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Terminology: 0 fixed point of $T$ symplectic diffeo of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ is elliptic of frequency vector $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right)$ if $D T(0)$ is conjugate to

$$
S_{\omega}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)^{n} \circlearrowleft, \quad S_{\omega}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right):=\left(R_{\omega_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, R_{\omega_{n}}\left(s_{n}\right)\right) .
$$


( $R_{\beta}=$ rigid rotation around 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with rotation number $\beta$ )
non-resonant if moreover $k \cdot \omega \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}-\{0\}$.
Resonant case very different: e.g. time-1 map of $H(x, y)=y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ $\sim \triangleright$ linear part at $(0,0)=I d$ and each point of $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times\{0\}$ is attracted.

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{H} & =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
& =-\left(x^{2}+3 y^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+2 x y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{aligned}
$$



Is it possible to find a symplectomorphism with a non-resonant elliptic fixed point and a non-trivial orbit converging to it in forward time?

$$
T^{m}(z) \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow+\infty]{ } 0
$$

## YES

[FMS20] B. Fayad, J.-P. Marco, D.S., Asterique 416 (2020), 321-340 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01658860 or arXiv:1712.03001

Our theorem in [FMS20] gives the first such examples.
The phase space is $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ (or $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ with any $n \geqslant 3$ )
Our examples are $C^{\infty}$ but not analytic.
Notice that, by reversing time, this provides an example of Lyapunov unstable fixed point.

## Context + historical perspective

A form of "Arnold diffusion" for the reverse dynamics...
If a system has a non-resonant elliptic fixed point or is close to integrable (external parameter $\varepsilon$ ), then the formal perturbation theory seems to predict that the action variables cannot vary much.
In low dimension, with non-degeneracy assumptions, KAM theory makes this prediction a theorem: perpetual stability of action variables.
"Arnold diffusion" = the possibility for some orbits to have their action variables drifting away from their initial values...
$n=1$
Linear part $(\theta, r) \mapsto(\theta+\omega, r)$ with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}-\mathbb{Q}$
Importance of the Birkhoff Normal Form

$$
(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{r}) \mapsto\left(\tilde{\theta}+\omega+a_{1} \tilde{r}+\cdots+a_{N} \tilde{r}^{N}+O\left(\tilde{r}^{N+1}\right), \tilde{r}+O\left(\tilde{r}^{N+1}\right)\right)
$$

Non-zero BNF ("torsion") or $\omega$ Diophantine (even without torsion)
$\Longrightarrow$ accumulation by invariant quasi-periodic smooth curves and Lyapunov stability.

Anosov-Katok 1970: examples in $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with Lyapunov unstability and ergodicity ( $\mathrm{BNF}=0$ and $\omega$ Liouvillian), but not a single orbit converging to 0 in forward or backward time.
$n \geqslant 2$
R. Douady \& P. Le Calvez 1983, R. Douady 1988: Lyapunov unstability with arbitrary non-degenerate BNF
(obtained from the existence of a sequence of points that converge to 0 and whose orbits travel along a simple resonance away from 0 , not from the existence of one particular orbit.)
B. Fayad preprint 2020: examples of Lyapunov unstability with $n \geqslant 3$, analytic Hamiltonian diffeos, divergent BNF.

Our examples in [FMS20]:

- have BNF = 0
- require $n \geqslant 3$
- are not analytic but Gevrey
- rely on "Herman synchronized diffusion mechanism".

The construction of Gevrey examples of unstable Hamiltonian or exact-symplectic systems is a line of research that had started in collaboration with Michel Herman and Jean-Pierre Marco in 1999...
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Central thread: Gevrey regularity + variations on "Herman synchronized diffusion mechanism" ...

## The Gevrey world

Given $\alpha \geqslant 1$ a real number, Gevrey- $\alpha$ regularity is defined by the requirement that the partial derivatives exist at all (multi)orders $\ell$ and are bounded by $C M^{|\ell|}|\ell|!^{\mid \alpha}$ for some $C$ and $M$ (when $\alpha=1$, this simply means analyticity).
Upon fixing a real $L>0$ (essentially the inverse of the previous $M$ ), one can define a Banach algebra $\left(G^{\alpha, L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right),\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, L}\right)$.
Calculus in the Gevrey world: products of Gevrey- $\alpha$ functions, differentiation ("Gevrey-Cauchy inequalities"), composition of Gevrey- $\alpha$ maps, solving ODEs (the flow of a Gevrey- $\alpha$ Hamiltonian is made of Gevrey- $\alpha$ symplectomorphisms)...

Denote by $\mathcal{U}^{\alpha, L}$ the set of all Gevrey- $(\alpha, L)$ symplectic diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ which fix 0 and are $C^{\infty}$-tangent to Id at 0 .

One can define a distance $\operatorname{dist}(\Phi, \Psi)=\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{\alpha, L}$ that makes it a complete metric space.

In [FMS20], we prove
THEOREM Fix $\alpha>1$ and $L>0$. For each $\gamma>0$, there exist

- a non-resonant vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,
- a point $z \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$,
- a diffeomorphism $\Psi \in \mathcal{U}^{\alpha, L}$ such that $\|\Psi-\operatorname{Id}\|_{\alpha, L} \leqslant \gamma$,
so that $T=\Psi \circ S_{\omega}$ satisfies $T^{m}(z) \underset{m \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$.
$\omega, z, \Psi$ are obtained as limits of Cauchy sequences $\left(\omega^{(n)}\right),\left(z^{(n)}\right),\left(\Psi^{(n)}\right)$ that we construct inductively.

In particular, each $\omega^{(n)}$ is in $\mathbb{Q}^{3}$ and our "synchronized attraction scheme" for $T^{(n)}=\Psi^{(n)} \circ S_{\omega^{(n)}}$ relies on

- a fine synchronization between the three rational components of $\omega^{(n)}$
- an arrangement of the things so that $\Psi^{(n)}$ is (almost) inactive most of the time on a long portion of the $T^{(n)}$-orbit of $z^{(n)}$
- an avatar of a coupling lemma initially due to M. Herman.
$\alpha>1 \rightarrow$ easy to construct Gevrey- $\alpha$ bump fcns: for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\nu>0, \exists f_{z, \nu} \in G^{\alpha, L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

$$
0 \leqslant f_{z, \nu} \leqslant 1, \quad f_{z, \nu} \equiv 1 \text { on } B(z, \nu / 2),
$$


and $\left\|f_{z, \nu}\right\|_{\alpha, L} \leqslant \exp \left(c \nu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}\right)$ (exponentially large for small $\nu$ ).
We also fix $R>0$, pick $\eta_{R} \equiv 1$ on $[-2 R, 2 R]$, $\equiv 0$ on $[-3 R, 3 R]$, and set

$$
g_{R}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g_{R}(x, y):=x y \eta_{R}(x) \eta_{R}(y) .
$$

Then we define $\Phi_{2,1, z, \nu}, \Phi_{1,3, z, \nu}, \Phi_{3,2, z, \nu} \in \mathcal{U}^{\alpha, L}$ by

$\Phi_{i, j, z, \nu}:=$ time-1 map of Hamiltonian $\exp \left(-c \nu^{-\frac{2}{\alpha-1}}\right) f_{z, \nu} \otimes_{i, j} g_{R}$,

$$
f_{z, \nu} \otimes_{i, j} g_{R}: s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \mapsto f_{z, \nu}\left(s_{i}\right) g_{R}\left(s_{j}\right) .
$$

One gets $\left\|\Phi_{i, j, z, \nu}-\operatorname{Id}\right\|_{\alpha, L} \leqslant K \exp \left(-c \nu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}\right)$ exponentially small.
The trick: $\Phi^{t f \otimes_{2,1} g}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\left(\Phi^{t f\left(s_{2}\right) g}\left(s_{1}\right), \Phi^{t g\left(s_{1}\right) f}\left(s_{2}\right), s_{3}\right)$.

Avatar of Herman's coupling lemma for $\Phi_{2,1, z, \nu}$ :
(a) For $s_{2} \in B(z, \nu)^{c}, \Phi_{2,1, z, \nu}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$.
(b) For $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \Phi_{2,1, z, \nu}\left(\left(x_{1}, 0\right), s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\left(\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, 0\right), s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ with $\left|\tilde{x}_{1}\right| \leqslant\left|x_{1}\right|$.
(c) For $x_{1} \in[-R, R], s_{2} \in B(z, \nu / 2)$ and $s_{3} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
\Phi_{2,1, z, \nu}\left(\left(x_{1}, 0\right), s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\left(\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, 0\right), s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \text { with }\left|\tilde{x}_{1}\right| \leqslant \kappa\left|x_{1}\right|,
$$

$$
\text { where } \kappa:=1-\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-c \nu^{-\frac{2}{\alpha-1}}\right) \text {. }
$$

Hence, a map like $\Phi_{2,1, z_{2}, \nu_{2}}=$ time-1 map of $\exp \left(-c \nu_{2}^{-\frac{2}{\alpha-1}}\right) f_{z_{2}, \nu_{2}} \otimes_{2,1} g_{R}$ preserves the $x_{1}$-axis and pushes down orbits towards the origin along this axis, while keeping the other two variables frozen (item (b)). However, it is only when the 2 nd variable is in $B\left(z_{2}, \nu_{2}\right)$ that $\Phi_{2,1, z_{2}, \nu_{2}}$ effectively brings down the $x_{1}$-axis towards the origin (item (c)). Moreover, if the 2 nd variable is securely outside the activating ball, then $\Phi_{2,1, z_{2}, \nu_{2}}$ is completely inactive (item (a)).
$\Phi_{i, j, z_{2}, \nu_{2}}$ acts as an elevator on the $x_{j}$-axis, that never goes up, and that effectively goes down when the ith variable is in the "activating" ball: $z_{i}$ may push $z_{j}$ down along the $x_{j}$-axis.

The proof of the THM uses longer and longer compositions of regularly alternating 'elevators', more precisely compositions of a large number of maps of the form $\Phi_{1,3, z_{1}, \nu_{1}} \circ \Phi_{3,2, z_{3}, \nu_{3}} \circ \Phi_{2,1, z_{2}, \nu_{2}} \quad$ (with an inductive choice of the parameters $z_{i}$ and $\nu_{i}$ ) followed by periodic rotations $S_{\omega^{(n)}}$ (with larger and larger periods):

$$
\begin{aligned}
T= & \lim \left\{\Psi^{(n)} \circ S_{\omega^{(n)}}\right\}, \quad \Psi^{(n+1)}= \\
& \Phi_{2,1, z_{2}^{(n+1)}, \nu_{2}^{(n+1)}} \circ\left(\Phi_{1,3, z_{1}^{(n)}, \nu_{1}^{(n)}} \circ \Phi_{3,2, z_{3}^{(n)}, \nu_{3}^{(n)}} \circ \Phi_{2,1, z_{2}^{(n)}, \nu_{2}^{(n)}}\right) \circ \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $z_{2}$ is inside the activating ball of some elevator $\Phi_{2,1}$, which is hence actively pushing down $z_{1}$ on the $x_{1}$-axis. Suppose also that, simultaneously, some $\Phi_{3,2}$ is pushing down $z_{2}$. At some point, $z_{2}$ will exit the activating ball of $\Phi_{2,1}$, which then becomes completely inactive. The variable $z_{1}$ stops its descent and will just be rotating due to $S_{\omega(n)}$. A $\Phi_{1,3}$ that is active at this height of $z_{1}$ can then be used to push down $z_{3}$. As $z_{3}$ goes down, $\Phi_{3,2}$ becomes inactive and $z_{2}$ will henceforth only rotate. This allows to introduce a new $\Phi_{2,1}$ which is active at this new height of $z_{2}$. An alternating procedure of the three types of elevators can thus be put in place.

Technical intermediary result (Proposition 4.1 in [FMS20]):
Let $\omega=\left(p_{1} / q_{1}, p_{2} / q_{2}, p_{3} / q_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{3}$ with $q_{3}\left|q_{1}\right| q_{2}$ and $\left.z=\left(\left(x_{1}, 0\right),\left(x_{2}, 0\right),\left(x_{3}, 0\right)\right)\right] \in B(0, R)$ with $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0$ and $x_{2} \geqslant 1 / q_{2}$.
Then, for any $\eta>0$, there exist
(a) $\bar{\omega}=\left(\bar{p}_{1} / \bar{q}_{1}, \bar{p}_{2} / \bar{q}_{2}, \bar{p}_{3} / \bar{q}_{3}\right)$ such that $\bar{q}_{3}\left|\bar{q}_{1}\right| \bar{q}_{2}$, the orbits of the landing point translation of vector $\bar{\omega}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ are $\eta$-dense and $|\bar{\omega}-\omega| \leqslant \eta$;
(b) $\bar{z}=\left(\left(\bar{x}_{1}, 0\right),\left(\bar{x}_{2}, 0\right),\left(\bar{x}_{3}, 0\right)\right)$ such that $0<\bar{x}_{i} \leqslant x_{i} / 2$ and $\bar{x}_{2} \geqslant 1 / \bar{q}_{2}$;
(c) $z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{6}, \widehat{x}_{1} \in\left(\bar{x}_{1}+\frac{1}{\bar{q}_{1}^{3}}, x_{1}\right)$ and $N \geqslant 1$, such that $\left|z^{\prime}-z\right| \leqslant \eta$ and the diffeomorphism
true initial condition

$$
\mathcal{T}=\Phi_{2,1, \bar{x}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}^{-3}} \circ \Phi_{1,3, \hat{x}_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}^{-3}} \circ \Phi_{3,2, x_{3}, \bar{q}_{3}^{-3}} \circ \Phi_{2,1, x_{2}, q_{2}^{-3}} \circ S_{\bar{\omega}}
$$

satisfies

$$
\mathcal{T}^{N}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\bar{z}
$$

and $\left|\mathcal{T}^{m}\left(z^{\prime}\right)_{i}\right| \leqslant(1+\eta) x_{i}$ for $m \in\{0, \ldots, N\}$.
Moreover, $\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}$ and $\bar{q}_{3}$ can be taken arbitrarily large.

To be able to iterate the previous result, we must upgrade it by inserting a 'z-admissible' diffeomorphism...

DEFINITION Given $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$, we say that a diffeomorphism $\Phi$ of $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ is $z$-admissible if $\Phi \equiv \operatorname{Id}$ on

$$
\left\{s \in \mathbb{R}^{6}:\left|s_{i}\right| \leqslant \frac{11}{10}\left|z_{i}\right|, i=1,2,3\right\} .
$$

Iterative step with a $z$-admissible diffeomorphism $\Phi$ inserted (Proposition 4.3 in [FMS20]):

Let $\omega=\left(p_{1} / q_{1}, p_{2} / q_{2}, p_{3} / q_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{3}$ with $q_{3}\left|q_{1}\right| q_{2}$ and
$z=\left(\left(x_{1}, 0\right),\left(x_{2}, 0\right),\left(x_{3}, 0\right)\right) \in B(0, R)$ with $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}>0$ and $x_{2} \geqslant 1 / q_{2}$.
Suppose $\Phi \in \mathcal{U}^{\alpha, L}$ is $z$-admissible and

$$
T:=\Phi_{2,1, \chi_{2}, q_{2}^{-3}} \circ \Phi \circ S_{\omega}
$$

satisfies $T^{M}\left(z_{0}\right)=z$ with $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{6}, M \geqslant 1$. Then, for any $\eta>0$, there exist
(a) $\bar{\omega}=\left(\bar{p}_{1} / \bar{q}_{1}, \bar{p}_{2} / \bar{q}_{2}, \bar{p}_{3} / \bar{q}_{3}\right)$ such that $\bar{q}_{3}\left|\bar{q}_{1}\right| \bar{q}_{2}$, the orbits of the translation of vector $\bar{\omega}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{3}$ are $\eta$-dense and $|\bar{\omega}-\omega| \leqslant \eta$;
(b) $\bar{z}=\left(\left(\bar{x}_{1}, 0\right),\left(\bar{x}_{2}, 0\right),\left(\bar{x}_{3}, 0\right)\right)$ such that $0<\bar{x}_{i} \leqslant x_{i} / 2$ and $\bar{x}_{2} \geqslant 1 / \bar{q}_{2}$;
(c) $\bar{z}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$ such that $\left|\bar{z}_{0}-z_{0}\right| \leqslant \eta$, and $\bar{M}>M$, and $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{U}^{\alpha, L}$
$\bar{z}$-admissible, so that

$$
\bar{T}:=\Phi_{2,1, \bar{x}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}^{-3}} \circ \bar{\Phi} \circ S_{\bar{\omega}}
$$

satisfies $\bar{T}^{\bar{M}}\left(\bar{z}_{0}\right)=\bar{z}$ and $\left|\bar{T}^{m}\left(\bar{z}_{0}\right)_{i}\right| \leqslant(1+\eta) x_{i}$ for $m \in\{M, \ldots, \bar{M}\}$.
(d) Moreover, $\left\|\Phi_{2,1, \bar{x}_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}^{-3}} \circ \bar{\Phi}-\Phi_{2,1, x_{2}, q_{2}^{-3}} \circ \Phi\right\|_{\alpha, L} \leqslant \eta$.

Proof: Apply the Technical Intermediary Result to $z$ and get $\bar{\omega}, \bar{z}, N$ and $z^{\prime} \eta$-close to $z$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{N}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\bar{z}$. Let

$$
\bar{\Phi}:=\Phi_{1,3, \hat{x}_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}^{-3}} \circ \Phi_{3,2, x_{3}, \bar{q}_{3}^{-3}} \circ \Phi_{2,1, x_{2}, q_{2}^{-3}} \circ \Phi .
$$

By $z$-admissibility we get

$$
\bar{T}^{m}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{T}^{m}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } m \in\{0, \ldots, N\} .
$$

Let $\bar{M}:=M+N$ and $\bar{z}_{0}:=\bar{T}^{-M}\left(z^{\prime}\right): \eta$-close to $z_{0}$ if we take $\bar{\omega}$ close enough to $\omega$ and the $\bar{q}_{i}$ 's large enough.

Thank you for your attention!

