Symmetry breaking in the Hartree-Fock jellium

David Gontier

CEREMADE, Université Paris-Dauphine

Mean-field and other effective models in mathematical physics Treilles, May 24th 2019

joint work with Mathieu Lewin and Christian Hainzl



Introduction: Expected phase diagram for the 3d jellium

From Jones, Ceperley, PRL 76 (1996) and Zing, Lin, Ceperley, Phys. Rev. E 66 (2002).



Hartree-Fock jellium

= Electrons in uniform positive background, described with Hartree-Fock.

States = one-body density matrices: $\gamma \in \mathcal{S}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2)), 0 \le \gamma \le 1$. We write $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow} & \gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ \gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow} & \gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$.

Energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{HF}}(\gamma, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{T}) = &\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \left(-\Delta \gamma \right) + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega^2} \frac{(\rho_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}) - \boldsymbol{\rho})(\rho_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}') - \boldsymbol{\rho})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega^2} \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} |\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')|^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}' \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{T} \, \mathrm{Tr} \left(S(\gamma) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $S(t):=-t\log(t)-(1-t)\log(1-t)$ is the entropy.

Constraint: $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma) = \rho |\Omega|$.

Thermodynamic limit: $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$, and ρ constant $\to E^{\mathrm{HF}}(\rho, T)$.

Goal: Study the phase diagram: features of the minimisers in the (ρ, T) plane.

Spatial symmetry breaking

If $\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \gamma(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{0})$, then γ is invariant by translation (fluid phase). Otherwise, γ breaks spatial symmetry (e.g. Wigner crystallisation).

Spin symmetry breaking If $\gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow} = \gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ and $\gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow} = \gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow} = 0$, then γ is paramagnetic. Otherwise, it is (partially) ferromagnetic.

The fluid phase

Perform the minimisation only on translational-invariant states: $\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \gamma(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. $\implies \rho_{\gamma} = \rho = \gamma(\mathbf{0})$ is constant \implies the direct term vanishes.

Fourier operator, γ is multiplication operator in Fourier by (still denoted by γ)

$$\gamma(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\uparrow\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) & \gamma^{\uparrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \gamma^{\downarrow\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) & \gamma^{\downarrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\mathbf{k}) = \gamma(\mathbf{k})^*, \quad 0 \le \gamma(\mathbf{k}) \le \mathbb{I}_2.$$

HF energy for fluid states

$$\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k^2 \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \gamma(\mathbf{k}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} \frac{\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \left[\gamma(\mathbf{k}) \gamma(\mathbf{k}') \right]}{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'|^2} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}' - \frac{T}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} S(\gamma(\mathbf{k})) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}.$$

 $\label{eq:constraints} {\rm Constraints} \qquad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} {\rm tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \gamma(\mathbf{k}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k} = \rho.$

 $\text{No-spin version } \gamma \to g \text{, that is } g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3,\mathbb{R}), 0 \leq g \leq 1 \text{ and } (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g = \rho.$

$$\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k^2 g(\mathbf{k}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k} - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^2} \frac{g(\mathbf{k})g(\mathbf{k}')}{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'|^2} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}' - \frac{T}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} S(g(\mathbf{k})) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}.$$

Lemma

Any minimiser among fluid states is of the form

$$\gamma(\mathbf{k}) = U \begin{pmatrix} g^{\uparrow}(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & g^{\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} U^* \quad \text{with} \quad U \in \mathrm{SU}(2).$$

Proof: $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(UD_1U^*D_2) \leq \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2}(D_1D_2)$ with D_1, D_2 diagonal with ordered entries.

Corollary

$$E^{\rm HF, fluid}(\rho, T) = \inf_{t \in [0, 1/2]} \left\{ E^{\rm HF, fluid}_{\rm nospin}(t\rho, T) + E^{\rm HF, fluid}_{\rm nospin}((1-t)\rho, T) \right\}.$$

The best $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is called the polarisation.

Lemma (Euler-Lagrange)

Any such minimiser γ must satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\gamma = \left(1 + e^{\beta(\frac{1}{2}k^2 - \gamma * |\cdot|^{-2} - \mu)}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{for some Lagrange multiplier} \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In particular, g^{\uparrow} and g^{\downarrow} satisfy $g^{\uparrow/\downarrow}(\mathbf{k}) = \left(1 + e^{\beta(\frac{1}{2}k^2 - g^{\uparrow/\downarrow}*|\cdot|^{-2} - \mu)}\right)^{-1}$ for the same μ .

Remark: Spin symmetry breaking $(g^{\uparrow} \neq g^{\downarrow})$ can only happen if

• the map $\rho \mapsto \mu(\rho,T)$ is not one-to-one;

• the equation
$$g \mapsto \left(1 + e^{\beta(\frac{1}{2}k^2 - g*|\cdot|^{-2} - \mu)}\right)^{-1}$$
 has at least two fixed points.

David Gontier

An important example: the T = 0 case.

Lemma

At T = 0, for all $\rho > 0$, the no-spin energy $E_{\text{nospin}}^{\text{fluid}}$ has a unique minimiser, which is $g := \mathbb{1}(k^2 \le c\rho^{3/2})$. Hence

$$E_{\text{nospin}}^{\text{fluid}}(\rho, T=0) = C_{\text{TF}}\rho^{5/3} - C_D\rho^{4/3},$$

and

$$\mu(\rho, T=0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} E_{\text{nospin}}^{\text{fluid}} = \frac{5}{3} C_{\text{TF}} \rho^{2/3} - \frac{4}{3} C_D \rho^{1/3} \quad (\text{not one-to-one}).$$

Proof: The minimiser is radial decreasing + the energy is concave, so $g(\mathbf{k}) \in \{0, 1\}$.



Figure: black: T = 0, red: T = 0.01 Ha, blue: T = 0.03 Ha.

Symmetry breaking at T = 0 case.

Including the spin, we just need to study the map

$$t \mapsto C_{\rm TF} \rho^{5/3} (t^{5/3} + (1-t)^{5/3}) - C_D \rho^{4/3} (t^{4/3} + (1-t)^{4/3}).$$

Theorem (G-Lewin 2019, but well-known result)

There is a first order phase transition at $\rho_c = \frac{125}{24\pi^5} \left(\frac{1}{1+2^{1/3}}\right)^3$ ($r_s \approx 5.45$):

- For $\rho < \rho_c$, the minimiser is unique up to global spin rotation, and it is pure ferromagnetic ($g^{\downarrow} = 0$);
- For $\rho > \rho_c$, the minimiser is unique, and is paramagnetic.

The energy is continuous, and has a kink at $\rho = \rho_c$.

Fluid phase diagram



Figure: Level lines of the polarisation $t \in [0, 1/2]$.

Theorem (G-Lewin 2019) For $T \ge C\rho^{1/3}$ or $T \ge Ce^{-\alpha\rho^{1/6}}$, the minimiser for the spin-fluid energy is unique and paramagnetic.

Idea of the proof (D. Gontier, M. Lewin, arXiv 1812.07679.)

We prove that, «in some regime», there is a unique solution to the fixed-point equation

$$g = \mathcal{G}_{T,\mu}[g] \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{G}_{T,\mu}[g](\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{1}{2}k^2 - g * w(\mathbf{k}) - \mu\right)}} \quad \text{(Hammerstein integral equation)}$$

Setting $V := g \ast w \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, this is also

$$V = \mathcal{V}_{T,\mu}[V] \quad \text{where} \quad \left| \mathcal{V}_{T,\mu}[V](\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{1 + \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{1}{2}k^2 - V - \mu\right)}} \ast w.\right.$$

Step 1: $V \mapsto \mathcal{V}[V]$ is increasing: $V_1 \leq V_2 \implies \mathcal{V}[V_1] \leq \mathcal{V}[V_2]$. Define $V_0^- = 0$ and $V_0^+ = a$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ large enough. Then,

$$\begin{split} V_{n+1}^- &:= \mathcal{V}[V_n^-] \quad \text{is increasing, bounded by } a, \text{ hence converges to some } V_{\min,}, \\ V_{n+1}^+ &:= \mathcal{V}[V_n^+] \quad \text{is decreasing, bounded by } 0, \text{ hence converges to some } V_{\max}. \end{split}$$

The functions $V_{\min}(T,\mu)$ (resp. $V_{\max}(T,\mu)$) are minimal (resp. maximal) fixed point of $\mathcal{V}_{T,\mu}$. Remark: This gives a priori bounds $\mu \leftrightarrow \rho$.

Step 2: For μ small enough $(\mu \to \infty)$, we must have $V_{\min}(T, \mu) = V_{\max}(T, \mu)$. The map $\mathcal{V}_{T,\mu}$ becomes a contraction among its fixed points. Step 3: At a fixed point V (or g), study the linearised operator

$$\mathcal{L} := \mathrm{d}_V \left(V - \mathcal{V}_{T,\mu}[V] \right) : v \mapsto v - \underbrace{\frac{1}{T} w * (g(1-g)v)}_{:=A_g v}$$

If $||A||_{\infty,\infty} < 1$, the operator $\mathcal{L} = 1 - A$ is invertible with bounded inverse. The operator A has positive kernel, so

$$||A(f)||_{\infty} \le ||f||_{\infty} ||A(1)||_{\infty}.$$

For instance, for low densities,

$$||A(1)||_{\infty} = \frac{1}{T} ||w * g(1-g)||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{T} ||w * g||_{\infty} \le C \frac{\rho^{1/3}}{T}.$$

For high densities, use that g(1-g) is small away from the Fermi surface.

Conclusion: With the implicit function theorem, $(T, \mu) \mapsto V_{\min}(T, \mu)$ and $(T, \mu) \mapsto V_{\max}(T, \mu)$ have unique continuations, hence are equal.

Spatial symmetry breaking

Theorem (Overhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 462 (1960))

At T = 0, the fluid minimiser is never a HF minimiser. Actually,

 $E^{\mathrm{HF}}(
ho,T=0) < E^{\mathrm{HF,fluid}}(
ho,T=0) - C\mathrm{e}^{-lpha
ho^{1/6}}$ Delyon, Bernu, Baguet, Holzmann, Phys. Rev. B 92.

Fluid states are unstable with respect to the formation of Spin Density Waves (SDW).

 \implies Much more complex phase diagram.

Phase diagram at T = 0 (from Baguet, Delyon, Bernu, Holzmann, Phys. Rev. B 90 (2014))



FIG. 2. Hartree-Fock phase diagram of the 3D electron gas. Energies are in Hartree per electron. $E_M = -0.89593/r_s$ is the Madelung energy of a polarized-bcc Wigner crystal. Full lines stand for incommensurate regime $(Q > Q_W)$ and dashed lines for the Wigner crystal $(Q = Q_W)$. Thin lines stand for the polarized gas (upper curves) and thick lines for the unpolarized gas.

Theorem (G-Hainzl-Lewin 19)

• At T = 0,

$$E^{\mathrm{HF, fluid}}(\rho, T=0) - E^{\mathrm{HF}}(\rho, T=0) \Big| \le C \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \rho^{1/6}}$$

• If $\rho \gg 1$ and $T > Ce^{-\alpha \rho^{1/6}}$, $E^{HF}(\rho, T)$ has a unique minimiser, which is fluid and paramagnetic. In particular, $E^{HF}(\rho, T) = E^{HF, \text{fluid}}(\rho, T)$.

Idea of the proof: Control the difference with the first eigenvalue of the Schrödinger-like operator

$$H(\varepsilon) := |\Delta + 1| - \frac{\varepsilon}{|\mathbf{r}|}.$$

Lemma (G-Hainzl-Lewin 19)

The first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\varepsilon)$ of $H(\varepsilon)$ satisfies

$$-Ce^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \le \lambda_1(\varepsilon) \le -C'e^{-\alpha'/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$$

Based on similar results: Hainzl, Seiringer 2008: For 1 < s < 2,

$$-Ce^{-\alpha/\varepsilon} \leq \lambda_1 \left(|\Delta+1| - \frac{\varepsilon}{|\mathbf{r}|^s} \right) \leq -C'e^{-\alpha'/\varepsilon}$$

In our case, use that, for a > 0,

$$\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-a|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|} \le \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \le \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-a|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|} + a,$$

and optimise $a := a(\varepsilon)$.

David Gontier

Idea of the proof for T = 0: Fix k_F the Fermi level. In a finite box Ω_L of size L with PBC, let γ_L be the (periodised) free Fermi state

$$\hat{\gamma}_L(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \mathbb{1}(k \le k_F) = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \left(\mathbb{1} \left[H_L(\mathbf{k}) \le H_L(k_F) \right] \right),$$

with

$$H_L(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{2}k^2 - \frac{4\pi}{L^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}' \neq \mathbf{k}} \frac{\mathbbm{1}(k' \leq k_F)}{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'|^2} \quad \text{(mean-field fluid Hamiltonian)}.$$

Since H_L is the sum of two increasing functions, we have

$$|H_L(\mathbf{k}) - H_L(k_F)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|k^2 - k_F^2|, \quad \text{or, as operators,} \quad \left|H_L - \varepsilon_F^L\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|-\Delta_L - k_F^2|.$$

After some computations, we get, for all projectors γ with the same density, and with $Q := \gamma - \gamma_L$,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{L}^{\mathrm{HF}}(\gamma) - \mathcal{E}_{L}^{\mathrm{HF}}(\gamma_{L}) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}_{L} \left(\left| \Delta_{\mathbf{x},L} + k_{F}^{2} \right| Q^{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \iint_{(\Omega_{L})^{2}} Q^{2}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) G_{L}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{L}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left(\left\langle Q(\cdot,\mathbf{y}) \right| \left| \Delta_{\mathbf{x},L} + k_{F}^{2} \right| - G_{L}(\cdot-\mathbf{y}) \right| Q(\cdot,\mathbf{y}) \right\rangle \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\lambda_{1} \left\{ \left| \Delta_{L} + k_{F}^{2} \right| - G_{L}(\mathbf{x}) \right\}}_{\leq 0} \underbrace{\iint_{(\Omega_{L})^{2}} Q^{2}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}}_{\leq 2N} \\ &\geq N \lambda_{1} \left\{ \left| \Delta_{L} + k_{F}^{2} \right| - G_{L}(\mathbf{x}) \right\} . \end{split}$$

After normalisation, and limit $L \rightarrow \infty$,

$$E^{\mathrm{HF}} - E^{\mathrm{HF, fluid}} \ge \lambda_1 \left\{ |\Delta + k_F^2| - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \right\} = k_F^2 \lambda_1 \left\{ |\Delta + 1| - \frac{1}{k_F |\mathbf{x}|} \right\}.$$

Expected Phase diagram for the HF jellium

