# Well-posedness and convergence of entropic approximation of semi-geostrophic equations

Hugo Malamut

Cérémade (Université Dauphine PSL) Mokaplan (Inria)

March 25, 2025

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (1/58)

**Entropic Optimal Transport Solutions** of the Semi-geostrophic equations Colin Cotter Jean-David Benamou, Hugo Malamut **MOKAPLAN** Imperial College INRIA, PSL Dauphine, CNRS Well-posedness and convergence of entropic approximation of semi-geostrophic equations Guillaume Carlier, Hugo Malamut MOKAPLAN INRIA, PSL Dauphine, CNRS



Figure: Fluid in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v_0$  given

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (3/58)

# Euler equation

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (4/58)

#### Newton's law



#### Newton's law





Figure: Pressure forces on a fluid parcel

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (5/58)

$$F_p = pS\vec{n}_{int}$$



Figure: Horizontal pressure force:  $F_{p,x} = -\partial_x p$ 

$$F_p = pS\vec{n}_{int}$$



Figure: Horizontal pressure force:  $F_{p,x} = -\partial_x p$ 

For a small enough parcel

$$F_p = -\nabla p$$

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (6/58)





For Euler equation, there is no other force:

 $\ddot{x} = -\nabla p(x)$ 

#### Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (7/58)

Let  $X_t(a)$  or X(t, a) be the resolvant of  $\ddot{x} = -\nabla p_t(x)$ :

$$\begin{cases} rac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}X(t,a)=-
abla p_t(X(t,a))\ X(0,a)=a,rac{\partial}{\partial t}X(t,a)=v_0(a) \end{cases}$$

Let  $X_t(a)$  or X(t, a) be the resolvant of  $\ddot{x} = -\nabla p_t(x)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{X}_t = -\nabla p_t \circ X_t \\ X_0 = id, \quad \dot{X}_0 = v_0 \end{cases}$$

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (9/58)

Let  $X_t(a)$  or X(t, a) be the resolvant of  $\ddot{x} = -\nabla p_t(x)$ :

$$egin{cases} \ddot{X}_t = - 
abla p_t \circ X_t \ X_0 = \mathit{id}, \quad \dot{X}_0 = \mathit{v}_0 \end{cases}$$

Incompressibility constraint:

 $orall t > 0 \quad (X_t)_{\#} \mathcal{L}_\Omega = \mathcal{L}_\Omega$ 

#### Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (9/58)

#### Incompressibility constraint on the velocity



Figure: Conditions on the velocity field:  $\nabla \cdot v = 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $v / / \partial \Omega$  on  $\partial \Omega$ 

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (10/58)

In Lagrangian coordinates:

$$egin{aligned} \ddot{X}_t &= -
abla p_t \circ X_t \ (X_t)_\# \mathcal{L}_\Omega &= \mathcal{L}_\Omega \end{aligned}$$

with initial conditions  $X_0 = \mathit{id}$ ,  $\dot{X_0} = v_0$ 

In Lagrangian coordinates:

$$egin{cases} \ddot{X}_t = -
abla p_t \circ X_t \ (X_t)_{\#} \mathcal{L}_\Omega = \mathcal{L}_\Omega \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions  $X_0 = id$ ,  $\dot{X}_0 = v_0$ In Eulerian coordinates:

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} \partial_t m{v} + (m{v} \cdot 
abla) m{v} = -
abla m{p} \ 
abla \cdot m{v} = 0 \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

with initial condition  $v(0,.) = v_0$ 

# Arnold's interpretation

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (12/58)

$$H = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

Arnold's group is :

$$G = \{X : \Omega \to \Omega \mid X \text{ diffeomorphism and } X_{\#} \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} \}$$

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (13/58)

$$H=L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Arnold's group is :

(

$$G = \{X : \Omega \to \Omega \, / \, X \text{ diffeomorphism and } X_{\#} \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} \}$$



Figure: If  $(X_t)_{\#}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\dot{X}$  has 0 divergence: the tangent space is  $ker(\nabla \cdot)$ 

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (13/58)

Precise statement of Helmholtz decomposition:

$$C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) = ker(\nabla \cdot) \oplus^{\perp} Im \nabla v$$
  
 $v = w + \nabla p$ 

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (14/58)

Precise statement of Helmholtz decomposition:

$$egin{array}{rcl} C^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n) &=& {\it ker}(
abla\cdot) &\oplus^\perp & {\it Im}
abla \ v &=& w &+& 
abla p \end{array}$$

Informal representation:



Figure: Formally,  $T_X H = T_X G \oplus^{\perp} \{ \nabla p, p \in C^2 \}$ 

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (14/58)

$$\ddot{X} = -\nabla p \circ X \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} T_X H &=& T_X G \quad \oplus^{\perp} \quad T_X G \\ \ddot{X} &=& 0 \quad + \quad -\nabla p \end{array}$$

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (15/58)

$$\ddot{X} = -\nabla p \circ X \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} T_X H &=& T_X G \quad \oplus^{\perp} \quad T_X G \\ \ddot{X} &=& 0 \quad + \quad -\nabla p \end{array}$$



Figure: The acceleration is orthogonal to the manifold

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (15/58)

#### Arnold's interpretation



Figure: The acceleration is orthogonal to the manifold

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (16/58)

#### Arnold's interpretation



Figure: The acceleration is orthogonal to the manifold

$$\ddot{X} \perp T_X G$$

 $X_t$  is a geodesic in G

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (16/58)

## $\ddot{X} \perp T_X G$

 $\frac{X_t \text{ is a geodesic in G}}{\text{Other interpretation: consider the problem}}$ 

$$\min_{\substack{X_0, X_1 \text{ fixed} \\ \dot{X} = v \circ X \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0}} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 \mathrm{d} \mathbf{a} \mathrm{d} t$$

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (17/58)

### $\ddot{X} \perp T_X G$

 $\frac{X_t \text{ is a geodesic in G}}{\text{Other interpretation: consider the problem}}$ 

$$\min_{\substack{X_0, X_1 \text{fixed} \\ X = v \circ X \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0}} \int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 \mathrm{d} a \mathrm{d} t$$

Formally, the solution follows the Euler equation and the pressure is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint  $(X_t)_{\#}\mathcal{L}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$ 

#### Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (17/58)

# Numerics: Optimal transport method for Euler equation (Cauchy problem)

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (18/58)

#### the geodesic problem



Figure: The initial value (Cauchy) problem

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (19/58)

#### the geodesic problem

(19/58)



Figure: The initial value (Cauchy) problem

The problem:

- we want to construct  $X_t \in G$
- we can construct orthogonal acceleration only if for  $Y \notin G$
- if  $Y \notin G$ , there is no equation on Y (!)

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations

ions • March 25, 2025

#### De Goes solution



Figure: Project at each step

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (20/58)

#### De Goes solution

(20/58)

March 25, 2025

•



Figure: Project at each step

- original idea from Brenier
- no proof of convergence
- only discret in time

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations

#### Gallouet-Merigot method



Figure: Allow oscillations with parameter  $\sigma$ 

#### Gallouet-Merigot method

March 25, 2025

•

(21/58)



Figure: Allow oscillations with parameter  $\sigma$ 

- original idea from Brenier-Loeper
- continuous time
- proof of convergence

Hugo Malamut 

entropic approximation of SG equations

#### Polar factorization theorem

How to project on G?

#### Polar factorization theorem

#### How to project on G?



Figure: The polar factorisation theorem

We need to solve for the optimal transport problem between  $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$  and  $\mu := Y_{\#}\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$ :

$$\inf_{\mathcal{T}_{\#}\mu=\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}}\int |\mathcal{T}(a)-a|^{2}d\mu(a)=?\inf_{\mathcal{T}_{\#}\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}=\mu}\int_{\Omega}|\mathcal{T}(a)-a|^{2}da$$

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (22/58)
We need to solve for the optimal transport problem between  $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$  and  $\mu := Y_{\#}\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$ :

$$\inf_{T_{\#}\mu=\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}}\int |T(a)-a|^2d\mu(a)=?\inf_{T_{\#}\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}=\mu}\int_{\Omega}|T(a)-a|^2da$$

- The optimizers are  $T = \nabla f$  such that  $\mu = T_{\#}\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$  and  $T^{-1} = \nabla f^*$  s.t.  $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega} = (T^{-1})_{\#}\mu$
- $X = Y \circ \nabla f^*$  is the projection of Y on G

### Semigeostrophic equations: Coriolis force's entrance





Geostrophic regime: only one other force, the Coriolis force



Figure: the rotation of the earth, we decompose  $\vec{\Omega} = \Omega_P + \Omega_r$ 



Figure: the rotation of the earth, we decompose  $\vec{\Omega} = \Omega_P + \Omega_r$ 

- the vertical component  $(F_c \cdot e_r)e_r$  is negligible with respect to gravity
- the speed is horizontal ( $v \in P$ ) so  $\Omega_P \wedge v / / e_r$

$$F_c = 2m(\Omega_P + \Omega_r) \wedge v$$

$$F_c = 2m(\Omega_P + \Omega_r) \wedge v$$



Figure: The vertical part of  $\vec{\Omega}$  is  $\Omega_r = \Omega R \cos(\theta) e_r$ 

(27/58)

$$F_c = 2m(\Omega_P + \Omega_r) \wedge v$$



Figure: The vertical part of  $\vec{\Omega}$  is  $\Omega_r = \Omega R \cos(\theta) e_r$ 

Let  $f = 2\Omega R \cos(\theta)$  The Coriolis force is  $F_c = fe_r \wedge v$ Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 The Coriolis force is  $F_c = fe_r \wedge v$ .

The Coriolis force is  $F_c = fe_r \wedge v$ . Let  $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In the horizontal coordinates,  $e_r \wedge v = -Jv$ So the Coriolis force is  $F_c = mfJv$  The Coriolis force is  $F_c = fe_r \wedge v$ . Let  $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In the horizontal coordinates,  $e_r \wedge v = -Jv$ So the Coriolis force is  $F_c = mfJv$ Hence



The Coriolis force is  $F_c = fe_r \wedge v$ . Let  $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In the horizontal coordinates,  $e_r \wedge v = -Jv$ So the Coriolis force is  $F_c = mfJv$ Hence



Or

### $\ddot{x} + fJ\dot{x} + \nabla p(x) = 0$

From now on, we suppose that f does not vary two much and we set f = 1

# Cullen's stability principle

Take two parcels x and y with close initial conditions

$$\ddot{y} + J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$
  
 $\ddot{x} + J\dot{x} + \nabla p(x) = 0$ 

Take two parcels x and y with close initial conditions

$$\ddot{y} + J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$
  
 $\ddot{x} + J\dot{x} + \nabla p(x) = 0$ 

Set r = y - x and approximate  $\nabla p(y) - \nabla p(x) \simeq D^2 p(x)(y - x)$  $\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2 p(x)r = 0$ 

Consider time scale under which  $D^2p(x)$  does not vary too much,

Cullen's stability principle

$$\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2p(x)r = 0$$

Cullen's stability principle

$$\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2p(x)r = 0$$

•  $D^2p(x)$  is a real symmetric

$$\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2p(x)r = 0$$

- $D^2p(x)$  is a real symmetric
- J is orthogonal

$$\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2p(x)r = 0$$

- $D^2p(x)$  is a real symmetric
- J is orthogonal
- we can suppose  $D^2p(x)$  diagonal:  $D^2p(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$

$$\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2p(x)r = 0$$

- $D^2p(x)$  is a real symmetric
- J is orthogonal
- we can suppose  $D^2p(x)$  diagonal:  $D^2p(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$

$$\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2p(x)r = 0$$

- $D^2p(x)$  is a real symmetric
- J is orthogonal

• we can suppose 
$$D^2p(x)$$
 diagonal:  $D^2p(x) = \left( egin{array}{c} a & 0 \ 0 & b \end{array} 
ight)$ 

We can rewrite

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \ddot{r}\\ \dot{r}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} J & -D^2p(x)\\ I & 0\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{r}\\ r\end{array}\right).$$

$$\ddot{r} + J\dot{r} + D^2p(x)r = 0$$

- $D^2p(x)$  is a real symmetric
- J is orthogonal

• we can suppose 
$$D^2 p(x)$$
 diagonal:  $D^2 p(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ 

We can rewrite

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \ddot{r}\\ \dot{r} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} J & -D^2 p(x)\\ I & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{r}\\ r \end{array}\right).$$

What matters for stability are the eigenvalues of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J & -D^2 p(x) \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -a & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J & -D^2 p(x) \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -a & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Facts:

•

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + (a+b-1)\lambda^2 - ab$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J & -D^2 p(x) \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -a & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Facts:

٠

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + (a+b-1)\lambda^2 - ab$$

•  $\lambda$  eigenvalue  $\Leftrightarrow -\lambda$  eigenvalue

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J & -D^2 p(x) \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -a & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Facts:

•

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + (a+b-1)\lambda^2 - ab$$

- $\lambda$  eigenvalue  $\Leftrightarrow -\lambda$  eigenvalue
- $\mathit{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$  and  $\mathit{Re}(-\lambda) \geq 0$  implies  $\mathit{Re}(\lambda) = 0$  and  $\lambda^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{-1}$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J & -D^2 p(x) \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -a & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Facts:

٠

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + (a+b-1)\lambda^2 - ab$$

•  $\lambda$  eigenvalue  $\Leftrightarrow -\lambda$  eigenvalue

• 
$$Re(\lambda) \ge 0$$
 and  $Re(-\lambda) \ge 0$  implies  $Re(\lambda) = 0$  and  $\lambda^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{-1}$ 

•  $\mu = \lambda^2$  solves

$$\mu^2-(a+b+1)\mu+ab=0$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J & -D^2 p(x) \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -a & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Facts:

٠

$$\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 + (a+b-1)\lambda^2 - ab$$

•  $\lambda$  eigenvalue  $\Leftrightarrow -\lambda$  eigenvalue

• 
$$Re(\lambda) \ge 0$$
 and  $Re(-\lambda) \ge 0$  implies  $Re(\lambda) = 0$  and  $\lambda^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{-1}$ 

•  $\mu = \lambda^2$  solves

$$\mu^2-(a+b+1)\mu+ab=0$$

• we need

$$a+b-1 \ge 0$$
 and  $ab \ge 0$ 

### Cullen's stability principle



Figure: We have  $a \geq -1$  and  $b \geq -1$ 

The eigenvalues of  $D^2 p(x)$  are larger than -1,

The eigenvalues of  $D^2 p(x)$  are larger than -1, Equivalently  $I + D^2 p(x)$  is semidefinite positive, The eigenvalues of  $D^2 p(x)$  are larger than -1, Equivalently  $I + D^2 p(x)$  is semidefinite positive, Equivalently

 $x \mapsto x + \nabla p(x)$  is the gradient of a convex function

The eigenvalues of  $D^2 p(x)$  are larger than -1, Equivalently  $I + D^2 p(x)$  is semidefinite positive, Equivalently

 $x \mapsto x + \nabla p(x)$  is the gradient of a convex function

To be continued...

# Semigeostrophic approximation

 $T := t_1 - t_0 >> 1$  the time scale, we are interested in

$$y(t) := x(t_0 + tT).$$

We still have

$$\ddot{x} + J\dot{x} + \nabla p(x) = 0$$

 $T := t_1 - t_0 >> 1$  the time scale, we are interested in

$$y(t) := x(t_0 + tT).$$

We still have

$$\ddot{x} + J\dot{x} + \nabla p(x) = 0$$

In terms of y, we have

$$\frac{1}{T^2}\ddot{y} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$
First step:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}^2}\ddot{y} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0,$$

So (remember that  $J^{-1} = -J$ ):

First step:

So (remember that 
$$J^{-1} = -J$$
):  
 $\dot{y} = \underbrace{TJ\nabla p(y)}_{\text{Geostrophic wind}}$ 



Figure: Excerpt from [?], wind map here

Semi-geostrophic approximation:

$$\frac{1}{T^2}\ddot{y} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$

Second step: we suppose

$$\dot{y} = \underbrace{TJ\nabla p(y)}_{} + \underbrace{v_a}_{}$$

Geostrophic wind

ageostrophic speed

.

So

$$\frac{1}{T^2}\dot{v_a} + \frac{1}{T}J\nabla\dot{p(y)} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$

Semi-geostrophic approximation:

$$\frac{1}{T^2}\ddot{y} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$

Second step: we suppose

$$\dot{y} = \underbrace{TJ\nabla p(y)}_{} + \underbrace{v_a}_{}$$

Geostrophic wind

ageostrophic speed

.

So

$$\frac{1}{T^2}\dot{v_a} + \frac{1}{T}J\nabla\dot{p(y)} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$

Semi-geostrophic approximation:

$$\frac{1}{T^2}\ddot{y} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$

Second step: we suppose



Geostrophic wind

ageostrophic speed

So

$$\frac{1}{T^2}\dot{v_a} + \frac{1}{T}J\nabla\dot{p(y)} + \frac{1}{T}J\dot{y} + \nabla p(y) = 0$$

We arrive to

 $\dot{y} + \underbrace{\nabla \dot{p}(y)}_{I} = \underbrace{TJ\nabla p(y)}_{I}$ 

ageostrophic correction

geostrophic wind

 $x_t$  and  $p_t$  solves the semigeostrophic equation if

$$\dot{x} + \nabla \dot{p(x)} = J \nabla p(x)$$

- $x_g := x + \nabla p(x)$  is called geostrophic coordinates
- $v_g := J \nabla p$  is called the geostrophic wind

We have

$$\dot{X_g} = v_g \circ X$$

#### We have

$$\dot{X_g} = v_g \circ X$$



Figure: The speed of  $X_g$  is  $v_g = J \nabla p$ 

(42/58)

#### We have

$$\dot{X_g} = v_g \circ X$$



Figure: The speed of  $X_g$  is  $v_g = J \nabla p$ 

- we have an equation on  $X_g!$
- The energy  $E = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X_g}|^2 da = \frac{1}{2} ||\nabla p||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$  is conserved

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations

• March 25, 2025

## Solutions in geostrophic coordinates



Figure: Since we have a equation on  $X_g \notin G$ , we can project the equation on the measures

## Solutions in geostrophic coordinates



Figure: Since we have a equation on  $X_g \notin G$ , we can project the equation on the measures

## **Definition (Semi-geostrophic equations)**

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_t = -\nabla \cdot \left[ \rho_t J (T^*_{\rho_t} - id) \right] \\ T^*_{\rho_t} \text{ optimal map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} \end{cases}$$
 (SG)

- Euler equation: [Arnold, 1966],[Brenier and Loeper, 2004],[Gallouët and Mérigot, 2018],[De Goes et al., 2015]
- Hoskins' transform [Hoskins, 1975]
- Cullen's stability principle [Cullen and Purser, 1984]
- Link with the optimal transport, weak solution in geostrophic coordinates [Benamou and Brenier, 1998]
- A litterature on interpreting these in physical coordinates [Figalli, 2018].
- Hamiltonian structure [Ambrosio and Gangbo, 2008]
- Numerics : Semi-Discrete optimal transport [Bourne et al., 2022]

# Entropic optimal transport resolution

Relative entropy :

$$\mathcal{H}(\mu|
u) := \left\{ egin{array}{c} \int \log(rac{d\mu}{d
u}) \, d\mu ext{ if } \mu << 
u \ +\infty ext{ else } \end{array} 
ight.$$

March 25, 2025

•

(46/58)

•

Relative entropy :

If  $\pi_{\varepsilon}$  is

$$\mathcal{H}(\mu|
u) := \left\{ egin{array}{l} \int \log(rac{d\mu}{d
u}) \, d\mu ext{ if } \mu << 
u \ +\infty ext{ else} \end{array} 
ight.$$

**Definition (Entropic optimal transport)** 

$$OT_{\varepsilon}(\mu, 
u) = \min_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, 
u)} \int |x - g|^2 d\pi(x, g) + \varepsilon \mathcal{H}(\pi | \mu \otimes 
u)$$
  
optimal, the  $\varepsilon$ -Brenier map is  $T^{\varepsilon}(x) := \int g \pi_{\varepsilon}^{\mathsf{x}} (dg)^1$ 

 $<sup>{}^{1}\</sup>pi_{\varepsilon}^{x}$  is the conditional distribution of  $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ 

March 25, 2025

•

(46/58)

Relative entropy :

$$\mathcal{H}(\mu|
u) := \left\{ egin{array}{l} \int \log(rac{d\mu}{d
u}) \, d\mu ext{ if } \mu << 
u \ +\infty ext{ else} \end{array} 
ight.$$

**Definition (Entropic optimal transport)** 

$$OT_{\varepsilon}(\mu, \nu) = \min_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int |x - g|^2 d\pi(x, g) + \varepsilon \mathcal{H}(\pi | \mu \otimes \nu)$$
  
If  $\pi_{\varepsilon}$  is optimal, the  $\varepsilon$ -Brenier map is  $T^{\varepsilon}(x) := \int g \pi_{\varepsilon}^x (dg)^1$ 

## Advantage : Sinkhorn algorithm

 $<sup>{}^{1}\</sup>pi_{\varepsilon}^{x}$  is the conditional distribution of  $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ 

 $(SG_{\varepsilon})$ 

# Definition (Entropic semi-geostrophic equations)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_t = -\nabla \cdot \left[ \rho_t J(T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id) \right] \\ T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_t = -\nabla \cdot \left[ \rho_t J (T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id) \right] \\ T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} \end{cases}$$

 $(SG_{\varepsilon})$ 

• Space discretization :  $\rho_t \simeq \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \delta_{x_i(t)}$ 

 $(SG_{\varepsilon})$ 

#### Definition (Entropic semi-geostrophic equations)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_t \rho_t = -\nabla \cdot [\rho_t J(T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id)] \\ T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \ \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Space discretization :  $\rho_t \simeq \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \delta_{x_i(t)}$
- Euler scheme :  $x_i(t + dt) \simeq x_i(t) + dt J \left[ T^{\varepsilon}_{\rho_t}(x_i(t)) x_i(t) \right]$

 $(SG_{\varepsilon})$ 

## Definition (Entropic semi-geostrophic equations)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_t \rho_t = -\nabla \cdot [\rho_t J(T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id)] \\ T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \ \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{\Omega} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Space discretization :  $\rho_t \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \delta_{x_i(t)}$
- Euler scheme :  $x_i(t + dt) \simeq x_i(t) + dt J \left[ T^{\varepsilon}_{\rho_t}(x_i(t)) x_i(t) \right]$
- $T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon}$  using Geomloss (J. Feydy) https://www.kernel-operations.io/geomloss/ A fast GPU based Sinkhorn solver.

## Link to the movie : https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U2j\_AY-2FbM

Well-posedness of entropic semi-geostrophic equations ( $\varepsilon > 0$ )

## Back and forth

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot \left[ \rho_t J(T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id) \right] &= 0 \\ T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$
 (SG<sub>\varepsilon</sub>)

$$\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot \left[ \rho_t J (T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id) \right] = 0$$
  
 
$$T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L}$$
 (SG<sub>\varepsilon</sub>)

• Flow  $X_t$ : given V and  $\rho_0$ , computes  $\rho_t$  s.t.

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho J V) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot \left[ \rho_t J (T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id) \right] = 0$$
  
 
$$T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L}$$
 (SG<sub>\varepsilon</sub>)

• Flow  $X_t$ : given V and  $\rho_0$ , computes  $\rho_t$  s.t.

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho J V) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot [\rho_t J(T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id)] = 0}{T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L} }$$
 (SG<sub>\varepsilon</sub>)

• Flow  $X_t$ : given V and  $\rho_0$ , computes  $\rho_t$  s.t.

 $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho J V) = 0$ " $\rho_t = V \cdot \rho_0$ "

• entropic OT: given  $\rho$  computes  $V_{\varepsilon} = T_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} - id$ 

$$\frac{\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot [\rho_t J(T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id)] = 0}{T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L} }$$
 (SG<sub>\varepsilon</sub>)

• Flow  $X_t$ : given V and  $\rho_0$ , computes  $\rho_t$  s.t.

 $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho J V) = 0$ " $\rho_t = V \cdot \rho_0$ "

• entropic OT: given  $\rho$  computes  $V_{\varepsilon} = T_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} - id$ 

$$\frac{\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot [\rho_t J(T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} - id)] = 0}{T_{\rho_t}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \text{-Brenier map between } \rho_t \text{ and } \mathcal{L} }$$
 (SG)

• Flow  $X_t$ : given V and  $\rho_0$ , computes  $\rho_t$  s.t.

 $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho J V) = 0$ " $\rho_t = V \cdot \rho_0$ "

• entropic OT: given ho computes  $V_{arepsilon} = T^{arepsilon}_{
ho} - id$ 

 $V_{\varepsilon} = V_{\varepsilon}[\rho]$ 

# Definition (Schrödinger map)

Fix  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . We define the <u>Schrödinger map</u>  $V_{\varepsilon} : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \to L^{\infty}(G)$  by

$$orall 
ho, g \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}) imes \mathcal{G} \quad V_arepsilon[
ho](g) = T_
ho^arepsilon(g) - g$$

where  $T_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -Brenier map between  $\rho$  and  $\mu_0$  ( $T^{\varepsilon}(g) := \int x \pi_{\varepsilon}^g(dx)$ )

(52/58)

 $\rho_t$  is a solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  if and only if  $\rho_t = V_{\varepsilon}[\rho_t] \cdot \rho_0$ 

## Proposition

If  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_R)$  then  $\rho_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_{R_T})$  with  $R_T := 2R_0e^T$ . Define  $K := K_{R_T}$  and  $M = M_{R_T}$ .

<sup>2</sup>recall that  $ho_t = {\sf v} \cdot 
ho_0$  is equivalent to  $\partial 
ho + 
abla \cdot (
ho J {\sf v}) = 0$ 

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations

• March 25, 2025

 $ho_t$  is a solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  if and only if  $\rho_t = V_{\varepsilon}[
ho_t] \cdot 
ho_0$ 

## Proposition

If  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_R)$  then  $\rho_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_{R_T})$  with  $R_T := 2R_0e^T$ . Define  $K := K_{R_T}$  and  $M = M_{R_T}$ .  $\rho_t \mapsto V_{\varepsilon}[\rho_t] \cdot \rho_0$  is a contraction for the distance

$$\mathit{dist}(
ho^1,
ho^2):=\sup_{t\in[0,T]}e^{-\lambda t}W_2(
ho_t^1,
ho_t^2)$$

with  $\lambda = \frac{5M}{2} + K$ .

$$\textit{dist}(\textit{V}_{\varepsilon}[\rho_{t}^{1}] \cdot \rho_{0},\textit{V}_{\varepsilon}[\rho_{t}^{2}] \cdot \rho_{0}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\textit{dist}(\rho^{1},\rho^{2})$$

<sup>2</sup>recall that  $ho_t = {m v} \cdot 
ho_0$  is equivalent to  $\partial 
ho + 
abla \cdot (
ho J {m v}) = 0$ 

Hugo Malamut 

 entropic approximation of SG equations

March 25, 2025

(52/58)

 $ho_t$  is a solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  if and only if  $\rho_t = V_{\varepsilon}[
ho_t] \cdot 
ho_0$ 

## Proposition

If  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_R)$  then  $\rho_t \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_{R_T})$  with  $R_T := 2R_0e^T$ . Define  $K := K_{R_T}$  and  $M = M_{R_T}$ .  $\rho_t \mapsto V_{\varepsilon}[\rho_t] \cdot \rho_0$  is a contraction for the distance

$$dist(\rho^1,\rho^2) := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} e^{-\lambda t} W_2(\rho_t^1,\rho_t^2)$$

with  $\lambda = \frac{5M}{2} + K$ .

$$\textit{dist}(\textit{V}_{\varepsilon}[\rho_{t}^{1}] \cdot \rho_{0},\textit{V}_{\varepsilon}[\rho_{t}^{2}] \cdot \rho_{0}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\textit{dist}(\rho^{1},\rho^{2})$$

 $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  has a unique weak solution

<sup>2</sup>recall that  $\rho_t = \mathbf{v} \cdot \rho_0$  is equivalent to  $\partial \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho J \mathbf{v}) = 0$ 

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations

March 25, 2025

(52/58)

Convergence of entropic semi-geostrophic equations

## Convergence $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
weak solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  on  $[0, T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ 

• for every  $f \in C^1_c([0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d)$ , one has

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} [\partial_{t} f + Jx \cdot \nabla f] \rho_{t}(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}t - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} Jy \cdot \nabla f(t, x) \gamma_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(T, x) \rho_{T}(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(0, x) \rho_{0}(\mathrm{d}x), \quad (1)$$

weak solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  on  $[0, T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ 

• for every  $f \in C^1_c([0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d)$ , one has

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} [\partial_{t} f + Jx \cdot \nabla f] \rho_{t}(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}t - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} Jy \cdot \nabla f(t, x) \gamma_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(T, x) \rho_{T}(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(0, x) \rho_{0}(\mathrm{d}x), \quad (1)$$

•  $\gamma_t^{\varepsilon}$  is t-a.e. an  ${arepsilon}$ -optimal plan between  $ho_t$  and  ${\mathcal L}_{\Omega}$ 

weak solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  on  $[0, T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ 

• for every  $f \in C^1_c([0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d)$ , one has

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} [\partial_{t} f + Jx \cdot \nabla f] \rho_{t}(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}t - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} Jy \cdot \nabla f(t, x) \gamma_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(T, x) \rho_{T}(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(0, x) \rho_{0}(\mathrm{d}x), \quad (1)$$

•  $\gamma_t^{\varepsilon}$  is t-a.e. an  ${arepsilon}$ -optimal plan between  $ho_t$  and  ${\mathcal L}_{\Omega}$ 

weak solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  on  $[0, T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ 

• for every  $f \in C^1_c([0, T] imes \mathbb{R}^d)$ , one has

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} [\partial_{t} f + Jx \cdot \nabla f] \rho_{t}(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}t - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} Jy \cdot \nabla f(t, x) \gamma_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(T, x) \rho_{T}(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(0, x) \rho_{0}(\mathrm{d}x), \quad (1)$$

•  $\gamma_t^{\varepsilon}$  is t-a.e. an  $\varepsilon$ -optimal plan between  $\rho_t$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$ 

Strategy :

• extract a subsequence  $\rho_t^{\varepsilon_n}$ 

weak solution of  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  on  $[0, T] imes \mathbb{R}^d$ 

• for every  $f \in C^1_c([0,T] imes \mathbb{R}^d)$ , one has

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} [\partial_{t} f + Jx \cdot \nabla f] \rho_{t}(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}t - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} Jy \cdot \nabla f(t, x) \gamma_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y) \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(T, x) \rho_{T}(\mathrm{d}x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(0, x) \rho_{0}(\mathrm{d}x), \quad (1)$$

•  $\gamma_t^{\varepsilon}$  is t-a.e. an  $\varepsilon$ -optimal plan between  $\rho_t$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}$ Strategy :

• extract a subsequence  $\rho_t^{\varepsilon_n}$  • Pass to the limit

### Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (54/58)

Semi-geostrophic shallow water :

 *L*<sub>Ω</sub> replaced by *h*<sub>t</sub>(*x*)*dL*<sub>Ω</sub>

 $h_t$ : depth of water solves

$$\inf_h OT_0(\rho_t,h) + \int h^2 d\mathcal{L}_\Omega$$

• Compressible semi-geostrophic : the cost is

$$\frac{1}{Z}(|x-X|^2-z)$$

• 3D...

#### Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (55/58)

# Thank you!

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (56/58)

- Ambrosio, L. and Gangbo, W. (2008). Hamiltonian odes in the wasserstein space of probability measures. <u>Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences</u>, 61(1):18–53.
- Arnold, V. (1966). Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits. In <u>Annales de l'institut Fourier</u>, volume 16, pages 319–361.
- Benamou, J.-D. and Brenier, Y. (1998). Weak existence for the semigeostrophic equations formulated as a coupled monge-ampere/transport problem. <u>SIAM Journal on Applied</u> <u>Mathematics</u>, 58(5):1450–1461.
- Bourne, D. P., Egan, C. P., Pelloni, B., and Wilkinson, M. (2022). Semi-discrete optimal transport methods for the semi-geostrophic equations. <u>Calculus of Variations and Partial</u> <u>Differential Equations</u>, 61:1–34.
- Brenier, Y. and Loeper, G. (2004). A geometric approximation to the euler equations: the vlasov-monge-ampere system. Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA, 14:1182–1218.
- **Cullen**, M. J. and Purser, R. J. (1984). An extended lagrangian theory of semi-geostrophic frontogenesis. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 41(9):1477–1497.

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (56/58)

- De Goes, F., Wallez, C., Huang, J., Pavlov, D., and Desbrun, M. (2015). Power particles: an incompressible fluid solver based on power diagrams. ACM Trans. Graph., 34(4):50–1.
- **Figalli**, A. (2018). <u>Global Existence for the Semigeostrophic Equations via Sobolev</u> Estimates for Monge-Ampère, pages 1–42. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Gallouët, T. O. and Mérigot, Q. (2018). A lagrangian scheme à la brenier for the incompressible euler equations. Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 18(4):835–865.
- Hoskins, B. J. (1975). The geostrophic momentum approximation and the semi-geostrophic equations. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 32(2):233–242.

Euler scheme



Figure: Euler scheme  $(\rho_t^{\tau})$ 

Hugo Malamut • entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (57/58)

### Linear convergence of the Euler scheme

Hugo Malamut 
• entropic approximation of SG equations • March 25, 2025 • (58/58)

## **Proposition (linear convergence)**

If  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}(B_R)$ , there is a unique weak solution  $(\rho_t)$  to  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  and  $(\rho_t^{\tau})$  weakly \* converges to  $\rho_t$ . Moreover

$$W_2(\rho_t^{\tau}, \rho_T) \le e^{Ct} \frac{M}{C} au$$
 (2)

## Proposition (linear convergence)

If  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}(B_R)$ , there is a unique weak solution  $(\rho_t)$  to  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  and  $(\rho_t^{\tau})$  weakly \* converges to  $\rho_t$ . Moreover

$$W_2(\rho_t^{\tau},\rho_T) \le e^{Ct} \frac{M}{C} \tau \tag{2}$$

One-sided lipschitz condition :

- $\rho_0, \beta_0 \in \mathcal{P}(B_R)$
- $\rho_t$  solving  $\partial_t \rho_t = -\nabla \cdot (\rho_t V_{\varepsilon}[\rho_t])$
- $\beta_t$  one step Euler  $\beta_t := (id + tV_{\varepsilon}[\beta_0])_{\#}\beta_0$ :

## Proposition (linear convergence)

If  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{P}(B_R)$ , there is a unique weak solution  $(\rho_t)$  to  $(SG_{\varepsilon})$  and  $(\rho_t^{\tau})$  weakly \* converges to  $\rho_t$ . Moreover

$$W_2(\rho_t^{\tau},\rho_T) \le e^{Ct} \frac{M}{C} \tau \tag{2}$$

One-sided lipschitz condition :

- $\rho_0, \beta_0 \in \mathcal{P}(B_R)$
- $\rho_t$  solving  $\partial_t \rho_t = -\nabla \cdot (\rho_t V_{\varepsilon}[\rho_t])$
- $\beta_t$  one step Euler  $\beta_t := (id + tV_{\varepsilon}[\beta_0])_{\#}\beta_0$ :

Then

$$W_2(\rho_t,\beta_t) \leq (1+tC)W_2(\rho_0,\beta_0) + Mt^2$$