

**Solution 26.** (1) Let  $G \in \mathcal{H}^2(T)$  such that  $G$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is enough to show that  $G = 0$ ,  $dt \otimes \nu \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -a.s. (see Lemma A.1). Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\nu(A) < \infty$ ,  $s \leq t \leq T$  and  $F_s$  a bounded and  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable random variable. Consider

$$(A.107) \quad F(r, z) = F_s \mathbf{1}_{(s,t]}(r) \mathbf{1}_A(z).$$

Then

$$(A.108) \quad 0 = \langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \int_{(s,t] \times A} \mathbb{E}[F_s G(r, z)] dr \nu(dz).$$

Define the following process:

$$(A.109) \quad X_t^{(A)} := \int_{(0,t] \times A} G(r, z) dr \nu(dz), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

One may check that it is square-integrable and adapted. Indeed,

- By Jensen's inequality,  $\mathbb{E}[(X_t^{(A)})^2] \leq t \nu(A) \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(T)}^2 < +\infty$ .
- Since  $G$  is predictable, the mapping

$$(A.110) \quad (\omega, z) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \int_{(0,t]} G(r, z) \mathbf{1}_A(z) dr$$

is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable<sup>§</sup>. By Fubini's theorem, we readily obtain that

$$(A.111) \quad \omega \in \Omega \mapsto \int_{(0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(r, z) \mathbf{1}_A(z) dr \nu(dz)$$

is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable.

By (A.108), we get that  $\mathbb{E}[F_s(X_t^{(A)} - X_s^{(A)})] = 0$ , which yields

$$(A.112) \quad \mathbb{E}[X_t^{(A)} | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s^{(A)}.$$

Hence,  $(X_t^{(A)})$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -martingale. Moreover, one can check that this is a process with finite variations (difference between two non-decreasing processes, see [8, Section 4.1]). Hence, it is a.s. constant (see [8, Theorem 4.8]), so  $X_t^{(A)} = X_0^{(A)} = 0$   $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. Let us now deduce that  $G = 0$ ,  $dt \otimes \nu \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -a.s., with the help of a monotone class argument. To this end, define

$$(A.113) \quad \mathcal{C} = \left\{ C \subseteq [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} : \int_C G(r, z) dr \nu(dz) = 0 \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s. \right\}.$$

We have proven so far that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the set

$$(A.114) \quad \mathcal{C}_0 := \left\{ (s, t] \times A, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

that is a  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . Furthermore, one can check that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monotone class. By Dynkin's theorem, the property defining  $\mathcal{C}$  is therefore valid for all sets in  $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . The reader may check that this implies  $G = 0$ ,  $dt \otimes \nu \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -a.s. Hint : use  $\{G \geq 0\}$  and  $\{G \leq 0\}$  as test sets.

<sup>§</sup>Any adapted process with left-continuous (or right-continuous) sample paths is progressive, see [8, Proposition 3.4]

- (2)  $F(t_j)$  is bounded and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}((t_j, t_{j+1}] \times A_i)$  is square-integrable (we recall that  $\nu(A_i)$  is finite) so  $F(t_j)\tilde{\mathcal{N}}((t_j, t_{j+1}] \times A_i)$  is square-integrable for all  $i$  and  $j$ . A finite sum of square-integrable random variables is square-integrable. Since  $F(t_j)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$ -measurable and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}((t_j, t_{j+1}] \times A_i)$  is independent from  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$ , we get

$$(A.115) \quad \mathbb{E}\left[F(t_j)\tilde{\mathcal{N}}((t_j, t_{j+1}] \times A_i)\right] = 0,$$

so  $I_T(F)$  is centered.

- (3) The mapping  $I_T$  is clearly linear so it remains to prove that  $\mathbb{E}(I_T(F)^2) = \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(T)}^2$ . For convenience, let us define for every  $i$  and  $j$ ,

$$(A.116) \quad I_{i,j} = F(t_j)\tilde{\mathcal{N}}((t_j, t_{j+1}] \times A_i),$$

so that

$$(A.117) \quad I_T(F) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i I_{i,j} \right).$$

Since  $F(t_j)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$ -measurable and  $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}((t_j, t_{j+1}] \times A_i)$  is centered and independent from  $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}$ , one may check that the random variables  $(\sum_i c_i I_{i,j})_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ 's are orthogonal. Therefore,

$$(A.118) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(I_T(F)^2) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} c_i I_{i,j}\right)^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} c_i I_{i,j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $(A_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ 's are disjoint, we get from Proposition 2.4 that for every  $j$ , the random variables  $(I_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ 's are independent, hence

$$(A.119) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I_T(F)^2] &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} c_i^2 \text{Var}(I_{i,j}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i^2 \mathbb{E}[F(t_j)^2 \tilde{\mathcal{N}}((t_j, t_{j+1}] \times A_i)^2] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i^2 \mathbb{E}[F(t_j)^2] (t_{j+1} - t_j) \nu(A_i) = \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(T)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (4) Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense, the isometry may be uniquely extended to  $\mathcal{H}^2(T)$ .

**Solution 27.** Pick  $b(t) = b$ ,  $\sigma(t) = \sigma$ ,  $H(t, z) = K(t, z) = z$  for all  $(t, z)$ .

**Solution 28.** (1) We have  $N_t = \int_0^t \int_{|z| \geq 1} (z) \mathcal{N}(ds, dz)$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a RPM( $\lambda dt \otimes \delta_1$ ). We may then write  $dN_s := \int_{|z| \geq 1} (z) \mathcal{N}(ds, dz)$ , that is a RPM on  $(0, \infty)$  with intensity  $\lambda dt$  (i.e. a homogeneous Poisson point process with intensity  $\lambda > 0$ ). By analogy with compensated Poisson measures we define  $d\tilde{N}_s$  as the signed measure  $dN_s - \lambda ds$ .

- (2) We have, with the usual notations,

$$(A.120) \quad \int_0^t f(s) dN_s = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_t} f(T_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t f(s) d\tilde{N}_s = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_t} f(T_i) - \lambda \int_0^t f(s) ds.$$

- (3)  $\int_0^t B_s dN_s = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_t} B_{T_i}$ .

- (4) The presence of  $s^-$  instead of  $s$  is here to ensure predictability of the process  $(N_{s^-})$ . We have

$$(A.121) \quad X_t = \int_0^t N_{s^-} dN_s = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_t} N_{T_i^-} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N_t} (i-1) = \frac{N_t(N_t-1)}{2}$$

(with the convention that the sum over an empty set is zero, which is consistent with the case  $N_t = 0$ ). Note that  $X_t$  is not equal to  $\frac{1}{2}N_t^2$ , as we would get from a blind application of standard (non-stochastic) calculus. Let us now look at the compensated version:

$$(A.122) \quad \tilde{X}_t := \int_0^t N_{s^-} d\tilde{N}_s = \frac{N_t(N_t-1)}{2} - \lambda \int_0^t N_{s^-} ds,$$

from which we get by a straightforward computation that  $E(\tilde{X}_t) = 0$ . As one can check, this would no longer hold if we were to replace  $N_{s^-}$  by  $N_s$  in the definition of  $X_t$ .

- (5) Apply Itô's formula with  $f(t, z) = z^2$  and  $K(t, z) = 1$ . Only the first term of (III) is present. We get

$$(A.123) \quad dY_t = [(N_{t^-} + 1)^2 - N_{t^-}^2] dN_t = (2N_{t^-} + 1) dN_t.$$

One may check that this is consistent with our previous answer.

**Solution 29.** Let us write both formulas (in one dimension) next to each other to highlight the analogy:

$$(A.124) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(x) &= bf'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 f''(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(x+z) - f(x) - zf'(x)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}}] \nu(dz), \\ \Psi(u) &= ibu - \frac{1}{2}au^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( e^{iuz} - 1 - iuz\mathbf{1}_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} \right) \nu(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Derivation corresponds to multiplication by  $iu$  in Fourier mode (hence differentiating twice corresponds to multiplication by  $(iu)^2 = -u^2$ ) and shifting by  $z$  corresponds to multiplication by  $e^{iuz}$  (addition of a phase).