

Non-convex optimization: solution of the exercise

Irène Waldspurger*

December 8, 2022

Exercise

1. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{L}(x) \geq 0$ (since it is a sum of squares). In addition,

$$\mathcal{L}(x_*) = \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x_*, v_k \rangle^2 - |\langle x_*, v_k \rangle|^2)^2 = 0.$$

Consequently, $\min \mathcal{L} = 0$ and, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & x \text{ is a global minimizer of } \mathcal{L} \\ \iff & \mathcal{L}(x) = 0 \\ \iff & \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2)^2 = 0 \\ \iff & \langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2 = 0, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m \\ \iff & \langle x, v_k \rangle = \pm |\langle x_*, v_k \rangle|, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m \\ \iff & |\langle x, v_k \rangle| = |\langle x_*, v_k \rangle|, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

2. The map \mathcal{L} is polynomial in the coordinates of x . It is thus C^∞ .

Let us compute its derivatives.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the gradient $\nabla \mathcal{L}(x)$ is the only vector such that

$$\mathcal{L}(x + w) = \mathcal{L}(x) + \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(x), w \rangle + o(\|w\|).$$

*waldspurger@ceremade.dauphine.fr

And, for any x, w ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(x+w) &= \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x+w, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2)^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2 + 2\langle x, v_k \rangle \langle w, v_k \rangle + o(\|w\|))^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m [(\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2)^2 \\
&\quad + 4(\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle x, v_k \rangle \langle w, v_k \rangle + o(\|w\|)] \\
&= \mathcal{L}(x) + 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle x, v_k \rangle \langle w, v_k \rangle + o(\|w\|) \\
&= \mathcal{L}(x) + \left\langle 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle x, v_k \rangle v_k, w \right\rangle + o(\|w\|).
\end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(x) = 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle x, v_k \rangle v_k.$$

As to the Hessian at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it is the only quadratic function such that, for any $l \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(x+h), l \rangle = \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(x), l \rangle + \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (h, l) + o(\|h\|).$$

For any x, h, l ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(x+h), l \rangle &= 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x+h, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle x+h, v_k \rangle \langle v_k, l \rangle \\
&= 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2 + 2\langle x, v_k \rangle \langle h, v_k \rangle + o(\|h\|)) \\
&\quad \times (\langle x, v_k \rangle + \langle h, v_k \rangle) \langle v_k, l \rangle \\
&= 4 \sum_{k=1}^m [(\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle x, v_k \rangle \langle v_k, l \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (3 \langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle h, v_k \rangle \langle v_k, l \rangle] + o(\|h\|) \\
& = \langle \nabla \mathcal{L}(x), l \rangle + 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (3 \langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle v_k, h \rangle \langle v_k, l \rangle + o(\|h\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (h, l) = 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (3 \langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle h, v_k \rangle \langle l, v_k \rangle,$$

which implies that, for any x, h ,

$$\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (h, h) = 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (3 \langle x, v_k \rangle^2 - y_k^2) \langle h, v_k \rangle^2.$$

Another possibility to solve the question would have been to compute the partial derivatives. Indeed, we know that, for any $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_n}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 f(x) \cdot (h, h) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) h_i h_j.$$

3. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\nabla \mathcal{L}(x)) &= 4 \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E}(\langle x, v_k \rangle^3 v_k) - 4 \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E}(\langle x_*, v_k \rangle^2 \langle x, v_k \rangle v_k) \\
&= 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (3 \|x\|^2 x) - 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (2 \langle x_*, x \rangle x_* + \|x_*\|^2 x) \\
&= 4m ((3 \|x\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2) x - 2 \langle x_*, x \rangle x_*).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (h, h)) &= 12 \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E}(\langle x, v_k \rangle^2 \langle h, v_k \rangle^2) - 4 \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{E}(\langle x_*, v_k \rangle^2 \langle h, v_k \rangle^2) \\
&= 12 \sum_{k=1}^m (2 \langle x, h \rangle^2 + \|x\|^2 \|h\|^2) \\
&\quad - 4 \sum_{k=1}^m (2 \langle x_*, h \rangle^2 + \|x_*\|^2 \|h\|^2) \\
&= 4m (6 \langle x, h \rangle^2 - 2 \langle x_*, h \rangle^2 + (3 \|x\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2) \|h\|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

4. We start with the first-order stationary points. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\nabla(\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L})(x) = 0$ if and only if

$$4m \left((3\|x\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2) x - 2 \langle x_*, x \rangle x_* \right) = 0.$$

This happens if and only if

$$3\|x\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2 = \langle x_*, x \rangle = 0 \tag{1}$$

or

$$3\|x\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2 \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{2 \langle x_*, x \rangle}{3\|x\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2} x_*. \tag{2}$$

The set of vectors x satisfying Equation (1) is

$$\left\{ \frac{\|x_*\|}{\sqrt{3}} u \mid u \in \{x_*\}^\perp, \|u\| = 1 \right\}.$$

Additionally, a vector x satisfies Equation (2) if and only if it is colinear to x_* (that is, $x = \lambda x_*$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) and the colinearity factor λ is such that

$$0 \neq 3\|\lambda x_*\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2 = (3\lambda^2 - 1)\|x_*\|^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x_* &= x \\ &= \frac{2 \langle x_*, \lambda x_* \rangle}{3\|\lambda x_*\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2} \\ &= \frac{2\lambda}{3\lambda^2 - 1} x_*. \end{aligned}$$

These two equations are equivalent to the following conditions:

- (a) $3\lambda^2 - 1 \neq 0$;
- (b) $\lambda = \frac{2\lambda}{3\lambda^2 - 1}$, that is $\lambda = 0$ or $1 = \frac{2}{3\lambda^2 - 1}$, that is $\lambda \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

Consequently, the set of vectors x which satisfy Equation (2) is

$$\{-x_*, 0, x_*\}.$$

We have therefore shown that the set of first order critical points of $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}$ is

$$\left\{ \frac{\|x_*\|}{\sqrt{3}}u \mid u \in \{x_*\}^\perp, \|u\| = 1 \right\} \cup \{-x_*, 0, x_*\}.$$

A second order critical point of $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}$ is a point x such that

- (a) x is first order critical;
- (b) $\nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \succeq 0$.

Let us consider a first order critical point x , and determine whether $\nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \succeq 0$.

- First case: $x = \frac{\|x_*\|}{\sqrt{3}}u$ for some unit-normed vector u orthogonal to x_* .

For any h ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (h, h) \\ &= 4m \left(6 \left\langle \frac{\|x_*\|}{\sqrt{3}}u, h \right\rangle^2 - 2 \langle x_*, h \rangle^2 + (3 \left\| \frac{\|x_*\|}{\sqrt{3}}u \right\|^2 - \|x_*\|^2) \|h\|^2 \right) \\ &= 4m (2\|x_*\|^2 \langle u, h \rangle^2 - 2 \langle x_*, h \rangle^2). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (x_*, x_*) = -8m\|x_*\|^2 < 0.$$

Therefore, $\nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \not\succeq 0$.

- Second case: $x = 0$.

For any h ,

$$\nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (h, h) = -4m(2 \langle x_*, h \rangle + \|x_*\|^2 \|h\|^2).$$

In particular,

$$\nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (x_*, x_*) = -12\|x_*\|^2 < 0.$$

Therefore, $\nabla^2\mathbb{E}\mathcal{L}(x) \not\succeq 0$.

- Third case: $x = \pm x_*$.

For any h ,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}(x) \cdot (h, h) = 8m (2 \langle x_*, h \rangle^2 + \|x_*\|^2 \|h\|^2).$$

This is a sum of squares, hence always nonnegative: $\nabla^2 \mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}(x) \succeq 0$.

The only second-order critical points are $-x_*$ and x_* .