Stability in Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities JEAN DOLBEAULT

(joint work with Matteo Bonforte, Bruno Nazaret, Nikita Simonov)

Optimal constants and optimal functions are known in some functional inequalities. The next question is the stability issue: is the difference of the two terms controlling a distance to the set of optimal functions ? A famous example is provided by Sobolev's inequalities: in 1991, G. Bianchi and H. Egnell proved that the difference of the two terms is bounded from below by a distance to the manifold of the Aubin-Talenti functions. They argued by contradiction and gave a very elegant although not constructive proof. Since then, estimating the stability constant and giving a constructive proof has always been a challenge.

This contribution focuses on Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities. The main tool is based on entropy methods and nonlinear flows. In our method, proving stability amounts to establish, under some constraints, a version of the entropy – entropy production inequality with an improved constant. In simple cases, for instance on the sphere, rather explicit results have been obtained by the *carré du champ* method introduced by D. Bakry and M. Emery. In the Euclidean space, results based on constructive regularity estimates for the solutions of the nonlinear flow have been obtained in a joint research project with Matteo Bonforte, Bruno Nazaret, and Nikita Simonov.

According to [1], on \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$, there is a positive constant α such that

(1)
$$\mathsf{S}_{d} \|\nabla f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{2} - \|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2^{*}}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{2} \ge \alpha \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{M}} \|\nabla f - \nabla \varphi\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}^{2},$$

where the left-hand side is the difference of the two terms in Sobolev's inequality, with optimal constant S_d , and \mathfrak{M} denotes the manifold of the optimal Aubin-Talenti functions. Various improvements as, *e.g.*, in [4, 7] have been obtained but the question of *constructive* estimates is still widely open: α is obtained by compactness estimates and contradiction arguments, and no good stability estimate is known so far at least with a strong notion of distance as in the right-hand side of (1). See [6] for a result with a weaker norm.

We consider the family of Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities

(2)
$$\|\nabla f\|_2^{\theta} \|f\|_{p+1}^{1-\theta} \ge \mathcal{C}_{\text{GNS}}(p) \|f\|_{2p}$$

with $\theta = \frac{d(p-1)}{(d+2-p(d-2))p}$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ if d = 1 or 2, $p \in (1, p^*]$ if $d \ge 3$, $p^* = \frac{d}{d-2}$. It is known from [5] that equality is achieved if and only if, up to a multiplication by a constant, a translation and a scaling, $f = \mathbf{g}$ where $\mathbf{g}(x) := (1 + |x|^2)^{-1/(p-1)}$. We shall denote the corresponding manifold by \mathfrak{M} as when $p = p^*$. Sobolev's inequality when $d \ge 3$, $p = p^*$, the Euclidean Onofri inequality obtained for d = 2 by taking the limit as $p \to +\infty$ with $f(x) = \mathbf{g}(x) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2p}(h(x) - \overline{h})\right)$,

$$\log\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{h-\bar{h}} \frac{dx}{\pi (1+|x|^2)^2}\right) \le \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla h|^2 \, dx \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{h(x) \, dx}{\pi (1+|x|^2)^2} \, dx$$

and, as $p \to 1_+$, the (scale invariant) Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality

$$\frac{d}{2}\log\left(\frac{2}{\pi \, d \, e} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 \, dx\right) \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|^2 \, \log|f|^2 \, dx$$

are all limit cases of (2). Let us define the *deficit functional*

$$\delta[f] := (p-1)^2 \|\nabla f\|_2^2 + 4 \frac{d-p(d-2)}{p+1} \|f\|_{p+1}^{p+1} - \mathcal{K}_{\text{GNS}} \|f\|_{2p}^{2p}$$

with $\mathcal{K}_{\text{GNS}} = C(p,d) \mathcal{C}_{\text{GNS}}^{2\,p\,\gamma}$, $\gamma = \frac{d+2-p\,(d-2)}{d-p\,(d-4)}$ for some explicit positive constant C(p,d). A scale optimization shows that (2) is equivalent to the inequality $\delta[f] \ge 0$. Stability results for (2) with non-constructive estimates are known from [3, 10].

With $d \ge 1$, $m \in (1 - 1/d, 1)$, the fast diffusion equation in \mathbb{R}^d

(3)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u^n$$

with initial datum $u(t = 0, x) = u_0(x) \ge 0$, $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, (1 + |x|^2) dx)$, can be interpreted as the gradient flow of the *entropy* $\mathsf{E} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^m dx$ with respect to Wasserstein's distance, as it is known from [8]. By the *carré du champ method* (adapted from the work of D. Bakry and M. Emery), we can relate (2) and (3), and obtain a proof of (2). The key property, inspired by the *Rényi entropy powers* of [9] is based on the one hand on the fact that

$$\mathsf{E}' = (1-m)\,\mathsf{I}$$

where $I := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u |\nabla \mathsf{P}|^2 dx$ is the *Fisher information* and $\mathsf{P} = \frac{m}{m-1} u^{m-1}$ is the *pressure variable*, and on the other hand on the identity

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\log\left(\mathsf{I}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{E}^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta(p+1)}}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^m \left\|\mathsf{D}^2\mathsf{P} - \frac{1}{d}\,\Delta\mathsf{P}\,\mathrm{Id}\right\|^2 dx + (m-m_1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^m \left|\Delta\mathsf{P} + \frac{\mathsf{I}}{\mathsf{E}}\right|^2 dx.$$

Hence $I^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathsf{E}^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta(p+1)}}$ is monotone with a limit given by self-similar *Barenblatt functions* or, equivalently by g^{2p} . With the relations $f = u^{m-1/2}$, p = 1/(2m-1), so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{2p} \, dx$, $\mathsf{E} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{p+1} \, dx$ and $\mathsf{I} = (p+1)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f|^2 \, dx$, the role of (2) is easily recovered. A rigorous proof goes through the time-dependent rescaling

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{\kappa^d R^d} v\left(\tau, \frac{x}{\kappa R}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{dR}{dt} = R^{1-\mu}, \quad \tau(t) := \frac{1}{2} \log R(t)$$

so that (3) is changed, with same initial datum u_0 and the choice R(0) = 1, into the Fokker-Planck type equation

(4)
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} + \nabla \cdot \left[v \left(\nabla v^{m-1} - 2 x \right) \right] = 0$$

With now $f = v^{m-1/2}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{2p} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^{2p} dx$ and again $p = \frac{1}{2m-1}$, with $\mathcal{Q}[v] := \mathcal{I}[v]/\mathcal{F}[v], \, \delta[f] \ge 0$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{Q}[v] \ge 4$, where $\mathcal{B} := g^{2p}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}[v] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathcal{B}^{m-1} \left(v - \mathcal{B} \right) - \frac{1}{m} \left(v^m - \mathcal{B}^m \right) \right) dx, \quad \mathcal{I}[v] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v \left| \nabla v^{m-1} + 2x \right|^2 dx.$$

For any $m \in (1 - 1/d, 1)$, the main result in [2] is the fact that

(5)
$$\mathcal{Q}[v(\tau, \cdot)] > 4 + \eta \quad \forall \tau > 0 \,,$$

for some $\eta > 0$, under the conditions that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_0(1, x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{B}(1, x) \, dx$ and

$$\mathsf{A}[v_0] := \sup_{r>0} r^{\frac{d-p(d-4)}{p-1}} \int_{|x|>r} v_0 \, dx$$

is finite, with an explicit dependence of η on $A[v_0]$ and $\mathcal{F}[v_0]$. The method involves:

- (i) An *initial time layer* property: if $\mathcal{Q}[v(T,\cdot)] \ge 4 + \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$ and T > 0, then $\mathcal{Q}[v(\tau,\cdot)] \ge 4 + 4\eta e^{-4T}/(4 + \eta \eta e^{-4T})$ for any $\tau \in [0,T]$.
- (ii) A threshold time. Based on a global Harnack Principle, there exists some T > 0 such that $(1 \varepsilon) \mathcal{B} \le v(\tau, \cdot) \le (1 + \varepsilon) \mathcal{B}$ for any $\tau > T$.
- (iii) An asymptoic time layer property: as a consequence of an improved Hardy-Poincaré (spectral gap) inequality, (5) holds for $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon)$, for any $\tau \ge T$.

Rewritten in terms of f, the improved entropy – entropy production inequality $Q[v] > 4 + \eta$ is a stability result.

Theorem 1. Let $d \ge 1$ and $p \in (1, p^*)$. There is an explicit $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}[f]$ such that, for any $f \in L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^d, (1+|x|^2) dx)$ such that $\nabla f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathsf{A}[f^{2p}] < \infty$,

$$\delta[f] \ge \mathcal{C}[f] \inf_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| (p-1) \nabla f + f^p \nabla \varphi^{1-p} \right|^2 dx \,.$$

The main point is that the dependence of C[f] on $A[f^{2p}]$ and $\mathcal{F}[f^{2p}]$ is explicit and does not degenerate if $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. The critical case $p = p^*$ can also be covered up to an additional scaling. A major open issue is of course to remove the condition $A[f^{2p}] < \infty$, which requires a new approach.

References

- G. BIANCHI AND H. EGNELL, A note on the Sobolev inequality, J. Funct. Anal., 100 (1991), pp. 18–24.
- [2] M. BONFORTE, J. DOLBEAULT, B. NAZARET, AND N. SIMONOV, Stability in Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. Preprint hal-02887010 and arXiv: 2007.03674.
- [3] E. A. CARLEN AND A. FIGALLI, Stability for a GNS inequality and the log-HLS inequality, with application to the critical mass Keller-Segel equation, Duke Math. J., 162 (2013), pp. 579–625.
- [4] A. CIANCHI, N. FUSCO, F. MAGGI, AND A. PRATELLI, The sharp Sobolev inequality in quantitative form, Journal of the European Mathematical Society, (2009), pp. 1105–1139.
- [5] M. DEL PINO AND J. DOLBEAULT, Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. Neuvième Série, 81 (2002), pp. 847–875.
- [6] J. DOLBEAULT AND G. JANKOWIAK, Sobolev and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities, J. Differential Equations, 257 (2014), pp. 1689–1720.
- [7] A. FIGALLI AND Y. R.-Y. ZHANG, Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality. Preprint arXiv: 2003.04037, 2020.
- [8] F. OTTO, The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 26 (2001), pp. 101–174.
- [9] G. SAVARÉ AND G. TOSCANI, The concavity of Rényi entropy power, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 60 (2014), pp. 2687–2693.
- [10] F. SEUFFERT, An extension of the Bianchi-Egnell stability estimate to Bakry, Gentil, and Ledoux's generalization of the Sobolev inequality to continuous dimensions, J. Funct. Anal., 273 (2017), pp. 3094–3149.