### Inverse function theorems: soft and hard

#### Ivar Ekeland,

#### CEREMADE, Université Paris-Dauphine

#### June 2013, DacorognaFest, Lausanne

#### THE INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM IN BANACH SPACES

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

### Theorem (Ekeland, 1972)

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and  $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a lower semi-continuous map, bounded from below:

$$\{(x, a) \mid a \ge f(x)\}$$
 is closed in  $X \times \mathbb{R}$   
 $f(x) \ge 0, \quad \forall x$ 

Suppose  $f(0) < \infty$ . Then for every A > 0, there exists some  $\bar{x}$  such that:

$$f(\bar{x}) \le f(0)$$
  
$$d(\bar{x}, 0) \le A$$
  
$$f(x) \ge f(\bar{x}) - \frac{f(0)}{A}d(x, \bar{x}) \quad \forall x$$

This is a Baire-type result: relies on completeness, no compactnes needed

(本語) (本語) (本語)

# Gâteaux-differentiability

#### Definition

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We shall say that  $F : X \to Y$  is Gâteaux-differentiable at x if there exists a continous linear map  $DF(x) : X \to Y$  such that

$$\forall \xi \in X, \quad \lim_{t} \frac{1}{t} \left[ F(x + t\xi) - F(x) \right] = DF(x) \xi \text{ in } Y$$

#### Example

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain. Define  $F : L^1(\Omega) \to L^1(\Omega)$  by  $F(u) := \int_{\Omega} f(u(x)) dx$ , where f is  $C^1$  and f' is bounded:  $|f'(u)| \le a$ . Then F is G-differentiable, with:

$$[DF(u)v](x) = f'(u(x))v(x)$$

but it is NOT  $C^1$  (unless f(u) = au + b). If  $f'(u) \ge c > 0$ , then DF(u) is invertible, and the inverse L(u) is uniformly bounded

### First-order version

Suppose X is a Banach space, and  $d(x_1, x_2) = ||x_1 - x_2||$ . Apply EVP to  $x = \bar{x} + tu$  and let  $u \to 0$ . We get:

$$f\left(\bar{x} + tu\right) \ge f\left(\bar{x}\right) - \frac{f\left(0\right)}{A}t \left\|u\right\| \quad \forall (t, u)$$
$$\lim_{t \to +0} \frac{1}{t} \left(f\left(\bar{x} + tu\right) - f\left(\bar{x}\right)\right) \ge -\frac{f\left(0\right)}{A} \left\|u\right\| \quad \forall u$$
$$\left\langle Df\left(x\right), u\right\rangle \ge -\frac{f\left(0\right)}{A} \left\|u\right\| \quad \forall u, \text{ or } \left\|Df\left(x\right)\right\|^{*} \le \frac{f\left(0\right)}{A}$$

#### Corollary

Suppose F is everywhere finite and Gâteaux-differentiable. Then there is a sequence  $x_n$  such that:

 $f(x_n) \to \inf f$  $\|Df(x_n)\|^* \to 0$ 

#### Theorem

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Let  $F : X \to Y$  be continuous and Gâteaux-differentiable, with F(0) = 0. Assume that the derivative DF (x) has a right-inverse L(x), uniformly bounded in a neighbourhood of 0:

$$\forall v \in Y, \quad DF(x) L(x) v = v$$
$$\sup \{ \|L(x)\| \mid \|x\| \le R \} < n$$

Then, for every  $\bar{y}$  such that

$$\|\bar{y}\| \le \frac{R}{m}$$

there is some  $\bar{x}$  such that:

$$\|\bar{x}\| \le m \|\bar{y}\|$$
$$F(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$$

Consider the function  $f : X \rightarrow R$  defined by:

 $f(x) = \left\| F(x) - \bar{y} \right\|$ 

It is continuous and bounded from below, so that we can apply EVP with  $A = m \|\bar{y}\|$ . We can find  $\bar{x}$  with:

$$f(\bar{x}) \le f(0) = \|\bar{y}\| \\ \|\bar{x}\| \le m \|\bar{y}\| \le R \\ \forall x, \quad f(x) \ge f(\bar{x}) - \frac{f(0)}{m \|\bar{y}\|} \|x - \bar{x}\| = f(\bar{x}) - \frac{1}{m} \|x - \bar{x}\|$$

I claim  $F(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$ .

▲口> ▲聞> ▲注> ▲注> 三注

# Proof (ct'd)

Assume  $F(\bar{x}) \neq \bar{y}$ . The last equation can be rewritten:

$$\forall t \geq 0, \ \forall u \in X, \quad \frac{f(\bar{x}+tu)-f(\bar{x})}{t} \geq -\frac{1}{m} \|u\|$$

Simplify matters by assuming X is Hilbert. Then:

$$\left(\frac{F\left(\bar{x}\right)-\bar{y}}{\left\|F\left(\bar{x}\right)-\bar{y}\right\|}, DF\left(\bar{x}\right)u\right) = \langle Df\left(\bar{x}\right), u\rangle \geq -\frac{1}{m} \left\|u\right\|$$

We now take  $u = -L(\bar{x})(F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y})$ , so that  $DF(\bar{x})u = -(F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y})$ . We get a contradiction:

$$\|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}\| \le \frac{\|L(\bar{x})\|}{m} \|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}\| < \|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}\|$$

▲口> ▲聞> ▲注> ▲注> 三注

#### THE INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM IN FRÉCHET SPACES

3

★週 ▶ ★ 注 ▶ ★ 注 ▶

### Fréchet spaces.

A Fréchet space X is *graded* if its topology is defined by an increasing sequence of norms:

$$orall x \in X$$
,  $\|x\|_k \leq \|x\|_{k+1}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ 

A point  $x \in X$  is *controlled* if there is a constant  $c_0(x)$  such that:

 $\left\|x\right\|_{k} \leq c_{0} \left(x\right)^{k}$ 

#### Definitions

A graded Fréchet space is *standard* if, for every  $x \in X$ , there is a constant  $c_3(x)$  and a sequence  $x_n$  of controlled vectors such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall k \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\|_k &= 0 \\ \forall n, \quad \|x_n\|_k \leq c_3(x) \|x\|_k \end{aligned}$$

The graded Fréchet spaces  $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^d) = \cap C^k(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^d) = \cap H^k(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$  are both standard.

# Normal maps

We are given two Fréchet spaces X and Y, and a neighbourhood of zero  $B = \{x \mid ||x||_{k_0} \le R\}$  in X

### Definition

A map  $F : X \to Y$  is normal over B if there are two integers  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  and two non-decreasing sequences  $m_k > 0$ ,  $m'_k > 0$  such that:

- F(0) = 0 and F is continuous on B
- **2** F is Gâteaux-differentiable on B and for all  $x \in B$

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \| DF(x) u \|_k \leq m_k \| u \|_{k+d_1}$$

There exists a linear map  $L(x) : Y \longrightarrow X$  such that:

 $\forall v \in Y, \ DF(x) L(x) v = v \\ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \ \sup_{x \in B} \|L(x) v\|_k < m'_k \|v\|_{k+d_2}$ 

#### Theorem

Suppose Y is standard, and  $F : X \to Y$  is normal over  $B = \{x \mid ||x||_{k_0} \le R\}$ . Then, for every y with

$$\|y\|_{k_0+d_2} \leq rac{R}{m'_{k_0}}$$

there is some  $x \in B$  such that:

$$\left\|x\right\|_{k_{0}} \leq m_{k_{0}}^{\prime} \left\|y\right\|_{k_{0}+d_{2}}$$
 and  $F\left(x
ight)=y$ 

· · · · · · · · ·

#### Corollary (Lipschitz inverse)

For every  $y_1, y_2$  with  $||y_i||_{k_0+d_2} \le m_{k_0}^{\prime-1}R$  and every  $x_1 \in B$  with  $F(x_1) = y_1$ , there is some  $x_2$  with:

$$\|x_2 - x_1\|_{k_0} \le m_{k_0}' \|y_2 - y_1\|_{k_0 + d_2}$$
 and  $F(x_2) = y_2$ 

#### Corollary (Finite regularity)

Suppose F extends to a continuous map  $\overline{F} : X_{k_0} \to Y_{k_0-d_1}$ . Take some  $y \in Y_{k_0+d_2}$  with  $\|y\|_{k_0+d_2} < Rm_{k_0}^{\prime-1}$ . Then there is some  $x \in X_{k_0}$  such that  $\|x\|_{k_0} < R$  and  $\overline{F}(x) = y$ .

・ 伊 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

### Proof: step 1

Let  $\bar{y}$  be given, with  $\|\bar{y}\|_{k_0+d_2} \leq \frac{R}{m'_{k_0}}$ . Let  $\beta_k \geq 0$  be a sequence with unbounded support satisfying:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}eta_k m_k m'_{k+d_1} n^k < \infty, \hspace{0.2cm} orall n \in \mathbb{N}, 
onumber \ rac{1}{eta_{k_0+d_2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}eta_k \|ar{y}\|_k \leq rac{R}{m'_{k_0}}$$

Set  $\alpha_k := m_{k_0}^{\prime-1} \beta_{k+d_2}$  and define:

$$\|x\|_{\alpha} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|x\|_k, \ X_{\alpha} = \{x \in X \mid \|x\|_{\alpha} < \infty\}$$

Then  $X_{\alpha} \subsetneq X$  is a linear subspace,  $X_{\alpha}$  is a Banach space and the identity map  $X_{\alpha} \to X$  is continuous: So the restriction  $F : X_{\alpha} \to Y$  is continuous.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ─ 目 − のへで

# Step 1 (ct'd)

Now consider the function  $f: X_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  (the value  $+\infty$  is allowed) defined by:

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \left\| F(x) - \bar{y} \right\|_k$$

f is lower semi-continuous, and  $0 \leq \inf f \leq f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|\bar{y}\|_k < \infty$ . By the EVP there is a point  $\bar{x} \in X_{\alpha}$  such that:

$$f(\bar{x}) \leq f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|\bar{y}\|_k, \quad \|\bar{x}\|_{\alpha} \leq \alpha_{k_0} R$$
$$f(x) \geq f(\bar{x}) - \frac{f(0)}{\alpha_{k_0} R} \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\alpha}, \quad \forall x \in X_{\alpha}$$

It follows that:

lvar Ek

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \|\bar{x}\|_k \le \alpha_{k_0} R, \text{ so } \|\bar{x}\|_{k_0} \le R$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|\bar{y}\|_k < \infty, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}\|_k < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|F(\bar{x})\|_k < \infty \text{ so } n$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|\bar{y}\|_k < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}\|_k < \infty, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \|F(\bar{x})\|_k < \infty \text{ so } n$$
(31)

### Proof: step 2

Assume then  $F(\bar{x}) \neq \bar{y}$ . If  $u \in X_{\alpha}$ , we can set  $x = \bar{x} + tu$ , replace f by its value and divide by t.

$$-\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \left\| \bar{y} - F\left(\bar{x} + tu\right) \right\|_k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k \left\| \bar{y} - F\left(\bar{x}\right) \right\|_k \right] \le A \sum_{k \ge 0} \alpha_k \left\| u \right\|_k$$

with  $A = \sum \beta_k \|\bar{y}\|_k (\alpha_{k_0} R)^{-1} < 1$ . We would like to go one step further:

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\beta_{k}\left(\frac{F\left(\bar{x}\right)-\bar{y}}{\|F\left(\bar{x}\right)-\bar{y}_{k}\|_{k}},DF\left(\bar{x}\right)u\right)_{k}\leq A\sum_{k\geq0}\alpha_{k}\|u\|_{k}$$

This program can be carried through (by repeated use of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem) if we take  $u = u_n$ , where  $u_n = L(\bar{x}) v_n$  and:

$$\begin{aligned} & v_n \to F\left(\bar{x}\right) - \bar{y}, \quad v_n \text{ controlled,} \\ & \left\|v_n\right\|_k \le c_3 \left(F\left(\bar{x}\right) - \bar{y}\right) \left\|F\left(\bar{x}\right) - \bar{y}\right\|_k \end{aligned}$$

(本部) (本語) (本語) (二語

### Proof: step 3

Plugging in  $u_n = L(\bar{x}) v_n$ , we get:

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} \left( \frac{F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}}{\|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}_{k}\|_{k}}, DF(\bar{x}) L(\bar{x}) v_{n} \right)_{k} \le A \sum_{k \ge 0} \alpha_{k} \|L(\bar{x}) v_{n}\|_{k}$$
$$-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} \left( \frac{F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}}{\|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}_{k}\|_{k}}, v_{n} \right)_{k} \le A \sum_{k \ge 0} \alpha_{k} \|L(\bar{x}) v_{n}\|_{k}$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , so  $v_n \to F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}$ , this becomes:

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} \|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}_{k}\|_{k} \leq A \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_{k} m_{k} \|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}_{k}\|_{k+d_{2}}$$
$$= A \sum_{k \geq d_{2}} \beta_{k} \|F(\bar{x}) - \bar{y}_{k}\|_{k}$$

which is a contradiction since A < 1

・ロト・(中下・(中下・(日下・))

### THE HARD INVERSE FUNCTION THEOREM: NASH-MOSER FOR NON-SMOOTH FUNCTION

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let  $(X_s, \|\cdot\|_s)$ ,  $0 \le s \le S$ , be a scale of Banach spaces:

 $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq S \Longrightarrow (X_{s_2} \subset X_{s_1} \text{ and } \|\cdot\|_{s_1} \leq \|\cdot\|_{s_2})$ 

We shall assume that there exists a sequence of projectors  $\Pi_N : X_0 \to E_N$ (smoothing operators) where  $E_N \subset \bigcap_{s \ge 0} X_s$  is the range of  $\Pi_N$ , with  $\Pi_0 = 0$ ,  $E_N \subset E_{N+1}$  and  $\bigcup_{N \ge 1} E_N$  is dense in each space  $X_s$  for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_s$ . We assume that:

 $\|\Pi_N u\|_{s+d} \le CN^d \|u\|_s$  $\|(1-\Pi_N)u\|_s \le CN^{-d} \|u\|_{s+d}$ 

Note that these properties imply some interpolation inequalities, for  $0 \le t \le 1$  and  $0 \le s_1$ ,  $s_2 \le A$ 

$$\|x\|_{ts_1+(1-t)s_2} \leq C_2^A \|x\|_{s_1}^t \|x\|_{s_2}^{1-t}$$

Let  $(Y_s, \|\cdot\|'_s)_{s\geq 0}$  be another regular scale of Banach spaces, with smoothing operators  $\Pi'_N : Y_0 \to E'_N \subset \bigcap_{s\geq 0} Y_s$ 

In the following, R > 0 and S > 0 are prescribed, with possibly  $S = \infty$ 

### Definition

We shall say that  $F : B_0(R) \to Y_0$  is *roughly tame* with loss of regularity  $\mu$  if:

- (a) *F* is continuous and Gâteaux-differentiable from  $B_0(R) \cap X_s$  to  $Y_s$  for any  $s \in [0, S)$ .
- (b) There is a constant K such that, for all  $s \leq S$  and  $x \in B_0(R)$ :

 $\forall h \in X, \ \|DF(x)h\|'_{s} \le K(\|h\|_{s} + \|x\|_{s}\|h\|_{0})$ 

(c) For  $x \in B_0(R) \cap E_N$ , the linear maps  $\prod'_N DF(x) |_{E_N} : E_N \to E'_N$  have a right-inverse, denoted by  $L_N(x)$ . There are constants  $\mu > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , such that, for all  $s \leq S$  and  $x \in B_0(R)$  we have:

$$\forall k \in E'_N, \ \|L_N(x)k\|_s \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} N^{\mu}(\|k\|'_s + \|x\|_s \|k\|'_0)$$

• Note the nonlinear estimates in  $H^s$ : if  $||u||_{\infty}$  and  $||v||_{\infty}$  are finite, then:

$$\|f(u,v)\|_{H^{s}} \leq C(\|u\|_{H^{0}} \|v\|_{H^{s}} + \|u\|_{H^{s}} \|v\|_{H^{0}})$$

- The loss of derivatives for F and DF is normalized to 0.
- The loss of derivatives for  $DF^{-1}$  is  $\mu$ , and we cannot expect any better for  $F^{-1}$

Define a real function  $\varphi$  on [4,  $\infty$ [ by:

$$\varphi\left(x\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{x}}\right) + 1 & \text{if } 4 < x \le \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{5} - 1} \\ \frac{x^2}{4}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{x}}\right)^2 & \text{if } x \ge \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{5} - 1} \end{cases}$$



#### Theorem (IE, Eric Séré)

Assume F(0) = 0 and  $F: B_0(R) \cap X_s \to Y_s$ ,  $0 \le s < S$ , is roughly tame with loss of regularity  $\mu$ . If  $\delta/\mu$  is in the authorized region, then, for any  $\alpha$  with

$$rac{lpha}{\mu} < \min\left\{rac{\delta}{\mu} - arphi\left(rac{S}{\mu}
ight), \; rac{S}{\mu} - arphi^{-1}\left(rac{\delta}{\mu}
ight)
ight\}$$

one can solve F(x) = y with  $y \in Y_{\delta}$  and  $x \in X_{\alpha}$ . More precisely, we can find  $\rho > 0$  and C > 0 such that, whenever  $||y||_{\delta}' \le \rho$ , there is some  $x \in X_{\alpha}$  with:

F(x) = y $\|x\|_{0} \le 1$  $\|x\|_{\alpha} \le C \|y\|_{\delta}$ 

# Comments

- $S>4\mu$  (you need some room upstairs)
- 3μ > δ α > μ (the loss of derivatives for F is larger than the one for DF)
- if  $S/\mu \to \infty$  (lots of room upstairs),  $\delta \alpha \to \mu$  (lowest possible value)
- If  $S/\mu \rightarrow 4$  (little room upstairs),  $\delta \alpha \rightarrow 3\mu$  (large loss of regularity)

### Corollary

Assume F(x) sends  $X_s$  into  $Y_s$  and is roughly tame at  $\bar{x}$  with loss of regularity  $\mu$ . Suppose  $\bar{x} \in X_S$ ,  $\bar{y} \in Y_S$  and  $F(\bar{x}) = \bar{y}$ . Then one can solve F(x) = y, with  $||x - \bar{x}||_{\alpha} \le C ||y - \bar{y}||_{\delta}'$ .

#### Proof.

Consider the map  $\Phi(x, y) := F(x) - y + \bar{y}$  from  $X_s \times Y_s$  into  $Y_s$ . It is roughly tame, with F(0, 0) = 0, and we can apply the preceding Theorem

#### Theorem

Consider an integer  $N_0 \ge 2$  and define  $N_n \simeq (N_0)^{\kappa^n}$ , where  $\kappa = \kappa (\delta, \mu) > 1$  is appropriately chosen. Then one can find  $\rho > 0$  and c > 0 such that, for any y with  $\|y\|_{\delta} < \rho$ , there is a sequence  $(x_n)_{n\ge 1}$  with  $\|x_n\|_0 \le 1$  satisfying:

(case 1) 
$$\Pi'_{N_n}F(x_n) = \Pi'_{N_{n-1}}y$$
 and  $x_n \in E_{N_n}$   
(case 2)  $\Pi'_{N_n}F(x_n) = \Pi'_{N_n}y$  and  $x_n \in E_{N_n}$ 

and in both cases, for appropriate  $\sigma$  and  $\beta$  with  $\kappa\beta < \sigma < S$  :

$$\|x_1\|_{\sigma} \le cN_1^{\beta} \|y\|_{\delta}' \text{ and } \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_{\sigma} \le c N_n^{\kappa\beta} \|y\|_{\delta}' \|x_1\|_0 \le cN_1^{\mu} \|y\|_{\delta}' \text{ and } \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_0 \le c N_n^{\kappa\beta-\sigma} \|y\|_{\delta}'$$

### Auxiliary constants

 $(S, \delta, \mu)$  are given. We first choose  $\kappa$  :

$$1 < \kappa < 2$$
 and  $\frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa - 1} < \frac{S}{\mu}$  and  $\min\{\kappa^2, \kappa + 1\} < \mu \frac{\delta}{\mu}$   
gives two possibilities:  $1 < \kappa \le \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$  and  $\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \le \kappa < 2$ . Then we

choose 
$$\sigma$$
 and  $\beta$  :

This

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa - 1} &< \frac{\kappa}{\mu}\beta < \frac{1}{\mu}\sigma < \frac{S}{\mu} \\ \kappa\beta &> \sigma + \kappa\mu - \frac{\delta}{\kappa} \text{ for } 1 < \kappa \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \beta &> \mu + \sigma - \delta \text{ for } \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \leq \kappa < 2 \end{aligned}$$

2

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Passing to the limit

The sequence  $(x_n)$  has a limit x in  $X_0$ , with  $||x||_0 \leq C ||y||'_{\delta}$ , for  $C := c(N_1^{\mu} + \sum_{n \geq 1} N_n^{\kappa\beta - \sigma})$ . Then  $F(x_n)$  converges to F(x) in  $Y_0$ , by the continuity of  $F : X_0 \to Y_0$ . On the other hand:

$$F(x_n) = (1 - \Pi'_{N_n})F(x_n) + \Pi'_{N_{n-1}}y.$$

One proves by induction an estimate of the form

$$\|(1-\Pi'_N)F(x_n)\|'_0 \leq C N_n^{\beta-\sigma} \|y\|'_{\delta} \to 0$$

because the exponent  $(\beta - \sigma)$  is negative. So  $(1 - \Pi'_N)F(x_n)$  converges to zero in  $Y_0$ . On the other hand, by the definition of a smoothing operator,

$$\|(1 - \Pi'_{N_{n-1}})y\|'_0 \le CN_{n-1}^{-\delta}\|y\|'_{\delta} \to 0$$

Passing to the limit we get F(x) = y.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Here we assume that we have chosen  $\rho$  and c, and found  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We are going to construct  $x_{n+1} = x_n + u$ . Using the induction hypothesis  $\prod'_{N_n} F(x_n) = \prod'_{N_{n-1}} y$ , the equation to be solved by  $\Delta x_n$  may be written in the following form:

 $f_n(u) = e_n + \Delta y_{n-1}$ 

$$f_n(u) := \prod_{N_{n+1}} (F(x_n + u) - F(x_n)) \in E'_{N_{n+1}}$$
  
$$e_k := \prod_{N_{k+1}} (\prod_{N_k} - 1)F(x_k)$$
  
$$\Delta y_k := \prod_{N_{k+1}} (1 - \prod_{N_k})y$$

The function  $f_n$  is continuous and Gâteaux-differentiable with f(0) = 0. So this is an inverse problem for u

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

# Choosing the right norms

We choose the norm

$$\mathcal{N}_n(u) = \|u\|_0 + N_n^{-\sigma} \|u\|_\sigma \quad \text{on} \quad E_{N_{n+1}}$$
$$\mathcal{N}'_n(v) = \|v\|'_0 + N_n^{-\sigma} \|v\|'_\sigma \quad \text{on} \quad E'_{N_{n+1}}$$

Set  $R_n := cN_n^{\kappa\beta-\sigma} \|y\|_{\delta}$ . For  $\mathcal{N}_n(u) \leq R_n$  we have:

$$\left\| \left[ Df_n(u) \right]^{-1} k \right\|_0 \le \frac{2N_{n+1}^{\mu}}{\gamma} \|k\|_0 \\ \left\| \left[ Df_n(u) \right]^{-1} k \right\|_{\sigma} \le \frac{N_{n+1}^{\mu}}{\gamma} (\|k\|_{\sigma} + B^{(2)} R_n N_n^{\sigma} \|k\|_0)$$

Hence:

$$\mathcal{N}_n([Df(u)]^{-1}k) \leq \frac{B^{(2)}+2}{\gamma} N_{n+1}^{\mu} \mathcal{N}_n'(k)$$

- \* 伊 \* \* 臣 \* \* 臣 \* … 臣

# Applying the soft IVT

The IVT gives the existence of  $\bar{u}_n \in \mathcal{B}_n(R_n)$  such that  $f_n(\bar{u}_n) = e_n + \Delta y_n$  provided:

$$\mathcal{N}'_n(\boldsymbol{e}_n + \Delta \boldsymbol{y}_{n-1}) < \frac{R_n}{\left(B^{(2)} + 2\right)\gamma^{-1}N_{n+1}^{\mu}}$$

. The condition on  $e_n + \Delta y_{n-1}$  is fulfilled provided

$$\mathcal{N}'_{n}(e_{n}) + \mathcal{N}'_{n}(\Delta y_{n-1}) < \frac{2c}{B^{(2)}+2} N_{n+1}^{-\mu} N_{n}^{\kappa\beta-\sigma} \|y\|_{\delta}.$$

This is satisfied if:

$$2 B^{(3)} c N_n^{\beta} + C^{(1)} \left( g^{\frac{\delta}{\kappa}} N_n^{\sigma - \frac{\delta}{\kappa}} + \left( \frac{g}{N_n} \right)^{\frac{(\delta - \sigma)_+}{\kappa}} N_n^{(\sigma - \delta)_+} \right) < \frac{2 c g^{-\mu}}{B^{(2)} + 2} N_n^{\kappa(\beta - \mu)}$$

holds. We find that the exponents of  $N_n$  in the left-hand side of are strictly smaller than the one in the right-hand side. So, for  $N_0$  chosen large enough, is satisfied for all n I.Ekeland, "Nonconvex minimization problems", Bull. AMS 1 (New Series) (1979) p. 443-47

I. Ekeland, "*Some lemmas on dynamical systems*", Math. Scan. 52 (1983), p.262-268

I.Ekeland, "An inverse function theorem in Fréchet spaces" 28 (2011), Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire, p. 91–105 I. Ekeland and E. Séré, work in progress

All papers can be found on my website:

http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/~ekeland/

▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ …