Time averages for kinetic Fokker-Planck equations EFI project workshop - Université Paris-Dauphine

Giovanni M. Brigati^{1,2}

¹CEREMADE, CNRS, UMR 7534, Université Paris-Dauphine, PSL University, 75016 Paris, France
²Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Casorati", Università degli Studi di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italia

13/10/2021

About myself and the project

- ▶ I was born in Pavia, Italy on 11/12/1995.
- PhD student at CEREMADE Université Paris-Dauphine. Director: Jean Dolbeault. Co-director: Giuseppe Savaré (Università Bocconi, Milano).
- This research project is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 754362.
- Partial support has been obtained from the EFI ANR-17-CE40-0030 Project of the French National Research Agency.
- Preprint version available at https://arxiv.org/pdf/2106.12801.pdf.

Outline

- 1. Statement of the problem
- 2. Scientific background and quick bibliography
- 3. Results

Notation and setting

- Let $x \in \mathbb{T}^d = [0, L]^d$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $t \in (0, \infty)$ be the space, velocity, and time variables, respectively.
- Call

$$\gamma = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}.$$

- Functional spaces:
 - 1. Velocity regularity: weighted L^2 -space L^2_{γ} . Corresponding Sobolev space H^1_{γ} with dual H^{-1}_{γ} .
 - 2. Space-time regularity: $L^2(dt dx)$ space, with usual Sobolev space H^1_0 and dual H^{-1} .
- Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

$$\Delta_{\gamma} = \Delta_{\nu} - \nu \cdot \nabla_{\nu}.$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

$$\Delta_{\gamma}h = \Delta_{\nu}h - \nu \cdot \nabla_{\nu}h.$$

Properties:

- 1. $-\Delta_{\gamma}$ is positive semi-definite (kernel = constants), self adjoint with compact resolvent over L_{γ}^2 .
- 2. Intregration by parts:

$$-\int \Delta_{\gamma} h \, k \, d\gamma = \int \nabla_{\nu} h \cdot \nabla_{\nu} k \, d\gamma.$$

3. Spectrum = \mathbb{N} . In particular

$$\int |\nabla_{\nu} h|^2 d\gamma = -\int h \Delta_{\gamma} h d\gamma \ge \|h\|_{\mathrm{L}^2_{\gamma}}^2,$$

for all null-avg functions (Nash 1965).

Vlasov-Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation

Vlasov-Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_t + v \cdot \nabla_x h_t = \Delta_v h_t - v \cdot \nabla_v h_t =: \Delta_\gamma h_t; \\ h(t = 0, x, v) = h_0(x, v) \in L^2(dx \, d\gamma). \end{cases}$$
 (VOU)

Structural properties:

- Linear, mass-preserving equation (henceforth null-avg data considered!).
- Existence and uniqueness of solutions (Green's function: Kolmogorov 1934 or BDMMS 2019).
- Elliptic regularity in velocity.
- Hypo-elliptic (Hörmander 1967) structure overall

$$[\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}},\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}]=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Main question

- ▶ The (VOU) equation generates a linear flow on $L^2(dx d\gamma)$.
- ▶ Only equilibrium point for null-avg solution is

$$h_{\infty}=0.$$

Question: convergence to equilibrium? Explicit decay rates?

Degeneracy and hypocoercivity

 The equation is degenerate diffusive, so the standard entropy method fails:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int h_t^2\,dxd\gamma = \int h\Delta_\gamma h\,dxd\gamma = -\int |\nabla_\nu h|^2\,dxd\gamma \leq 0.$$

Control/coercivity in velocity directions, miss the control in x.

- Hypo-ellipticity: commutators ⇒ interaction between v and x. Can we do it for coercivity?
- **Hypocoercivity** = coercivity in a twisted norm.

Strategy of hypocoercivity

- 1. Fix a reference norm, as L^2 or H^1 .
- 2. Twist/perturb the norm and find an equivalent norm H such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(h_t) = -\mathcal{D}^2(h_t) \le -\lambda H(h_t),$$

along the **flow** of (VOU).

- 3. Exponential decay in H, Gronwall's inequality.
- 4. Equivalence in reference norm:

$$||h_t||^2 \le C e^{-\lambda t} ||h_0||^2$$
.

Hypocoercivity constant C > 1.

Quick review of the literature

- ightarrow Decay of $\mathrm{H^{1}}$ norm. Villani 2006, Dolbeault-Li 2018, Baudoin 2014-2019, . . .
- \rightarrow Decay of $\rm L^2$ norm. Dolbeault-Mouhot-Schmeiser 2015, Bouin-Dolbeault-Mouhot-Mischler-Schmesier 2019, Arnold-Erb 2014, Achleitner-Arnold-Carlen 2016-2018, Arnold-Dolbeault-Schmeiser-Wöhrer 2021, Hérau 2005, Bernard-Fathi-Levitt-Stoltz 2020, . . .
- \rightarrow Usage of weak norms. Armstrong-Mourrat 2019, Cao-lu-Wang 2021, . . .

Strategy of time averages

Evolution not coercive, but on (time) average it is!

Construction:

- 1. Lions' lemma (Amrouche-alii 2015).
- 2. Averaging Lemma (Armstrong-Mourrat 2019-B. 2021)
- 3. Modified Poincaré inequality
- 4. Explicit and constructive decay rates

Proof 1

Fix $\tau > 0$ small enough.

Define

$$H_{\tau}(h_t) = \tau^{-1} \int_t^{t+\tau} \|h_s\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(d\times d\gamma)}^2 ds.$$

Energy estimate:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_t^{t+\tau}\|h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx\,d\gamma)}^2\,ds=-2\int_t^{t+\tau}\|\nabla_v h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx\,d\gamma)}^2\,ds.$$

Exponential decay if:

$$\int_t^{t+\tau} \|\nabla_{\nu} h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx\,d\gamma)}^2\,ds \geq \lambda \int_t^{t+\tau} \|h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx\,d\gamma)}^2\,ds.$$

First passage

Let $\rho(t,x) = \int h(t,x,v) d\gamma$. Then,

$$\int_{t}^{t+\tau} \|h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dx\,d\gamma)}^{2}\,ds = \|\rho\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dt\,dx)}^{2} + \|h-\rho\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dt\,dx\,d\gamma)}^{2}.$$

Which we control with Gauss-Poincaré inequality with

$$\|\rho\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\operatorname{dt}\operatorname{dx})}^2 + \|\nabla_{v}h\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\operatorname{dt}\operatorname{dx}\operatorname{d}\gamma)}^2.$$

The second term is ok. What about the first one?

Lions' Lemma

Lemma

Let $\mathcal O$ be a bounded, open and Lipschitz-regular subset in $\mathbb R^{d+1}$. Then, for all $u \in \mathcal D^*(\mathcal O)$, we have that $u \in L^2(\mathcal O)$ if and only if the weak gradient ∇u belongs to $H^{-1}(\mathcal O)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C_L(\mathcal O)$ such that

$$\left\| u - \int_{\mathcal{O}} u \, dx \, dt \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathcal{O})}^{2} \leq C_{L} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{O})}^{2},$$

for any $u \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$.

Second passage

Let $\mathcal{O} = (t, t + \tau) \times \mathbb{T}^d$. Use Lions' Lemma to get

$$\|\rho\|_{L^2(dt\,dx)}^2 \le C_L \|\nabla_{t,x}\rho\|_{H^{-1}}^2$$

Very explicit structure of Lions' constant:

$$C_L = 4 |S^d| \frac{\sqrt{d L^2 + \tau^2}}{\tau}.$$
 (1)

Averaging Lemma

Lemma

We have

$$\begin{split} &\|\nabla_{t,x}\rho\|_{\mathrm{H}^{-1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \\ &d_{2}\left(\|h-\rho\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(dt\,dx\,d\gamma_{\alpha})}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}h + v\cdot\nabla_{x}h\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega;H_{\alpha}^{-1})}^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

with

$$d_2 = 2 \left(\|v_1|v|^2 \|_{L^2_{\gamma}}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{4\pi^2} \right) \||v|^2 \|_{L^2_{\gamma}}^2 + \frac{d^2L^2}{4\pi^2} \|v\|_{L^2_{\gamma}}^2 \right). \quad (2)$$

Modified Poincaré inequality

Using the (VOU) equation . . .

Lemma

$$\|(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) h\|_{L^2(\Omega; H_\alpha^{-1})} \le \|\nabla_v h\|_{L^2(\Omega; L_\alpha^2)}. \tag{3}$$

... we recover Poincaré inequality on average!

Proposition

Zero average solutions to (VOU) satisfy

$$||h||_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\operatorname{dt}\operatorname{dx}\operatorname{d}\gamma)}^{2} \leq \kappa_{2} ||\nabla_{v}h||_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\operatorname{dt}\operatorname{dx}\operatorname{d}\gamma)}^{2}, \tag{4}$$

with $\kappa_2 = 2(1 + C_L d_2)$.

The result

Theorem

Null-average solutions to (VOU) satisfy

$$\tau^{-1} \int_{t}^{t+\tau} \|h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(dx\,d\gamma)}^{2} \, ds \leq \|h_{0}\|_{L^{2}(dx\,d\gamma)}^{2} \, e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \forall \, t \geq 0,$$
with
$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = 2 \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\tau + \sqrt{d L^{2} + \tau^{2}}\right) \left(2 \, d_{2} \, |\mathbb{S}^{d-1}|\right).$$
(5)

Generalizations

Let $\alpha > 0$. Consider

$$\partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h = \Delta_\alpha h, \qquad h(0,\cdot,\cdot) = h_0,$$
 (6)

with

$$\Delta_{\alpha}h := \Delta_{\nu}h - \alpha \, \nu \, \langle \nu \rangle^{\alpha - 2} \cdot \nabla_{\nu}h.$$

We use the notation

$$\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Local equilibria in velocity:

$$\gamma_{\alpha}(v) = \frac{1}{Z_{\alpha}} e^{-\langle v \rangle^{\alpha}}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$

Exponential and super-exponential case

Theorem (B. 2021)

Let $\alpha > 1$. Then, for all L > 0 and $\tau > 0$, there exists an explicit and constructive constant $\lambda > 0$ such that, for all $h_0 \in L^2(dx d\gamma_\alpha)$ with zero-average, the solution to (6) satisfies

$$\tau^{-1} \int_{t}^{t+\tau} \|h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(dx\,d\gamma_{\alpha})}^{2} ds \leq \|h_{0}\|_{L^{2}(dx\,d\gamma_{\alpha})}^{2} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

$$(7)$$

Fat tails

Theorem (B. 2021)

Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ Then, for all L>0 and $\tau>0$, for all $\sigma>0$, there exists an explicit and constructive constant K>0 such that all solutions to (6) decay according to

$$\begin{split} &\tau^{-1} \int_t^{t+\tau} \|h(s,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(dx\,d\gamma_\alpha)}^2 \, ds \leq \\ &\leq \left. K \left(1+t\right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2(1-\alpha)}} \iint_{O\times\mathbb{R}^d} \langle v \rangle^\sigma \, h_0^2 \, dx \, d\gamma_\alpha, \quad \forall \, t \geq 0. \end{split}$$

