any external potential, that is if we take Z=0, $I_0^\beta(q)$ can be computed explicitly:

Lemma 2.1 (Ground state energy with Z = 0). Assume that β satisfies (A1)–(A4) for some T > 0. Then we have for any $q \ge 0$

$$I_0^{\beta}(q) = \inf_{\substack{0 \le n_i \le 1 \\ \sum_{i>1} n_i = q}} \beta(n_i) = \beta'(0) q = 0.$$

Proof. Let Z = 0. By (2.3) and using $\operatorname{tr}((-\Delta)\gamma) \geq 0$, we have that

$$I_0^{\beta}(q) \ge \inf_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{K} \\ \operatorname{tr} \gamma = q}} \operatorname{tr} \left(\beta(\gamma) \right) = \inf_{\substack{0 \le n_i \le 1 \\ \sum_{i > 1} n_i = q}} \sum_{i \ge 1} \beta(n_i) = 0 .$$

Now let $\epsilon>0$ and $\gamma=\sum_{1\leq i\leq K}\nu_i\,|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|$ be a finite-rank operator such that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\beta(\gamma)\right) \leq \inf_{\substack{\gamma' \in \mathcal{K} \\ \operatorname{tr}\gamma' = q}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\beta(\gamma')\right) + \epsilon = \epsilon.$$

As the φ_i 's can be chosen arbitrarily, we can assume that $\varphi_i \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq K$, in which case $(-\Delta) \gamma \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. Let $U_\eta : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the dilatation unitary operator defined as $U_\eta(\varphi)(x) := \eta^{3/2} \varphi(\eta \, x)$ and which is such that $U_\eta^* = U_{1/\eta}$. Notice that $U_\eta^* \gamma \, U_\eta \in \mathcal{K}$ and that $\operatorname{tr} \left(\beta(U_\eta^* \gamma \, U_\eta) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\beta(\gamma)\right)\right)$ for all $\eta > 0$. Using the equality $(-\Delta) \, U_\eta = \eta^2 \, U_\eta(-\Delta)$, we infer

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)U_{\eta}^{*}\gamma U_{\eta}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(-\Delta\right)\gamma\right).$$

Similarly, the kernel of $U_\eta^* \gamma U_\eta$ is $(U_\eta^* \gamma U_\eta)(x,y) = \eta^{-3} \gamma(x/\eta,y/\eta)$. Hence $\rho_{U_\eta^* \gamma U_\eta}(x) = \eta^{-3} \rho_\gamma(x/\eta)$ and

$$D(\rho_{\,U_\eta^*\gamma U_\eta},\rho_{\,U_\eta^*\gamma U_\eta}) = \frac{1}{\eta}\,D(\rho_\gamma,\rho_\gamma)\;,$$

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|(U_{\eta}^* \gamma U_{\eta})(x,y)|^2}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy = \frac{1}{\eta} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|\gamma(x,y)|^2}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy \, .$$

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{E}_0^{\beta}(U_n^* \gamma U_\eta) \le C/\eta + \operatorname{tr}(\beta(\gamma)).$$

Taking first $\eta \to \infty$ and then $\epsilon \to 0$ yields the result.

We now state our main result.

Theorem 2.1 (Minimization for the generalized HF model). Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A4) for some T>0.

- (1) For every $q \geq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:
 - (i) all minimizing sequences $(\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for $I_Z^\beta(q)$ are precompact in \mathcal{K} , (ii) $I_Z^\beta(q) < I_Z^\beta(q')$ for all q, q' such that $0 \leq q' < q$.
- (2) Any minimizer γ of $I_Z^{\beta}(q)$ satisfies the self-consistent equation

$$\gamma = g((H_{\gamma} - \mu)/T), \quad H_{\gamma} = -\Delta - \frac{Z}{|x|} + \rho_{\gamma} * |\cdot|^{-1} - \frac{\gamma(x,y)}{|x-y|}$$

for some $\mu \leq 0$.

- (3) The minimization problem $I_Z^{\beta}(q)$ has no minimizer if $q \geq 2Z + 1$.
- (4) Problem I_Z^{β} always has a minimizer $\bar{\gamma}$. It satisfies the self-consistent equation

$$\bar{\gamma} = g(H_{\bar{\gamma}}/T)$$
.

Remark 2.1. We shall prove below in Lemma 3.3 (also see Lemma 2.1) that

$$\forall q, q' \text{ such that } 0 \le q' \le q, \quad I_Z^{\beta}(q) \le I_Z^{\beta}(q-q') + I_0^{\beta}(q') = I_Z^{\beta}(q-q').$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is given below in Section 3.1. Let us investigate the validity of Condition (ii) in Theorem 2.1. We first give a bound on the largest possible charge $q_{\text{max}}^{\text{HF}}$ of minimizers by comparing with the linear model.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A4) for some T > 0. Then the minimization problem (2.9) has no solution if $q \ge q_{\text{max}}^{\text{lin}}$ as defined in (2.6).

As a consequence of Proposition 2.1 and Part (3) of Theorem 2.1, we obtain that the largest possible charge $q_{\rm max}^{\rm HF}$ for the nonlinear problem (2.9) satisfies

$$q_{\mathrm{max}}^{\mathrm{HF}} \leq \min \left\{ q_{\mathrm{max}}^{\mathrm{lin}} \; , \; 2\,Z+1 \right\} \; .$$

In case of Example 2.1, for $\beta(\nu) = \nu^m$, the largest possible charge $q_{\text{max}}^{\text{HF}}$ converges to zero as $T \to \infty$, by (2.8).

Proof. Let γ be a minimizer of $I_Z^{\beta}(q)$ for some q. By Theorem 2.1, it solves the self-consistent equation $\gamma = g((H_{\gamma} - \mu)/T)$ for some multiplier $\mu \leq 0$. Next we notice that

$$\rho_{\gamma} * \frac{1}{|\cdot|} - \frac{\gamma(x,y)}{|x-y|} \ge 0 \tag{2.10}$$

in the sense of operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In fact, we notice that

$$\left\langle \left(\rho_{|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|} * \frac{1}{|\cdot|} - \frac{\varphi(x)\,\varphi(y)}{|x-y|} \right) \psi, \psi \right\rangle$$

$$= \iint \frac{\varphi(x)^2\,\psi(y)^2 - \varphi(x)\,\varphi(y)\,\psi(x)\,\psi(y)}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy \ge 0$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Then (2.10) follows from the decomposition $\gamma = \sum_{j\geq 1} n_j |\varphi_j\rangle\langle\varphi_j|$ with $n_j\geq 0$. From (2.10) we deduce that

$$H_{\gamma} \ge -\Delta - \frac{Z}{|x|}$$

in the sense of self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since g is nonincreasing, we infer

$$q = \operatorname{tr}\left(g((H_{\gamma} - \mu)/T)\right) \le \operatorname{tr}\left(g(H_{\gamma}/T) \le \operatorname{tr}\left(g((-\Delta - Z/|x|)/T)\right) = q_{\max}^{\lim}.$$

On the other hand we can give a positive lower bound on $q_{\text{max}}^{\text{HF}}$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A4) for some T > 0. Then for all q such that

$$0 \le q \le \min\left\{\sum_{j>1} g\left(\frac{-(Z-q)^2}{4Tj^2}\right), Z\right\},\tag{2.11}$$

Condition (ii) in Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

Remark 2.2. With $\lambda_j(\varepsilon) = -\varepsilon^2/(4j^2)$, if $\sum_{j\geq 1} g(\lambda_j(\varepsilon)/T) = \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, existence of a minimizer for $I_Z^\beta(q)$ holds for all $q \leq Z$, whereas, if $\sum_{j\geq 1} g(\lambda_j(\varepsilon)/T)$ is finite for some $\varepsilon > 0$, we get the existence at least on an interval $[0,q_{\max}]$ for some $q_{\max} > 0$, because the right hand side of (2.11) does not converge to 0 as $q \to 0$. It is natural to conjecture that existence holds true if we replace $\sum_{j\geq 1} g(\lambda_j(Z-q)/T)$ by $\sum_{j\geq 1} j^2 g(\lambda_j(Z-q)/T)$ in the r.h.s. of (2.11), like in the linear case, but we have been unable to prove it.

If $\beta(\nu) = \nu^m$ with 1 < m < 3, then $\sum_{j \ge 1} g(\lambda_j(\varepsilon)/T) < \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and so existence holds true on some interval $[0,q_{\max}]$.

The proof of Proposition 2.2 is given below in Section 3.2. The case T=0 is well known 24,25 and it is not difficult to see that estimates are uniform as $T\to 0_+$. Summarizing, we have found the following existence result.

Corollary 2.1 (Existence of minimizers for the generalized HF model). Let $T \geq 0$. Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A3), and (A4) if T is positive. Then there exists $q_{\max} > 0$ such that the minimization problem (2.9) has a minimizer for any $q \in [0, q_{\max}]$.

2.4. Orbital stability

An interesting consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 is that the set of all minimizers is orbitally stable for the von Neumann time-dependent equation, which reads

$$\begin{cases}
i \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = [H_{\gamma}, \gamma], \\
\gamma(0) = \gamma_0 \in \mathcal{K}.
\end{cases}$$
(2.12)

It was proved in ¹¹ that (2.12) has a global-in-time solution $t \mapsto \gamma(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{K})$ for all fixed $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{K}_q$ and that $\operatorname{tr}(\gamma(t)) = q$, $\mathcal{E}_Z^{\operatorname{HF}}(\gamma(t)) = \mathcal{E}_Z^{\operatorname{HF}}(\gamma_0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\beta(\gamma)$ commutes with H_{γ} , it is clear that tr $(\beta(\gamma(t)))$ is also conserved.

For given β , Z > 0, q > 0 and $T \ge 0$, let \mathcal{M} be the set of all minimizers for (2.9). We shall say that \mathcal{M} is *orbitally stable* if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for all $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{K}_q$ with $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_0, \mathcal{M}) \leq \eta$, if $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is a solution of (2.12) with initial data γ_0 , we have $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma(t), \mathcal{M}) \leq \epsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma, \mathcal{M}) := \inf_{\delta \in \mathcal{M}} \|\gamma - \delta\|_{\mathfrak{H}}.$

As a consequence of the continuity of \mathcal{F}_Z^{β} and of the variational approach of Section 2.3, we have the

Proposition 2.3 (Orbital stability). Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A4) for some T>0 and that (i) holds true in Theorem 2.1 for some q>0. Then $\mathcal M$ is orbitally stable.

Proof. As in ¹⁰, the result is a consequence of the conservation of the free energy along the flow and the compactness of all minimizing sequences.

It is interesting to emphasize that we have been able to construct a large class of orbitaly stable states for the von Neumann equation (2.12). Indeed, any function β satisfying the above assumptions gives rise to an orbitaly stable set \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{K} .

3. Proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2

For the sake of simplicity, we shall assume that T=1. Proving the same results for any T > 0 does not add any difficulty.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We recall that according to [Reed-Simon, Thm VI.26] ²⁹, \mathfrak{S}_1 is the dual of the space of compact operators acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence we can endow the Banach space \mathfrak{H} with the weak-* topology for which $\gamma_n \rightharpoonup \gamma \in \mathfrak{H}$ means

$$\operatorname{tr}(\gamma_n K) \to \operatorname{tr}(\gamma K)$$
 and $\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{-\Delta} \gamma_n \sqrt{-\Delta} K) \to \operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{-\Delta} \gamma \sqrt{-\Delta} K)$

for all compact operators K. The convex set K is closed for the strong topology of \mathfrak{H} and also closed for this weak-* topology. Of course our main problem will be that when $\gamma_n \rightharpoonup \gamma$ in \mathcal{K} , there could be a loss of mass at infinity in such a way that $\operatorname{tr} \gamma < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} \gamma_n$. Indeed the linear functional $\gamma \mapsto \operatorname{tr} \gamma$ is continuous but not weakly-* continuous on \mathfrak{H} , *i.e.* the sets

$$\mathcal{K}_q := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{K} \mid \operatorname{tr} \gamma = q \}$$

are not closed for the weak-* topology.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 follows some classical ideas which have been introduced in various papers ^{24,25,4,18,19}.

Step 1. Properties of the energy.

$$\{\gamma \in \mathcal{K} \mid \operatorname{tr} \gamma \leq q\} = \bigcup_{0 \leq q' \leq q} \mathcal{K}_{q'}.$$

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\gamma_n \rightharpoonup \gamma \in \mathcal{K}$. Fatou's Lemma gives $\operatorname{tr}\left(\sqrt{-\Delta}\,\gamma\,\sqrt{-\Delta}\,\right) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\operatorname{tr}\left(\sqrt{-\Delta}\,\gamma_n\,\sqrt{-\Delta}\,\right)$. As $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in \mathcal{K} , $\gamma_n(x,y)$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\sqrt{\rho_{\gamma_n}}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $\rho_{\gamma_n}\to\rho_{\gamma}$ strongly in L^p_{loc} , $1\leq p<3$, and a.e., $\gamma_n(x,y)\to\gamma(x,y)$ strongly in L^q_{loc} , $2\leq q<12/5$, and a.e. Hence (2.3) and Fatou's Lemma give

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\rho_{\gamma}(x) \, \rho_{\gamma}(y) - |\gamma(x,y)|^{2}}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy$$

$$\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\rho_{\gamma_{n}}(x) \, \rho_{\gamma_{n}}(y) - |\gamma_{n}(x,y)|^{2}}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy \right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr}(\beta(\gamma)) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{tr}(\beta(\gamma_n)).$$

Also

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}^3} \frac{\rho_{\gamma_n}(x)}{|x|} dx = \int_{\mathbb{D}^3} \frac{\rho_{\gamma_n}(x)}{|x|} dx.$$

All this shows that \mathcal{E}_Z^β is wlsc-* on \mathcal{K} . Now we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{Z}^{\beta}(\gamma) \ge \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left((-\Delta) \gamma \right) + \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta - \frac{Z}{|x|} \right) \gamma \right)$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left((-\Delta) \gamma \right) - 2 Z^{2} q$$

which proves that \mathcal{E}_Z^{β} is coercive as stated.

Lemma 3.2. Consider a minimizing sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{K}$ for $I_Z^\beta(q)$ and assume that $\gamma_n\rightharpoonup\gamma\in\mathcal{K}$. Then $\gamma_n\to\gamma$ for the strong topology if and only if $\operatorname{tr}\gamma=q$.

Proof. Assume that $\gamma_n \rightharpoonup \gamma$ and $\operatorname{tr} \gamma = q$. Then as \mathcal{E}_Z^β is wlsc-* on \mathcal{K} , γ is a minimizer for $I_Z^\beta(q)$. Hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_Z^\beta(\gamma_n) = \mathcal{E}_Z^\beta(\gamma)$. By the proof of Lemma 3.1, we see that this implies in particular $\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} \left(\sqrt{-\Delta} \, \gamma_n \, \sqrt{-\Delta} \, \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\sqrt{-\Delta} \, \gamma \, \sqrt{-\Delta} \, \right)$. This is enough 29 to obtain that $\gamma_n \to \gamma$ for the strong topology of \mathfrak{H} .

Step 2. Binding Inequalities.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A4) with T=1. For every q, q' such that $0 \le q' < q$, we have

$$I_Z^{\beta}(q) \le I_Z^{\beta}(q') \ . \tag{3.1}$$

If equality holds with $0 \le q' < q$, then there exists a minimizing sequence for $I_Z^{\beta}(q)$ which is not precompact.

Proof. We consider two states $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_{q'}$ and $\gamma' \in \mathcal{K}_{q-q'}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_Z^\beta(\gamma) \leq I_Z^\beta(q') + \epsilon$ and $\mathcal{E}_0^\beta(\gamma') \leq I_0^\beta(q-q') + \epsilon$. By density of finite-rank operators in \mathcal{K} and of C_c^∞ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we can assume that $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^K n_j |\varphi_j\rangle\langle\varphi_j|$ and $\gamma' = \sum_{j=1}^{K'} n_j' |\varphi_j'\rangle\langle\varphi_j'|$ where the φ_j and φ_j' are smooth functions with support in a ball $B(0,R) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Now we introduce the translation unitary operator V_{τ} defined as $(V_{\tau}\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x - \tau e)$ where e is a fixed vector in \mathbb{R}^3 . We use the shorthand notation $\gamma_\tau' := V_\tau^* \, \gamma' \, V_\tau$. For τ large enough, we have $\gamma \gamma'_{\tau} = \gamma'_{\tau} \gamma = 0$, hence $\gamma + \gamma'_{\tau} \in \mathcal{K}_q$ and $\operatorname{tr} (\beta(\gamma + \gamma'_{\tau})) =$ $\operatorname{tr}(\beta(\gamma)) + \operatorname{tr}(\beta(\gamma'))$. An easy calculation shows that

$$I_Z^{\beta}(q) \le \mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma + \gamma_{\tau}') = \mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) + \mathcal{E}_0^{\beta}(\gamma') + O(1/\tau)$$
.

Taking first $\tau \to \infty$ and then $\epsilon \to 0$ yields (3.1) using $I_0^{\beta}(q-q')=0$ by Lemma 2.1. If there is an equality in (3.1) for some q and $0 \le q' < q$, a non compact minimizing sequence is built in the same way.

Notice that by Assumption (A3) and Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.3 implies that $q \mapsto$ $I_Z^{\beta}(q)$ is nonpositive, nonincreasing on $[0,\infty)$.

Step 3. Proof that $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$. The implication $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ was already proved in Lemma 3.3. We now prove that $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. To this end, we consider a minimizing sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{K}_q$. By Lemma 3.1, $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in \mathfrak{H} . We can therefor e assume that, up to a subsequence, $\gamma_n \rightharpoonup \gamma$. We argue by contradiction and assume that γ_n does not strongly converge to γ . By Lemma 3.2, this is equivalent to $\operatorname{tr}(\gamma) \neq q$. Notice that by Fatou's Lemma, $\operatorname{tr} \gamma \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} \gamma_n = q$, hence there exists a $0 \le q' < q$ such that $\operatorname{tr} \gamma = q'$. Modifying $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if necessary, we can assume that $\{\gamma_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is of finite rank and that $(-\Delta)\gamma_n\in\mathfrak{S}_1$ for all n.

Now we follow a truncation method ^{18,19}. Let us choose two C^{∞} functions χ and ξ with values in [0,1] such that $\chi^2 + \xi^2 = 1$, χ has its support in B(0,2) and $\chi \equiv 1$ on B(0,1). We denote $\chi_R(x) := \chi(x/R)$ and $\xi_R(x) := \xi(x/R)$. By the IMS localization formula, we have

$$-\Delta = \chi_R(-\Delta)\chi_R + \xi_R(-\Delta)\xi_R - |\nabla \chi_R|^2 - |\nabla \xi_R|^2,$$

from which we deduce that

$$\operatorname{tr}((-\Delta)\gamma_n) \ge \operatorname{tr}((-\Delta)\chi_R\gamma_n\chi_R) + \operatorname{tr}((-\Delta)\xi_R\gamma_n\xi_R) - \frac{Cq}{R^2}$$

As $\rho_{\gamma_n} = \rho_{\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R} + \rho_{\xi_R \gamma_n \xi_R}$, we have

$$D(\rho_{\gamma_n}, \rho_{\gamma_n}) \ge D(\rho_{\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R}, \rho_{\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R}) + D(\rho_{\xi_R \gamma_n \xi_R}, \rho_{\xi_R \gamma_n \xi_R})$$

where we have used that

$$D(\rho_{\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R}, \rho_{\xi_R \gamma_n \xi_R}) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{\rho_{\gamma_n}(x) \, \rho_{\gamma_n}(y) \, \chi_R(x)^2 \, \xi_R(y)^2}{|x - y|} \, dx \, dy \ge 0 \; .$$

Using the estimates $\chi_R(x)^2 \xi_R(y)^2/|x-y| \le 1/R$ if $|y| \ge 3R$, and $\xi_R(y)^2 \le \xi_{3R}(y)^2$ if $|y| \le 3R$, we obtain

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|\gamma_n(x,y)|^2 \chi_R(x)^2 \xi_R(y)^2}{|x-y|} dx dy \le \frac{1}{R} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |\gamma_n(x,y)|^2 dx dy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|\gamma_n(x,y)|^2 \chi_R(x)^2 \chi_{3R}(y)^2}{|x-y|} dx dy.$$

We may also observe that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} |\gamma_n(x,y)|^2 dx dy = \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^2) \le \operatorname{tr}(\gamma) = q.$$

The last ingredient is the

Lemma 3.4 (Brown-Kosaki's inequality 9). Assume that β satisfies (A1)–(A3). Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}$ and consider a self-adjoint operator $X: L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $X^2 \leq 1$. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\beta(X\,\gamma\,X)\right) \le \operatorname{tr}\left(X\,\beta(\gamma)\,X\right)\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Using (3.2), we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}(\beta(\chi_R \gamma \chi_R)) + \operatorname{tr}(\beta(\xi_R \gamma \xi_R)) \leq \operatorname{tr}(\beta(\gamma)).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma_n) \ge \mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R) + \mathcal{E}_0^{\beta}(\xi_R \gamma_n \xi_R) - \frac{C q}{R} - \iint_{\substack{R \le |x| \le 2R \\ R \le |y| \le 3R}} \frac{|\gamma_n(x,y)|^2}{|x-y|} dx dy.$$

Notice that $\operatorname{tr}(\xi_R \gamma_n \xi_R) = q - \operatorname{tr}(\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R)$ and $I_0^{\beta}(q - \operatorname{tr}(\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R)) = 0$ by Lemma 2.1. Summarizing, we have proved that

$$\mathcal{E}_{Z}^{\beta}(\gamma_{n}) \ge \mathcal{E}_{Z}^{\beta}(\chi_{R} \gamma_{n} \chi_{R}) - \frac{C q}{R} - \iint_{\substack{R \le |x| \le 2R \\ R \le |y| \le 3R}} \frac{|\gamma_{n}(x, y)|^{2}}{|x - y|} dx dy.$$
 (3.3)

Lemma 3.5. We have $\chi_R \gamma_n \chi_R \to \chi_R \gamma \chi_R$ strongly in \mathfrak{S}_1 , as $n \to \infty$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} \left(\chi_R \, \gamma_n \, \chi_R \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left(\chi_R \, \gamma \, \chi_R \right) \, .$$

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\chi_{R}\,\gamma_{n}\,\chi_{R}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left((1-\Delta)^{1/2}\,\gamma_{n}\,(1-\Delta)^{1/2}(1-\Delta)^{-1/2}\,\chi_{R}^{2}\,(1-\Delta)^{-1/2}\right)\,,$$

Since $(1-\Delta)^{1/2} \gamma_n (1-\Delta)^{1/2} \rightharpoonup (1-\Delta)^{1/2} \gamma (1-\Delta)^{1/2}$ weakly in $\mathfrak H$ and $(1-\Delta)^{-1/2} \chi_R^2 (1-\Delta)^{-1/2}$ is a compact operator for any fixed R, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} \left(\chi_R \, \gamma_n \, \chi_R \right) = \operatorname{tr} \left((1 - \Delta)^{1/2} \, \gamma \, (1 - \Delta)^{1/2} (1 - \Delta)^{-1/2} \, \chi_R^2 \, (1 - \Delta)^{-1/2} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{tr} \left(\chi_R \, \gamma \, \chi_R \right). \quad \Box$$

Passing to the limit in (3.3) as $n \to \infty$ using the value of I_0^{β} and the weak lower semi-continuity of \mathcal{E}_Z^{β} as proved in Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$I_Z^{\beta}(q) \ge \mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\chi_R \gamma \chi_R) - \frac{C q}{R} - \iint_{\substack{R \le |x| \le 2R \\ R \le |y| < 3R}} \frac{|\gamma(x,y)|^2}{|x-y|} dx dy.$$

Now we can pass to the limit as $R \to \infty$ and we obtain

$$I_Z^{\beta}(q) \ge I_Z^{\beta}(q')$$
,

which contradicts (ii).

Step 4. The self-consistent equation.

Lemma 3.6. Assume that β satisfies (A1)-(A4) with T=1 and consider a minimizer γ for $I_Z^\beta(q)$. Then, for some $\mu \leq 0$, γ satisfies the self-consistent equation

$$\gamma = g(H_{\gamma} - \mu) \ .$$

Proof. The minimization problem is set on a convex set, so γ solves the linearized problem

$$\inf_{\gamma' \in \mathcal{K}_a} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(H_{\gamma} + \beta'(\gamma) \right) \gamma' \right) \right\} .$$

The function β being strictly convex, γ also solves the convex minimization problem

$$\inf_{\gamma' \in \mathcal{K}_q} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left(H_{\gamma} \gamma' + \beta(\gamma') \right) \right\} .$$

This minimizer is unique and given by $g(H_{\gamma} - \mu)$ where the multiplier μ is chosen to ensure the constraint $\operatorname{tr} \gamma = q$ (this is an easy adaptation of (2.5)). Hence

$$\gamma = g(H_{\gamma} - \mu) \ .$$

Notice that $\sigma_{\rm ess}(H_{\gamma}) = [0, \infty)$, hence necessarily $\mu \leq 0$, since γ is a trace-class operator and g > 0 on $(-\infty, 0)$.

Step 5. Non-Existence if $q \geq 2Z + 1$. The proof of Lieb ²² for the usual Hartree-Fock case at zero temperature applies here. We only sketch it for the convenience of the reader.

Assume that $I_Z^{\beta}(q)$ admits a minimizer γ . It satisfies the self-consistent equation $\gamma = g(H_{\gamma} - \mu)$. In particular, $[\gamma, H_{\gamma}] = 0$ and $H_{\gamma} \gamma \leq 0$. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(|x|H_{\gamma}\gamma) \leq 0$$
.

Inserting the definition of H_{γ} , we obtain

$$0 \ge \operatorname{tr}(|x|(-\Delta)\gamma) - Zq + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{|x|}{|x-y|} (\rho_{\gamma}(x)\rho_{\gamma}(y) - |\gamma(x,y)|^2) dx dy.$$
 (3.4)

Now we have by symmetry

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \frac{|x|}{|x-y|} \left(\rho_{\gamma}(x) \, \rho_{\gamma}(y) - |\gamma(x,y)|^{2} \right) \, dx \, dy \\
= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \frac{(|x|+|y|)}{|x-y|} \left(\rho_{\gamma}(x) \, \rho_{\gamma}(y) - |\gamma(x,y)|^{2} \right) \, dx \, dy \\
\ge \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \left(\rho_{\gamma}(x) \, \rho_{\gamma}(y) - |\gamma(x,y)|^{2} \right) \, dx \, dy = \frac{1}{2} \left(q^{2} - \operatorname{tr} \left(\gamma^{2} \right) \right) \ge \frac{1}{2} (q^{2} - q)$$

where we have used the fact that $\operatorname{tr} \gamma^2 \leq \operatorname{tr} \gamma = q$ because $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. Using that $|x|(-\Delta) + (-\Delta)|x| \geq 0$ which is equivalent to Hardy's inequality, we obtain $\operatorname{tr} \left(|x|(-\Delta)\gamma\right) > 0$. Inserting in (3.4), this yields q < 2Z + 1.

Step 6. Existence for I_Z^β . By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.3, we have that $q\mapsto I_Z^\beta(q)$ is non-increasing. Condition (ii) can be written $I_Z^\beta(q)< I_Z^\beta(q')$ for all $q'\in [0,q)$. As we know that for $q\geq 2$ Z+1, $I_Z^\beta(q)$ has no minimizer, necessarily I_Z^β is constant on [2 $Z+1,\infty)$:

$$\forall q \ge 2Z + 1 , \quad I_Z^{\beta}(q) = I_Z^{\beta}(2Z + 1) .$$

Hence

$$I_Z^\beta = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}_Z^\beta(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{K} \,, \; \operatorname{tr} \gamma \leq 2 \, Z + 1 \right\} \,.$$

Now \mathcal{E}_Z^{β} is coercive and wlsc on $\{\gamma \in \mathcal{K} \mid \operatorname{tr} \gamma \leq 2Z+1\}$ by Lemma 3.1, hence it admits a minimizer, with a vanishing Lagrange multiplier μ .

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3.2. Proof of Proposition 2.2

We start the proof by two preliminary results.

Lemma 3.7. For any
$$q>0$$
, $I_Z^\beta(q)<0$ and $\lim_{q\to 0_+}I_Z^\beta(q)=0=I_Z^\beta(0)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $I_Z^\beta(q)$ is nonincreasing, so it is enough to prove the result for q>0, small. Let us construct a state $\gamma\in\mathcal{K}_q$ with q small such that $\mathcal{E}_Z^\beta(\gamma)<0$. If φ is a normalized eigenvector of $-\Delta-Z/|x|$ corresponding to the first eigenvalue $-Z^2/4$, we choose

$$\gamma = q |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$$
.

The result follows from

$$\mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) = -\frac{q Z^2}{4} + \beta(q) = -\frac{q Z^2}{4} + o(q)$$
 as $q \to 0_+$,

since the direct and exchange term cancel for a rank-one projector. The fact that $I_Z^{\beta}(0) = 0$ is a consequence of $\bigcap_{q \geq 0} \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{K} \mid \operatorname{tr}(\gamma) \leq q \} = \{ 0 \}$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}_{q'}$ and denote by $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ the ordered sequence of negative eigenvalues of H_{γ} . Then we have

$$\lambda_j \le -\frac{(Z - q')^2}{4j^2} \ . \tag{3.5}$$

In particular H_{γ} has infinitely many negative eigenvalues converging to zero.

Proof. The proof is inspired by several former papers 24,25 . We consider the subspace V of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ consisting of radial functions. For any nonnegative function $\rho \in V$, we can estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(y)}{|x-y|} \, dy \le \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho \, dx}{|x|}$$

by Newton's Theorem. Recall that this is an easy consequence of the formula

$$u(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(y)}{|x-y|} \ dy = \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{|x| < |y|} \rho \ dy + \int_{|x| > |y|} \frac{\rho(y)}{|y|} \ dy \ .$$

By differentiating with respect to r = |x|, it is indeed easy to check that u is the unique radial solution to $(r^2 u')' = -4 \pi r^2$ such that $\lim_{r\to\infty} u(r) = 0$. Hence, denoting $d\lambda(R)$ the Haar measure of SO₃, if φ is a radial test function, we obtain

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{\varphi^2(x) \, \rho_{\gamma}(y)}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy = \int_{SO_3} d\lambda(R) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{\varphi^2(x) \, \rho_{\gamma}(R \, y)}{|x-y|} \, dx \, dy$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\varphi^2(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dy \int_{SO_3} d\lambda(R) \, \rho_{\gamma}(R \, y)}{|x|} \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\varphi^2(x) \, q'}{|x|} \, dx$$

where Newton's Theorem has been applied to $\int_{SO_2} \rho_{\gamma}(Ry) d\lambda(R)$. This proves that

$$\Pi_V H_\gamma \Pi_V \le \Pi_V \left(-\Delta - \frac{Z - q'}{|x|} \right) \Pi_V$$

where Π_V is the orthogonal projector onto V. The eigenvalues of the operator of the right hand side, restricted to V, are non degenerate and equal to $-(Z-q')^2/(4j^2)$. By the theory of Weyl ²⁹, we know that the essential spectrum of H_{γ} is $[0, \infty)$, and so eigenvalues can accumulate only at 0_- .

Let us prove Proposition 2.2. We argue by contradiction and consider a $q \in (0, Z]$ satisfying (2.11) and for which (ii) in Theorem 2.1 is false. This means that there exists $q' \in [0,q)$ such that $I_Z^\beta(q) = I_Z^\beta(q')$. By Lemma 3.3, I_Z^β is constant on [q',q]. We take q' as the smallest number satisfying this property. We notice that q' satisfies (2.11) because the r.h.s. of (2.11) is nondecreasing. By Lemma 3.7, we have q' > 0.

Also $I_Z^{\beta}(q') < I_Z^{\beta}(q'')$ for any $q'' \in [0, q')$. Hence q' satisfies (ii) and $I_Z^{\beta}(q')$ admits a minimizer γ . It satisfies the self-consistent equation

$$\gamma = g(H_{\gamma} - \mu)$$

for some $\mu \leq 0$.

If $\mu < 0$, by Lemma 3.8, we can choose an eigenvalue $\lambda_j \in (\mu, 0)$ with associated eigenfunction φ . Notice that $g(\lambda_j - \mu) = g'(\lambda_j - \mu) = 0$ and

$$0 \le \gamma + \nu |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \le 1$$

for any $\nu \in [0,1]$. Now we compute

$$\mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma + \nu |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|) = \mathcal{E}_Z^{\beta}(\gamma) + \nu \lambda_j + \beta(\nu) = I_Z^{\beta}(q') + \nu \lambda_j + o(\nu) ,$$

by Assumptions (A1)–(A3). Hence $I_Z^\beta(q'+\nu) < I_Z^\beta(q')$ for any $\nu \in (0,q-q')$, a contradiction.

If $\mu = 0$, then

$$q' = \operatorname{tr}\left(g(H_{\gamma})\right) \ge \sum_{j>1} g\left(\frac{-(Z-q')^2}{4j^2}\right),$$

from which we deduce that q' = q, again a contradiction.

Concluding remarks

The generalized Hartree-Fock model extends the usual Hartree-Fock model, which corresponds to the zero temperature case. Many variants of this model can be proposed in the case of the euclidean space, each of them giving rise to minimizers which are in general finite rank operators, the rank being usually larger than the number of electrons. Generically we obtain mixed states.

Almost for free, an orbital stability result follows from the minimization scheme. Proving the stability with respect to a determined stationary state is still an open question. This would be possible if we knew that the minimizers are isolated, a property which is not granted for the zero temperature case and even known to be false in some special cases.

Our framework is very natural when dealing with density operators, of finite or infinite rank. Recovering known results for the standard Hartree-Fock model by letting the temperature go to zero is not really difficult. This should not suggest that the non-zero temperature case is similar to the case with zero temperature. For instance, the maximal number of electrons or the total charge $q_{\rm max}^{\rm HF}(T)$ that can be bound depends on T, and the study of this ionization threshold as a function of T is an open question.

Acknowledgements. Authors have been supported by the ANR Accquarel and the ECOS project no. C05E09. P.F. was partially supported by Fondecyt Grant # 1070314 and FONDAP de Matemáticas Aplicadas.

© 2008 by the authors. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

References

- 1. A. Arnold, J. A. Carrillo, L. Desvillettes, J. Dolbeault, A. Jüngel, C. Le-DERMAN, P. A. MARKOWICH, G. TOSCANI, AND C. VILLANI, Entropies and equilibria of many-particle systems: an essay on recent research, Monatsh. Math., 142 (2004), pp. 35-43.
- 2. V. I. Arnol'd, On conditions for non-linear stability of plane stationary curvilinear flows of an ideal fluid, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 162 (1965), pp. 975–978.
- -, An a priori estimate in the theory of hydrodynamic stability, Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika, 1966 (1966), pp. 3–5.
- 4. V. Bach, Error bound for the Hartree-Fock energy of atoms and molecules, Comm. Math. Phys., 147 (1992), pp. 527–548.
- 5. V. BACH, E. H. LIEB, M. LOSS, AND J. P. SOLOVEJ, There are no unfilled shells in unrestricted Hartree-Fock theory, Phys. Rev. Lett., 72 (1994), pp. 2981–2983.
- 6. V. BACH, E. H. LIEB, AND J. P. SOLOVEJ, Generalized Hartree-Fock theory and the Hubbard model, J. Statist. Phys., 76 (1994), pp. 3–89.
- 7. R. D. Benguria and M. Loss, Connection between the Lieb-Thirring conjecture for Schrödinger operators and an isoperimetric problem for ovals on the plane, in Partial differential equations and inverse problems, vol. 362 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 53–61.
- 8. A. BOVE, G. DA PRATO, AND G. FANO, An existence proof for the Hartree-Fock time-dependent problem with bounded two-body interaction, Comm. Math. Phys., 37 (1974), pp. 183–191.
- 9. L. G. Brown and H. Kosaki, Jensen's inequality in semi-finite von Neumann algebras, J. Operator Theory, 23 (1990), pp. 3–19.
- 10. T. CAZENAVE AND P.-L. LIONS, Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 85 (1982), pp. 549–561.
- 11. J. M. Chadam, The time-dependent Hartree-Fock equations with Coulomb two-body interaction, Comm. Math. Phys., 46 (1976), pp. 99–104.
- 12. J. M. CHADAM AND R. T. GLASSEY, Global existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for time-dependent Hartree equations, J. Mathematical Phys., 16 (1975), pp. 1122-1130.
- 13. J. Dolbeault, P. Felmer, M. Loss, and E. Paturel, Lieb-Thirring type inequalities and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities for systems, J. Funct. Anal., 238 (2006), pp. 193-220.
- 14. J. Dolbeault, P. Felmer, and J. Mayorga, Compactness properties for traceclass operators and applications to quantum mechanics, Monatshefte für Mathematik, (2008).
- 15. J. Dolbeault, P. Markowich, D. Oelz, and C. Schmeiser, Non linear diffusions as limit of kinetic equations with relaxation collision kernels, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 186 (2007), pp. 133-158.
- 16. G. Friesecke, The multiconfiguration equations for atoms and molecules: charge quantization and existence of solutions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 169 (2003), pp. 35-71.
- 17. Y. Guo and G. Rein, Isotropic steady states in galactic dynamics, Comm. Math. Phys., 219 (2001), pp. 607-629.
- 18. C. Hainzl, M. Lewin, and E. Séré, Self-consistent solution for the polarized vacuum in a no-photon QED model, J. Phys. A, 38 (2005), pp. 4483-4499.
- -, Existence of atoms and molecules in the mean-field approximation of no-photon quantum electrodynamics. Preprint, 2007.
- 20. M. Kunzinger, G. Rein, R. Steinbauer, and G. Teschl, Global weak solutions of

- the relativistic Vlasov-Klein-Gordon system, Comm. Math. Phys., 238 (2003), pp. 367–378.
- 21. E. H. Lieb, Variational principle for many-fermion systems, Phys. Rev. Lett., 46 (1981), pp. 457–459.
- Bound on the maximum negative ionization of atoms and molecules, Phys. Rev. A, 29 (1984), pp. 3018–3028.
- E. H. LIEB AND M. LOSS, Analysis, vol. 14 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second ed., 2001.
- E. H. LIEB AND B. SIMON, The Hartree-Fock theory for Coulomb systems, Comm. Math. Phys., 53 (1977), pp. 185–194.
- 25. P.-L. LIONS, Solutions of Hartree-Fock equations for Coulomb systems, Comm. Math. Phys., 109 (1987), pp. 33–97.
- 26. ——, Hartree-Fock and related equations, in Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France Seminar, Vol. IX (Paris, 1985–1986), vol. 181 of Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1988, pp. 304–333.
- 27. P. A. Markowich, G. Rein, and G. Wolansky, Existence and nonlinear stability of stationary states of the Schrödinger-Poisson system, J. Statist. Phys., 106 (2002), pp. 1221–1239.
- 28. A. Persson, Bounds for the discrete part of the spectrum of a semi-bounded Schrödinger operator, Math. Scand., 8 (1960), pp. 143–153.
- M. REED AND B. SIMON, Methods of modern mathematical physics. I, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, second ed., 1980. Functional analysis.
- 30. G. Rein, Non-linear stability of gaseous stars, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 168 (2003), pp. 115–130.
- 31. J. Schaeffer, Steady states in galactic dynamics, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 172 (2004), pp. 1–19.
- 32. B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, vol. 120 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second ed., 2005.
- 33. J. P. Solovej, *The ionization conjecture in Hartree-Fock theory*, Ann. of Math. (2), 158 (2003), pp. 509–576.
- 34. E. J. M. Veling, Lower bounds for the infimum of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator in \mathbb{R}^N and the Sobolev inequalities, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 3 (2002), pp. Article 63, 22 pp. (electronic).
- 35. —, Corrigendum on the paper: "Lower bounds for the infimum of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator in ℝ^N and the Sobolev inequalities" [JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 3 (2002), no. 4, Article 63, 22 pp; mr1923362], JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 4 (2003), pp. Article 109, 2 pp. (electronic).
- 36. J. VON NEUMANN, Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1955. Translated by Robert T. Beyer.
- Y. H. WAN, Nonlinear stability of stationary spherically symmetric models in stellar dynamics, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 112 (1990), pp. 83–95.
- 38. Y.-H. WAN, On nonlinear stability of isotropic models in stellar dynamics, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 147 (1999), pp. 245–268.
- 39. A. Wehrl, General properties of entropy, Rev. Mod. Phys., 50 (1978), pp. 221-260.
- G. WOLANSKY, On nonlinear stability of polytropic galaxies, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 16 (1999), pp. 15–48.