Reflected SDE and pathwise stochastic analysis

Paul Gassiat

CEREMADE, Université Paris-Dauphine

BIRS Meeting "New interfaces of Stochastic Analysis and Rough Paths", September 2022

Reflected SDE

Given domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and driving signal X, takes the form

 $dY_t = f(Y_t)dX_t + dK_t, \quad Y_t \in D \quad \forall t \ge 0, \quad dK_t = \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_t \in \partial D\}} n(Y_t) | dK_t|$

where n(y) is the inner normal at $y \in \partial D$.

Natural object when considering processes constrained to remaining in a given subdomain. Many applications (queueing theory, finance,...). Classical objects in stochastic analysis when X is a semimartingale. (Skorokhod '61, Tanaka '79, Lions-Sznitman '84,...)

Q : can we apply rough path theory to these equations ? In particular, can we study these equations for non-semimartingale X such as fBm ?

Classical well-posedness

If D is convex, it holds that $n(y) \cdot (y - y') \leq 0$ for $y \in \partial D, y' \in D$. This implies that, given two solutions Y, Y' to $dY = f(Y)dX_t + dK_t$ if $dX_t = dt$, $d|Y - Y'|^2 \leq C|Y - Y'|^2dt$ (also for $dY_t = f(Y_t)dt + dX_t$ (additive noise))

if $dX_t = dB_t$ Brownian motion, $d \mathbb{E} |Y - Y'|^2 \leq C \mathbb{E} |Y - Y'|^2 dt$ from which uniqueness follows by Gronwall's lemma.

Formally, reflection corresponds to the singular drift equation

$$dY_t = f(Y_t) dX_t - \nabla \Phi(Y_t) dt,$$

where Φ is the convex indicator function of D

$$\Phi(y) = +\infty \mathbb{1}_{\{y \notin D\}}.$$

(singular but monotone drift).

• Is this compatible with rough path type solutions ? Recall for dY = f(Y)dX, $X \in C^{\alpha}$

$$X_t - X_s = f(Y_s)(X_t - X_s) + o(|t - s|) \; (lpha > rac{1}{2}, \; ext{Young case})$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_t - X_s &= f(Y_s)(X_t - X_s) + (f'f)(Y_s) \left(\int_s^t X_{s,r} \otimes dX_r \right) + o(|t-s|) \\ & (\frac{1}{2} \geqslant \alpha > \frac{1}{3}, \text{ rough case}). \end{aligned}$$

A priori, different type of argument ("almost equalities" vs. "inequalities (in expectation)")

Some positive results

$$dY_t = f(Y_t)dX_t + dK_t, \quad Y_t \in D, dK_t = 1_{\{Y_t \in \partial D\}} n(Y_t)|dK_t|$$

- Aida ('15,'16) : Existence holds for X α-Hölder rough path (α > ¹/₃), and some regularity assumptions on D (similar to classical theory.
- In 1d (D = ℝ₊), one can show that uniqueness holds. (Deya-Gubinelli-Hofmanova-Tindel '19, Richard-Tanré-Torrès '21, Allan-Liu-Prömel '22).

What about uniqueness in the multi-dimensional case ?

Non-uniqueness for reflected RDE

In general, uniqueness will not hold for rough driving signals :

Theorem (G. AIHP '21)

The equation

$$\begin{cases} dx_t &= y_t d\beta_t - dt + dk_t, x_t \ge 0, dk_t \ge 0, x_t dk_t = 0, \\ dy_t &= x_t d\beta_t. \end{cases}$$

admits infinitely many solutions with initial condition (0,0), if β is : (1) a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H < \frac{1}{2}$. (2) a well-chosen deterministic path, which can have modulus of continuity $\omega(r) = r^{1/2} |\log(r)|^{\gamma}$, $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{cases} dx_t = y_t d\beta_t - dt + dk_t, x_t \ge 0, dk_t \ge 0, x_t dk_t = 0, \\ dy_t = x_t d\beta_t. \end{cases}$$



The Skorokhod map

Given a \mathbb{R}^N -valued path W, there exists a unique Z s.t.

$$Z = W + K, \quad Z_t \in D \forall t \ge 0, dK = \mathbb{1}_{\{Z_t \in \partial D\}} n(Z_t) d|K|_t.$$

The map $\Gamma: W \mapsto Z$ is called the **Skorokhod map**. Reflected SDE are then equivalently rewritten as fixed points :

$$Y=\Gamma\left(y_0+\int_0^t f(Y_t)dX_t\right).$$

Very simple form when $D = \mathbb{R}_+$ (Skorokhod '61)

$$K_t = -\left(\inf_{0 \leqslant s \leqslant t} W_s\right) \wedge 0.$$

If $D = D_1 \times \ldots \times D_k$, $\Gamma_D = (\Gamma_{D_1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{D_k})$. For general D, no such simple expression.

A Lipschitz continuity result in *p*-variation

Theorem (Falkowski-Slominski ('15,'22))

Let
$$D = \prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]$$
 (with $-\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq +\infty$). Then

$$\left\| \Gamma(Y) - \Gamma(Y') \right\|_{p-var} \leqslant C \left(|Y_0 - Y'_0| + \|Y - Y'\|_{p-var} \right).$$

Combined with Young integration theory, this allows them to prove well-posedness of reflected equations :

Theorem

With D as above, B^H fBm with $H > \frac{1}{2}$, the reflected equation

$$dY_t = f(Y_t)dB_t^H + dK_t, \dots$$

is well-posed.

(Remark : they also obtain well-posedness for mixed SDE $dY_t = f^1(Y_t)dB_t^H + f^2(Y_t)dB_t + dK_t$, where *B* is classical BM).

A regularization by noise result

Theorem (G. - Mądry arXiv '22)

Let $D = \prod_{i=1}^{N} [a_i, b_i]$, $0 < H < \frac{1}{2}$ and $b \in C^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{2H}$. Then well-posedness holds for

$$Y_t = b(Y_t)dt + dB_t^H + dK_t.$$

The argument is an extension of Catellier-Gubinelli ('16), which uses nonlinear Young integration, and relies on regularity of the averaged field

$$T^{B^H}b:(t,x)\mapsto \int_0^t b(x+B^H_s)ds$$

wich has much better regularity in x than C^{α} . Letting $\theta = Y - B^{H}$, the equation is equivalent to

$$\theta = \Gamma\left(\theta + \int_{0}^{\cdot} T^{B^{H}}b(\theta_{s}, ds) + B^{H}\right) - B^{H}$$

We combine with the (Falkowski-Slominski) Lipschitz property of Γ to conclude.

• Actually, we need to obtain regularity of $T^{\Gamma(B^H)}b$. We show that if K is adapted and in C^{q-var} with $\frac{1}{q} > H + \frac{1}{2}$, then with b as above,

$$T^{B^H+K}b\in C_t^{(2-\epsilon)-\mathit{var}}C_x^1$$

This is obtained via the stochastic sewing lemma.

- We also have some result for $H > \frac{1}{2}$, in which case we need $b \in C^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 2 \frac{1}{H}$ (probably not optimal).
- The argument is not specific to reflection, also works e.g. for equations of the form

$$dY_t = f(Y_t)dt + dB_t^H + \alpha \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} Y_s + \beta \min_{0 \leq s \leq t} Y_s.$$

Some open questions (Young case)

- For (non-smooth) convex domains D and/or oblique reflection direction, is Γ_D Lipschitz in p-variation ?
 (Note p = ∞ is known but nontrivial, cf Dupuis-Ishii '91)
- More specific to the regularization by noise / singular drift equations : better results for H > ¹/₂ ?
- Can we understand reflection in a stochastic way ? e.g. by considering properties of the map

$$(s,t,x)\mapsto K_{s,t}(x+B_{s,\cdot})$$

Some open questions (rough case)

We have seen that in general, there is no uniqueness for reflected RDE. Can we find criteria to restore uniqueness ?

- Does uniqueness hold for sufficiently regular X, e.g. 2-variation, or for ψ -variation with $\psi(r) = r^2 \log \log(1/r)$? (This is the regularity of **Markovian** rough paths, cf Friz-Victoir chap. XVI).
- Does uniqueness hold if the driving vector field / noise are non-degenerate e.g. X fBm and f(y) ⋅ n(y) ≠ 0 for y ∈ ∂D ?
- In general, does uniqueness hold for a.e. initial condition, is there a **unique flow** for the RRDE ?