

Solution 10. Let us denote by μ the probability law under consideration and μ_n the law such that $\mu = \mu_n^{*n}$.

- (1) $\mu_n = \mathcal{N}(\frac{m}{n}, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$;
- (2) $\mu_n = \mathcal{P}(\lambda/n)$;
- (3) pick μ_n as the law of a compound Poisson process with intensity λ/n and jump distribution ν , evaluated at time 1;
- (4) pick $\mu_n = \Gamma(\frac{a}{n}, b)$ (when $k \in \mathbb{N}$ recall that $\Gamma(k, b)$ is the law of the sum of k i.i.d. $\mathcal{E}(b)$ random variables);
- (5) $\mu_n = \delta_{a/n}$.

Solution 11. Let X be a $\text{Ber}(p)$ random variable. Suppose that $X = Y_1 + Y_2$ with Y_1 and Y_2 independent and identically distributed. Prove that necessarily $\mathbb{P}(Y_1 = 1/2) = \sqrt{p}$ and $\mathbb{P}(Y_1 = 0) = \sqrt{1-p}$. This is impossible when $p \in (0, 1)$. See Example 9 in [11] for a full solution.

Solution 12. (1) $\Psi(u) = ium - \frac{u^2\sigma^2}{2}$;

(2) $\Psi(u) = \lambda(e^{iu} - 1)$;

(3) $\Psi(u) = \lambda \int (e^{iuz} - 1)\nu(dz)$;

(4) $\Psi(u) = -a \log(1 - i\frac{u}{b})$. First compute it when $a \in \mathbb{N}$ using the interpretation of $\Gamma(a, b)$ as the sum of independent exponential variables, then extend the formula to $a \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, +\infty)$ using infinite divisibility. To extend it to $a > 0$, verify that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ the mapping $a > 0 \mapsto \int_0^\infty e^{iut}t^{a-1}e^{-t}dt$ is continuous (by dominated convergence).

(5) $\Psi(u) = iua$.

Solution 13. For any fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the function $z \mapsto e^{i\langle u, z \rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, z \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}}$ is bounded (in modulus) by some constant times $1 \wedge |z|^2$. Since ν is a Lévy measure, the integral is well-defined.

Solution 14. First of all, the assumption that ν is finite allows us to split in two the integral in (3.2) and proves that c is well-defined. Moreover,

$$(A.98) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_Y(u) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle u, Au \rangle\right), \\ \phi_{\tilde{Y}}(u) &= \exp\left(\int (e^{i\langle u, z \rangle} - 1)\nu(dz)\right) \quad (\text{see Exercise 4}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by using the independence of Y and \tilde{Y} .